



Resistance Grows to UN WHO & Biden "Global Health" Power Grab

** Urgent: If this article compels you to want to get involved, please click <u>here</u> to communicate directly with your local legislator and <u>here</u> to contact your federal legislators. **

Under the leadership of a Communist Chinese-backed "former" Marxist terror leader, the UN World Health Organization (WHO) and the Biden administration are plotting an unprecedented power grab to build a planetary bio-medical police state. Think Shanghai during lockdown, but worldwide. Leading experts argue that this is truly the emergence of the "New World Order" discussed by Biden and others.



Yann Forget/Wikimedia Commons/CC-BY-SA WHO headquarters in Geneva, Switzerland

Already, the WHO claims all sorts of draconian authorities, including powers to work with UN member states in quarantining villages or nations, locking down societies, forcing medical "treatments" such as vaccines, and generally crushing medical freedom. When Ebola was spreading, for instance, the Obama administration sent thousands of U.S. troops to help the UN enforce medical martial law in the Ivory Coast.

Now, under changes proposed to the WHO's "International Health Regulations" by the Biden administration, the dictator-friendly global "health" body would gain the power to carry out its wishes without even the approval or consent of the targeted nation. Not surprisingly, neither the WHO nor the Biden administration plan to consult the U.S. Senate on the matter.

Despite the enormity of the ongoing usurpation, and the drastic implications for freedom and self-government around the world, the establishment media have been largely silent on the issue. Still, concern is growing quickly as more and more experts and activists speak out. Word is starting to spread. But the first major deadline to stop it will arrive in a matter of weeks at a WHO meeting in Geneva starting May 22.

There are two key routes of attack being pursued by the WHO and its allies. In June, a dangerous "pandemic treaty" is set to be considered giving the WHO new "teeth" to enforce its dictates. But the first and most urgent assault on liberty and self-government involves a series of 13 controversial amendments being proposed to the WHO's so-called International Health Regulations (IHR). The details of the amendments were only released publicly — and very quietly — about a month ago.

The health regime created under the IHR, which was first approved by the World Health Assembly in 2005, is already draconian in its current state. Indeed, these regulations are what supposedly empowered the disgraced global organization to "recommend" the totalitarian policies it deployed worldwide in response to Covid. Much of the leadership in the global war on freedom over the last two years came from the WHO.





But under the new amendments proposed by the Biden administration last month, it would go from bad to catastrophic. Among other concerns, the WHO would acquire new powers to impose its will on nations and peoples against their will. Indeed, the WHO would be able to declare a "health emergency" in any nation, with virtually no limits, going far beyond even actual pandemics, as long as it "could present significant harm to humans."

One of the most significant changes would remove language from the WHO's international health agreement requiring a government to consent to the WHO's determinations before action is taken. Under the new language, all that would be necessary is for the WHO boss to claim there is "a public health emergency of international concern" (PHEIC). Even a claimed suspicion of such an "emergency" would be enough to get the gears turning.

Once it declares an emergency, the WHO would be authorized to partner with a dizzying array of global agencies and organizations such as the UN and more under the guise of protecting "health." That includes UN agencies overseeing food, agriculture, aviation, the environment, and much more. In short, a full-on assault on a nation by a range of would-be global government agencies is in the cards for defiance of the WHO.

The amendments would accelerate the process of turning the head of the WHO into a global health dictator, numerous critics say. That is especially troubling considering that WHO chief Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, installed by the regime in Beijing, is a former politburo member of the Tigray People's Liberation Front, an ethno-Marxist terror group designated a terrorist organization by governments around the world. Countless critics have called for him to be prosecuted for ghastly crimes perpetrated by the terror group he helped lead, and later the brutal Ethiopian regime he served at a high level.

Leading Psychiatrist Speaks Out

"If passed, the Biden administration's proposed amendments will, by their very existence and their intention, drastically compromise the independence and the sovereignty of the United States," warned Dr. Peter Breggin, one of the leading medical voices sounding the alarm about this power grab and author of the best-selling new book *Covid-19 and the Global Predators: We Are the Prey*.

According to Dr. Breggin, a Harvard-trained psychiatrist, the same threat applies to the sovereignty and self-government of all of the UN's 193 member states, representing virtually the entire global population. In an <u>interview with *The New American*</u>, Dr. Breggin suggested this was a major step in the direction of global government, led by a global "predator class." The proposed changes would put "enormous new powers" in the hands of "unelected technocrats" — powers that "would be exercised whether the target nation agreed or not," he explained.

"The amendments would give WHO the right to take important steps to collaborate with other nations and other organizations worldwide to deal with any nation's alleged health crisis, even against its stated wishes," warned Dr. Breggin, adding that these measures could include economic and financial attacks orchestrated by the WHO and its partners.

These attacks would hardly be limited to genuine pandemics. "Under WHO's approach, it would be difficult to find any important national issue that was not a potential health problem," warned Dr. Breggin, pointing out that the Communist Chinese regime and Bill Gates were the largest influences at the WHO. "With the imminent passage of the American-sponsored amendments to the International Health Regulations, WHO will have free reign for using these expansive definitions of health to call a crisis over anything it wishes in any nation it desires."





If the WHO succeeds in advancing its agenda, he added, the most important use of these arbitrary authorities would be against the United States — at least if the American people were ever to elect another anti-globalist government such as the Trump administration. Under Trump, the U.S. government exited and defunded the WHO, though the Biden promptly reversed that upon taking office.

"We need to face that these American-sponsored amendments are a great step toward America voluntarily forfeiting its sovereignty to the New World Order or Great Reset — and that without strong opposition, the ratification of the amendments is a foregone conclusion," added Dr. Breggin. "Our success or failure in stopping the ratification of these amendments will establish the pattern for the future, including WHO's ongoing effort to make legally-binding treaties that rob nations of their sovereignty."

But it is even worse than a loss of sovereignty. Instead, it amounts to handing power over all of humanity to a global class of predatory elites interested not in health, but in power and money, he said.

"In reality; they will be forfeiting their sovereign powers to the global predators who rule the UN and WHO, including the Chinese Communist Party and supporters of the Great Reset, like Bill Gates, Klaus Schwab, and giant foundations and corporations — all of whom benefit from weakening or destroying the sovereignty of the Western nations," continued Breggin. "Western civilization, and mainly the United States, is all that stands in strong opposition to the globalist takeover of the world, called the New World Order or the Great Reset."

Already, the WHO and its allies — and the global predators behind the whole machine — have shown their awesome powers. During the Covid crisis, the global agency was the key mechanism for unleashing and coordinating the unprecedented assaults on freedom around the world.

"WHO was highly effective during COVID-19 in implementing the aims of the global predators, led by the groups around Bill Gates and the Chinese Communist Party, in their organized assault and terror campaign against the Western democracies," Dr. Breggin said. "This purposely resulted in the vast weakening of any potentially anti-globalist, freedom-oriented, patriotic nations, including the U.S., Great Britain, Australia, Canada, and others. That success may explain why the global predators chose WHO to now deliver a major and potentially lethal death blow to the sovereignty of the world's nations."

If not stopped, the ongoing power grabs would make all of it orders of magnitude worse, he said.

WHO Insider Speaks Out

In an <u>interview with *The New American*</u>, Dr. Astrid Stuckelberger, a prominent epidemiologist and international health scientist in Geneva and a former WHO official turned whistleblower, also warned that the WHO was the leading force behind the tyranny that enveloped the globe during Covid. Already, the situation is dire.

In defiance of its own IHR, which Dr. Stuckelberger taught at the university level, the WHO used fear-mongering to "issue directives that were totally not commensurate to the situation," she said. If the amendments are passed, it would get even worse. It all shows that the WHO and its backers such as Bill Gates "have moved now, clearly, into global governance," Dr. Stuckelberger said. "The way they are handling WHO is not like coordinating member states that can decide for themselves."





The global model truly came into view over the last two years. "Before, in the IHR, we trained [students] that everything should be customized to countries," she said. But now, "they have brought everybody to obey one governance, to obey a single standard." "WHO is now more and more taking power of the world together as the only organization to be able ... to direct any epidemic preparedness plan or pandemic intervention plan," she added.

The dystopian developments are moving from rhetoric to reality. At the WHO's extraordinary World Health Assembly meeting late last year, member governments were given a document headlined "A Guide to a Pandemic Treaty." Member states voted to accept the procedures to develop that treaty, which is now underway. "They are so sneaky," she said. "They are going to take the instrument that will be adopted the quickest, and the instrument likely to be adopted the quickest is the International Health Regulations."

The WHO "Constitution" — something governments have — is likely in place to help turn the agency into a true global authority with governmental powers, Stuckelberger said. And the outfit's constitution purports to enshrine a "fundamental right" to the "highest attainable standard of health." It also calls for the "fullest cooperation of individuals and States." This is basically a blank check for intervention in people's lives.

In Article 21 of the WHO Constitution, the World Health Assembly is empowered to "adopt regulations" on everything from "sanitary and quarantine requirements" to "standards" for diagnosing diseases. This is what made possible the global tyranny that enveloped the world in early 2020, as well as the unreliable PCR Covid tests that fed the narrative, said Dr. Stuckelberger, who served as president of the WHO's Geneva International Network on Ageing.

"We have to be liberated from the United Nations," said Stuckelberger before urging everyone to contact their elected officials, attorneys, and others in a bid to derail the WHO power grab.

Seeking Even MORE Powers

Another significant WHO threat to medical freedom, national sovereignty, and self-government comes from the proposed "International Pandemic Treaty" being worked on now behind the scenes. Under the guise of controlling future pandemics, this global agreement would also hand vast new powers to the global "health" organization. Advocates of the treaty are proposing to turn the WHO into a global health ministry with vast powers over every person and government on Earth.

Even actual sanctions on nations whose governments defy their would-be WHO overlords are now being peddled. For instance, WHO boss Tedros claimed that "maybe exploring the sanctions may be important." Meanwhile, German Health Minister Jens Spahn argued "that countries that fail to follow up on their commitments to the WHO should face sanctions." This sentiment is widespread among global elites.

Indeed, less than a year ago, an "independent" UN panel of high-level globalists convened by the WHO chief <u>claimed</u> the health agency "needs to be empowered — financially, and politically." This empowering should include making the WHO more independent, creating new "capacities" (powers) at the global level, and establishing a "new international system for surveillance."

The UN report, which suggests Covid damage could have been mitigated with more global tyranny, also seeks to bring in the "precautionary principle." Similar to the "climate" narrative, the precautionary principle involves implementing policies — in this case medical tyranny — as a precaution in response to *potential* dangers rather than in response to a proven threat.





Offering broad insight into the objectives, the report celebrated regimes such as the Communist Chinese dictatorship for their authoritarian response to COVID. Numerous globalist bigwigs working with the WHO and Beijing such as Gates and Schwab have repeatedly praised the barbaric Covid response by Beijing while condemning jurisdictions that respected individual rights and the rule of law. This was all foreseen as far back as 2010 in the Rockefeller Foundation's "scenario" dubbed Lockstep involving a hypothetical pandemic.

Even as these battles are raging, totalitarians are already scheming on even more draconian powers over basic rights such as free speech. The WHO itself has been working to silence what it considers "misinformation," "disinformation," and "conspiracy theories" for years. "We're not just battling the virus," <u>said</u> WHO Director-General Tedros in the summer of 2020. "We're also battling the trolls and conspiracy theorists that push misinformation and undermine the outbreak response." The WHO was "working closely" with Big Tech firms to censor the web, it boasted. Ironically, the WHO has <u>urged</u> <u>health professionals to lie to parents</u> in order to peddle vaccines.

Opposition Grows as Legal Questions Swirl

In a memo to the WHO, U.S. Health and Human Services Assistant Secretary for Global Affairs Loyce Pace cited almost 50 other governments that support the Biden administration's proposal. Those include the member governments of the European Union, along with numerous other governments including those in India, Australia, Japan, Canada, the United Kingdom, and more.

Normally, governments would have 18 months to withdraw from the UN WHO scheme. However, in this case, the time period has been shortened to a mere six months. According to experts on WHO processes, if a majority of member governments consent to the amendments, then they will be considered to be part of "international law." The amendments to the WHO's regulations are set to be approved by May 28 of this year if not stopped.

Because of the existing international agreement adopted by member WHO member states almost two decades ago, the UN WHO considers any updates to its International Health Regulations to be binding on all nations and all of humanity, legal experts say. Similar machinations were used to impose UN "climate" schemes on the planet without ratification by the U.S. Senate.

However, there are several constitutional issues at hand as far as the United States is concerned. For one, the states that created the U.S. government never delegated these sorts of powers over "health" to the government they established. If the U.S. government lacks a specific authority or power, it certainly cannot hand a power it does not rightfully possess over to another body — at least not without a constitutional amendment.

In fact, the U.S. Supreme Court confirmed as recently as 1957 in the case *Reid v. Covert* that the U.S. government could not grant new powers to itself — or delegate those powers to other bodies — merely by adopting international agreements. Thomas Jefferson, a key architect of America's constitutional system, understood that as well. "I say the same as to the opinion of those who consider the grant of the treaty-making power as boundless," he explained in 1803. "If it is, then we have no Constitution."

Neither the WHO nor the Biden administration's Department of Health and Human Services responded to requests for comment.

In an interview with *The New American*, journalist and commentator James Rogusky, among the first to sound the alarm on these amendments, called on people to resist while it was still possible. "The time to speak out and stand for your rights is now," he explained, calling on Americans to share the warnings





with those in their sphere of influence and to contact their elected representatives.

In an effort to derail the WHO's power grab, The John Birch Society, the parent organization of this magazine, recently launched a grassroots effort encouraging lawmakers to nullify the schemes at the state level. "Rather than sitting back and being complacent, state legislators must take bold action to nullify any WHO agreement," the Society said in a <u>mass email to its national membership</u>.

"Nullification of the WHO's pandemic treaty wouldn't be the first time the states have taken such bold action," the organization's alert continued. "Among multiple other examples, Alabama in 2012 enacted a strong law banning the implementation of the UN's Agenda 21 (now Agenda 2030) in the state. Multiple other state legislative chambers passed similar bans."

Urging activists to contact their lawmakers, the group, which has chapters nationwide, called for strong laws to prohibit implementation and enforcement of the WHO scheme. This could include ensuring that no state or local officials can participate in the enforcement of any actions originating from the WHO or under the authority of its illegitimate agreements.

In an interview with *The New American* magazine, Representative Thomas Massie (R-Ky.) expressed support for getting out of the UN entirely. "It's full of dictators, and it's also something that I don't think our sovereign government should defer to," he explained. Legislation to do just that, the American Sovereignty Restoration Act, has been regularly introduced in Congress for decades.

Derailing the WHO's draconian power grab would be a good step in the direction of neutralizing the ever-increasing threat of globalism and international tyranny. However, over the long term, small victories in battles such as this one will not be enough. A full restoration of sovereignty must be the ultimate objective — and this massive attack on humanity by the WHO may be the perfect catalyst to supercharge the movement to stop globalism for good.



Related articles:

WHO & Global Predators Plot Global "Health" Tyranny

UN Whistleblower: WHO is Tip of the Spear for Global Tyranny

Marxist UN WHO Boss Must Be Put on Trial, Critics Say

Citing Ebola, Obama Boosts UN and Sends Troops to Africa





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.