



UN Women Pushes Global Abortion for “Sustainable Population”

Under the guise of advancing what the United Nations calls “gender equality” and “[sustainable development](#),” a [new report by the UN Women agency](#) is again pushing global abortion on demand, more sterilization programs and population-control schemes, massive government interference in family life, and much more. Much of the document, published this month, is written in opaque and deceptive language designed to at least partially conceal the extremism and outright barbarism being proposed. Still, critics of the UN machinations were able to see right through the deception, lambasting the UN for pushing totalitarian and horrific policies while trying to dupe the public.



The report, published by the UN “Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women,” claims to be a “survey” of the supposedly “important links” between “gender equality” and “sustainable development.” As regular readers of this magazine know well, both of those relatively innocent-sounding terms are employed by the UN and many of its member regimes to conceal a deeply sinister agenda — plots so outlandish that they would almost certainly spark a global revolt if the true nature of the schemes were more widely understood by the public.

{modulepos inner_text_ad}

The notion of human “sustainability,” for instance, purports to deal with making sure that “Mother Earth” can continue to sustain humanity into the future. The idea is underpinned largely by imagined problems justified by refuted “science,” such as theories on “[man-made global warming](#)” ([previously global cooling](#)), “[overpopulation](#),” and “[overconsumption](#).” In reality, though, the term is [mostly used as cover to advance everything from depopulation and abortion to centralized global government and planetary socialism](#). Similarly, the term “gender equality” is used by UN types to conceal a radical agenda involving the gradual destruction of the nuclear family, population control, abortion, and more.

“There is a reason for this linguistic deception,” [explained](#) Steven Mosher, president of the non-profit Population Research Institute. “Properly understood, this World Survey — the first published in five years — is nothing less than a battle plan for a deadly assault on life and marriage. If its recommendations were to be fully adopted by UN’s member states and carried out, it would mean the end of families as we know them, and a top-to-bottom restructuring of societies and economies as a whole.” In a recent analysis of the new UN report, Mosher, author of *Population Control: Real Costs, Illusory Benefits*, translates the deceptive UN language into straightforward English so readers can understand what the global outfit is truly advocating.



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on October 30, 2014

The phrase “reproductive health,” for example, which is found throughout the report, is actually a “double deception” because it has nothing to do with either reproduction or health, Mosher explains. “The goal of ‘reproductive health’ programs is actually population control — reducing the birth rate by chemically or surgically disabling as many female reproductive systems as possible,” he wrote. Indeed, the UN Women report calls for policymakers to “promote sexual and reproductive health and rights” — with SRHR a well-known term used to promote abortion and other population-control measures, hence its inclusion in the “sustainable development” section.

On page 114, the UN document offers the outfit’s policy recommendations for sustainable “population.” While the UN generally uses deceptive language when referring to abortion, in its latest gender equality report, the agency openly calls for legalizing the killing of unborn children worldwide. “Ground sustainable population policies in sexual and reproductive health and rights, including the provision of universally accessible ... comprehensive sexuality education and safe abortion,” the report recommends. Also worth noting is that the UN Women outfit is calling for “comprehensive sexuality education” worldwide, a longtime UN goal. That is especially troubling considering the pornographic and deeply immoral schemes that pass for sex “education” in much of the world today. The UN’s Economic, Social and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), for instance, [openly recommended](#) teaching masturbation to five-year-olds, while teaching older children to “promote the right to and access to safe abortion.” The UN agency calls that “age appropriate.”

Unsurprisingly, also among the policy recommendations outlined in the report, dubbed “[World Survey on the Role of Women in Development 2014: Gender Equality and Sustainable Development](#),” are multiple calls for massive government intervention into family homes. For instance, the document tells policymakers to “reduce and redistribute unpaid care work between women and men within households, and between households and the state by expanding basic services.” Translated into plain English, Mosher explains: “This really means that the radical feminists at the UN are instructing the nanny state to go into homes of their married citizens and force husbands and wives to do equal amounts of cooking, cleaning, childcare, etc., regardless of their own preferences in the matter.”

The UN recommendation also openly advocates a “redistribution” of child-rearing duties from families to the state. Of course, radical feminists and totalitarians of all varieties have long viewed the nuclear family as a key obstacle standing in the way of their agenda. By trying to force all women into the workforce while handing responsibilities over raising children to bureaucrats, the extremists advance multiple goals at once: a greater population to tax, more opportunities to indoctrinate children with statist beliefs while pushing aside parents, weaker family support structures, increased reliance on the state, and much more. It is no coincidence that regimes ranging from Adolf Hitler’s National Socialists to the communist autocracies of Chairman Mao and Joseph Stalin have waged war on the traditional family.

Considering the policies and radical notions being pushed in the UN report, it should come as no surprise to learn that UN Women tries to whitewash perhaps the greatest ongoing systematic crime spree perpetrated against women anywhere on Earth: the Communist Chinese regime’s savage “one-child policy,” often enforced through [coerced abortions \(no matter how late\) with UN assistance and U.S. tax dollars](#). “Framing the population issue in relation to resource and environmental pressures was a fundamental factor in building public consensus for population control interventions,” the report advises, celebrating the regime in Beijing’s barbaric population-control apparatus. Decades after the monstrous scheme began, the dictatorship’s “Vice-Minister of the National Population and Family



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on October 30, 2014

Planning” boasted to the UN in 2009 at a “climate summit” of how it had “prevented the birth of 400 million Chinese people and thereby reduced carbon dioxide emissions by 18 million tons per year,” the report continues.

In light of the global outfit’s largely autocratic membership and the broader UN’s long track record of promoting population control, abortion, global tyranny, and more, the policy schemes it is advocating should not come as a surprise. Indeed, it has been going on for decades, financed in large part by U.S. taxpayers. A look at UN Women’s leadership also sheds light on why the outfit is making its demands. The controversial UN outfit is led, for example, by an equally controversial character: Phumzile Mlambo-Ngcuka, whose current titles include UN undersecretary-general and executive director of UN Women. Before Mlambo-Ngcuka took over, the UN entity was led by another extremist figure, [Michelle Bachelet with Chile’s Socialist Party](#) — a woman who actually defected to the brutal communist regime ruling East Germany ([which backed the ANC’s terror spree](#)). Mlambo-Ngcuka, though, may be even more extreme still, at least based on a cursory review of her background.

Prior to her work at the UN, Mlambo-Ngcuka was a top politician and senior leader with the [Marxist-dominated African National Congress \(ANC\)](#), which [misrules South Africa in an alliance with the ruthless South African Communist Party \(SACP\)](#). Under the ANC-SACP regime, some of the most radical abortion-on-demand laws in the world were imposed on the nation — with taxpayers often forced to pay for the gruesome “procedure.” Another troubling element of Mlambo-Ngcuka’s deep ANC ties: The world’s top expert on genocide, Dr. Gregory Stanton of Genocide Watch, concluded after an investigation that elements of the ANC — especially the party’s “Youth League” — [appeared to be involved in ongoing preparations for genocide in South Africa](#). Indeed, ANC party and regime leaders, including the current president, openly sing songs advocating the extermination European-descent South Africans. The [UN member regimes on UN Women’s “executive board”](#) include those ruling South Africa, Communist Cuba, Communist China, and Socialist Venezuela.

It is past time for Americans to stop paying for it all. The U.S. House of Representatives, with its constitutional power of the purse, has full authority to stop funneling American tax dollars to the UN and all of its tentacles. Considering its increasingly rabid promotion of abortion, authoritarian government, pseudo-human rights as a substitute for real God-given rights, its attacks on the family, its whitewashing of the horrifying crimes of its brutal members, and its ongoing attempts to usurp U.S. sovereignty and more powers for itself, Congress must take action. Eventually, getting the United States out of the UN should be the goal. But in the meantime, [Americans should insist](#) that not one more cent of taxpayer money is used to fund an outfit that is justifiably ridiculed around the world as the “dictators club.”



Alex Newman, a foreign correspondent for The New American, is normally based in Europe. Follow him on Twitter [@ALEXNEWMAN_JOU](#). He can be reached at anewman@thenewamerican.com

Related articles:



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on October 30, 2014

[UN Slammed for Its Forced Abortions in China Using U.S. Funds](#)

[The Real Agenda Behind UN "Sustainability" Unmasked](#)

[UN Unveils Plot to Reduce African Population](#)

[Study: Global One-child Policy Not Enough for "Sustainability"](#)

[Genocide and Communism Threaten South Africa](#)

[Obama's "Ebola Czar" Thinks Overpopulation Is Top Challenge](#)

[Embarrassing Predictions Haunt the Global-Warming Industry](#)

[Climate Alarmists Push Chinese Communism, Population Control](#)

[U.S. & U.K. Taxpayers Funding Forced Sterilization in India](#)

[UN Pushes Population Control Agenda](#)

[U.S. Government Funds Rwandan Sterilization Campaign](#)

[Global ObamaCare and World Population Control](#)

[Seven Billion Milestone a Blessing Says Population Expert](#)

[Before Rio+20, Ted Turner Says Ban Plastic, Use UN to Slash Population](#)

[Science Group: UN Rio+20 Summit Must Reduce Global Population](#)

[Catholic Show Exposes Rockefeller Assault on Church, Life](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

Subscribe