



Written by [Joe Wolverton, II, J.D.](#) on September 29, 2010

UN Millennium Development Goals Conference Issues Report

There was much made of the speech delivered by Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad at the opening of the latest session of the United Nations General Assembly. Specifically, Ahmadinejad was lambasted by pundits and lampooned by comedians for his remarks inculcating the government of the United States in the bombings of September 11, 2001.



The fact that such ramblings were the focus of reports of the latest United Nations confab proves that very few reporters employed by traditional media outlets are interested in chronicling events that matter. The opinion of the Iranian President as to the participation of the United States in the terrorizing of its own citizenry is immaterial. If the charges were true, there is something there, but that such a theory rattles around the noggin of Mahmoud Ahmadinejad is hardly newsworthy.

As any constitutionalist worth his salt could tell you, we have much more to fear from the incremental surrender of our sovereignty via multi-national agreements and accords than from the wild verbal gesticulations of a madman. The same, in fact, goes for the supposed threat we as Americans face from “Islamofacism,” “radical Islam,” or whatever label they apply to that group. The perpetuation of our constitutional republic is made more precarious by the plutocrats on the Potomac than by mullahs in the Middle East.

Despite all the mainstream misdirection, there were a few comments and conclusions made at the recent spate of conferences in New York that unquestionably merit exposure. What follows are a few examples of such gleaned from various official and unofficial accounts of the speeches and presentations delivered last week.

Perhaps most important to the continuing sovereignty of the United States is the slate of goals and accompanying metrics set forth in the report on the outcome of the Millennium Summit dedicated to marking progress on the accomplishment of the Millennium Development Goals.

The Millennium Development Goals (MDG) were promulgated as part of the United Nations Millennium Declaration signed in September 2000. The purported purpose of the goals is to improve the development of all member nations and to encourage more aggressive assistance to the Third World on the part of more developed countries. Specific stated aims are the reduction of poverty, the reduction of child mortality rates, and the eradication of malaria and AIDS. There are eight such goals, each of



Written by [Joe Wolverton, II, J.D.](#) on September 29, 2010

which is further sub-divided into various targets. The date set for completion of the eight goals was 2015, with yearly monitoring of the progress made toward that end.

From September 20-22, the leaders and representatives of many participating nations met to evaluate the individual and collective progress being made toward the realization of the MDG. At the conclusion of the meeting, the attendees signed off on an [“outcome document”](#) that restated the goals of the project, reported on the progress made by member nations, and suggested several ways to accelerate the speed of achievement.

From almost the first paragraph of the report, constitutionalists would find the language disagreeable, to say the least. In Paragraph 3, for instance, the report reaffirms “the importance of freedom, peace and security, respect for all human rights, including the right to development, the rule of law, gender equality and an overall commitment to just and democratic societies for development.” The establishment of democratic societies is contrary to the constitutional guarantee of a “republican form of government.”

Moreover, the dissemination of democracy is not one of the powers of Congress as contained in Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution. Citizens of other countries may choose the form of government that they believe suits them best, and the United States is not, nor is any of its representatives, authorized or justified in the foisting of our political sensibilities upon the men and women of the world, regardless of how well it may work for us and how effectively it safeguards our liberty. It is the willful neglect of this principle that has permitted our elected leaders to entangle us in one after the other undeclared war, none of which has been necessary to the defense of the United States of America.

In Paragraph 5 of the report, the world is informed that the United Nations believes that “eradication of poverty and hunger, as well as combating inequality at all levels, is essential to create a more prosperous and sustainable future for all.” No rational person would oppose feeding the hungry and alleviating the vicissitudes of poverty. What is indefensible, however, to an American, is the notion that such things should be accomplished through force or coercion. The unwilling charitable act is not sanctifying, it is larceny. And, the question must be asked, how is the United Nations proposing that “inequality” be combated? If the answer has any similarities to other historical examples of the egalitarian efforts of the UN, it will involve the death of thousands of American soldiers in far-flung theatres of “peace keeping missions” and “advisory deployments.”

Finally, there is the language of Paragraph 10 of the report. After reminding the participating nations that they must be held responsible for the progress toward the MDG made within their own borders, the conference made clear the locus of any sustainable global improvement: “Development efforts at the national level need to be supported by an enabling national and international environment that complements national actions and strategies.” That is to say, if the “national actions and strategies” aren’t judged to be up to snuff, then the UN must, in the name of equality and progress, step in and alter and amend those policies so as to enable the unimpeded advance toward “development.”

Do not fear, however, blue-helmeted “peace keepers” patrolling the streets of your town enforcing these nonsensical edicts. As stated above, we have more to fear from the lawfully elected and committed globalists that are all too eager to contribute to the eradication of American freedom and the development of global despotism.

See the following related article: [United Nations Millennium Development Conference Set for September 20](#)



Written by [Joe Wolverton, II, J.D.](#) on September 29, 2010

Photo of Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad: AP Images



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.