



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on August 25, 2020

With UN Discredited, Globalists Pursue “League of Democracies”

With the scandal-plagued United Nations  increasingly marginalized and ridiculed as a “dictators club” in the United States, Deep State globalists appear to be pursuing a “Plan B” of sorts to move their agenda forward. Meet the proposed “League of Democracies,” a decades-old plot being rehabilitated and peddled by the [global-government-promoting Council on Foreign Relations](#), the powerhouse Carnegie Foundation, U.K. Prime Minister Boris Johnson, and various propaganda organs of the globalist establishment. If successful, globalists hope the proposed alliance of 10 “democracies” will quickly become a European Union-style entity advancing globalist goals.

The scheme for creating this planetary league of “democracies,” known as “D-10” among supporters, was first reported publicly in May. However, despite being reported as a novel idea, the plan to develop an alternate mechanism for advancing globalism has been on the Deep State’s agenda for decades. According to news reports, British Prime Minister Johnson’s government had already approached Washington, D.C., about it. *The Times of London* [reported](#) that the proposed alliance would include all of the Group of 7 (G-7) governments — United Kingdom, United States, Italy, Germany, France, Japan and Canada — representing more than half of global wealth. Also involved would be the governments of Australia, South Korea, and India.

The League of Democracies scheme is being pushed, in large part, under the guise of countering Communist China’s growing influence, particularly in telecommunications and 5G technology. But that is just the cover story. Ironically, many of the leading groups peddling the D-10 scheme were instrumental in building up the mass-murdering Communist Chinese dictatorship, as this magazine [has documented extensively](#). This includes the giant role of prominent Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) members such as George Marshall in bringing Mao to power. And it includes the crucial aid of globalist architects (and Deep State luminaries) such as Henry Kissinger, David Rockefeller, and George Soros in building up Beijing’s economic power over a period of decades.

Early in the Trump administration, the globalist powerhouse known as the CFR was pushing for an alliance of nine “democracies” to defend the globalist “rules-based world order” from self-proclaimed anti-globalist President Donald Trump. As *The New American* [reported](#) in October of 2019, the CFR was openly pushing for a “Group of 9” (G-9) of America’s closest allies, dubbed the “Committee to Save The World Order,” to hold down the fort while Trump served out his term. Unfortunately for globalists, though, the scheme went nowhere. Instead, Trump unleashed a wrecking ball on the architecture of “global governance.” Among other actions, he withdrew from the disgraced UN Human Rights Council,



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on August 25, 2020

UNESCO, the World Health Organization, and more.

Perhaps recognizing that an anti-Trump alliance of America's closest allies would not be feasible with Trump in the White House, globalists seem to have gone back to the drawing board. And the D-10 seems to be their next vehicle. In a piece published by CFR mouthpiece *Foreign Affairs* earlier this month, Edward Fishman and Siddharth Mohandas declared that the ["Council of Democracies" could "Save Multilateralism."](#) Multilateralism is globalist speak for globalism — essentially making decisions multilaterally with other governments rather than unilaterally as sovereign nations. The subhead of the piece was: "Boris Johnson's 'D-10' Is the Club the World Desperately Needs."

Complaining that Trump has withdrawn from the Communist Chinese-controlled UN WHO and is still setting back globalism on various fronts, the CFR piece argues that the world "desperately needs a new institution that is both global in reach and unified in vision" — something along the lines of Johnson's D-10. The establishment mouthpiece pines for a "group of capable, committed, and cohesive democracies," especially those that are "most internationally minded," that could muster "political will and real resources." It would serve as a "conduit" that "reinvigorates multilateral cooperation."

The globalist Atlantic Council has been facilitating meetings among D-10 officials since the Obama administration, wrote Fishman and Mohandas, who served in the Obama State Department. And that is good for globalism, in their view. As the CFR journal explained, the UN produced "less impactful international cooperation" than global-governance mechanisms such as NATO or the EU's predecessor organizations. And so, it is time to open a new front in the war on sovereignty, with the legitimacy of being "democracies." After pretending to solve the phony concerns over not enough 5G providers, the institution "could easily evolve to address a broader and more ambitious agenda," *Foreign Affairs* said.

The CFR even outlines the mechanisms by which this new global-governance institution would operate. For instance, it discusses creating a "Secretariat" including bureaucrats from all the 10 governments. Leaders of the governments would come together from time to time. And if all 10 governments could not agree on a particular action or policy, the other members would proceed without it. "Such a structure would enable the D10 to tackle an expansive array of projects," continued Fishman and Mohandas. Among other ideas, they listed "climate change," terrorizing companies that do not submit, and cracking down on governments with low-tax policies — so-called "tax havens" — as possible tasks for the new would-be regime of "democracies."

Less influential but still powerful globalist propaganda organs are all promoting the scheme too. "The United Kingdom's D-10 is the right size and shape: neither too big, which reduces coherence; nor too small, covering only the Cold War West," [wrote](#) Carnegie Endowment for International Peace fellow Erik Brattberg and journalist Ben Judah in the globalist mouthpiece *Foreign Policy*, which is sort of like a light-weight version of *Foreign Affairs* for lower-ranking globalists. "D-10 is a golden opportunity for London to put some meat on the bones on the still unproven 'Global Britain' concept pitched by Johnson and others in the wake of Brexit."

The *Foreign Policy* puff piece marketing the D-10 alliance emphasizes the claim that the new "league" would be primarily about countering Communist China — especially in the realm of 5G technology and other sensitive areas where they might be able to get President Trump to play ball. However, like the CFR piece, the globalist authors in *Foreign Policy* openly admit that the ultimate goal, as soon as Trump is out of the way, is for the proposed alliance to usurp responsibility over drastically more policy areas.

"The D-10 opens the door for Europeans to quickly add working groups on climate and multilateralism



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on August 25, 2020

to the agenda should Trump's challenger Joe Biden win in November," they wrote, adding that it would offer Biden a "perfect platform" to implement his foreign-policy agenda across a massive range of other issues as well. As an added benefit to the globalist cause, the piece argues, the D-10 would "keep the United States steady in the turbulent years to come" while "making it harder for Washington to pursue unilateral approaches." In short, the goal is to restrain U.S. sovereignty and shackle the powerful governments to a new control mechanism, harnessing their economic and military power for globalist purposes.

Carnegie, which was led by Soviet agent Alger Hiss before he went to create and lead the UN, has been toying with the idea of creating a League of Democracies for well over a decade. In May of 2008, Carnegie Endowment vice president Thomas Carothers said the idea reflected a "valid concern." However, it advocated instead that the U.S. government become a "better global citizen" while committing to "strengthen existing multilateral institutions" such as the UN, NATO, the Organization of American States (OAS), and the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe.

More recently, neoconservatives and Deep State globalists affiliated with the Republican Party jumped on the bandwagon. The powerful establishment front group known as the Ripon Society, which promotes globalism and Big Government within GOP circles, just this month [published a piece](#) peddling the "League of Democracies" concept. Adding COVID-19 to the mix, "Community of Democracies" boss Thomas Garrett said "democracies" must surrender more sovereignty to multilateral governance schemes. The obscure Poland-based "Community" includes the U.S. government as a member, along with various socialist and corrupt Third World governments.

Complaining of Trump's WHO withdrawal and that the "international order and many of the multi-national organizations that have been established to maintain this order are being questioned and coming under assault," Garrett called for more globalism, not less. "A far more effective course would be for the U.S. to bring democracies together under our shared set of principles and work to shape the agenda and direction of the WHO and other multi-lateral forums in the months and years ahead," he concluded. The Trump administration dispatched a deputy secretary of state to [give a bland speech](#) supporting the "Community of Democracies" at the outfit's 20th anniversary in June.

However, seeking to build a new multilateral organ of globalist power is not new. In fact, *The New American* magazine has been [sounding the alarm about this globalist strategy for many years](#). The late U.S. Senator John McCain (R-Ariz.), often derided by conservatives as a "Republican in Name Only" (RINO), floated the idea during his failed 2008 campaign for president. Establishment-minded neocons and progressives, including some who served in senior positions within the Obama administration, have been peddling the idea, too.

Western globalists often known today among Americans as the "Deep State" always planned for the UN to eventually become a global government, as explained by CFR bigwig and former U.S. Secretary of State John Foster Dulles in his 1950 book *War or Peace*. However, there have been many hiccups along the way, not least the American people's determination to govern themselves. Still, one of the primary motivations behind the "League of Democracies" appears to be to bypass the UN Security Council when one or more members of it block some crucial globalist scheme such as military intervention, regime change, and so on.

Where the Trump administration is on this remains unclear. Globalists were mad when Trump proposed this year creating an 11-member grouping including the Kremlin. After an [eloquent speech denouncing Beijing's tyranny and the murderous "ideology" of Communism](#), U.S. Secretary of State Mike Pompeo



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on August 25, 2020

suggested that perhaps “a new alliance of democracies” could help. In the name of upholding God-given rights and protecting freedom, Pompeo called on democratic governments around the world to seriously resist what he described as China’s “new tyranny.” Resisting Communist Chinese savagery and international ambitions is an excellent idea.

But submerging U.S. sovereignty into yet another transnational governance mechanism is not needed to keep the mass-murdering regime in check. Indeed, simply reining in the enormous support from Western globalists for the Chinese dictatorship would be more than enough to arrest its growing power and totalitarian ambitions of shaping a technocractic “New World Order.”

Also, the idea that “democracy” is a panacea to solve all global problems is deeply flawed. In fact, James Madison, the father of America’s Constitution, wrote in *The Federalist*, No. 10, that democracies “have ever been spectacles of turbulence and contention; have ever been found incompatible with personal security or the rights of property; and have in general been as short in their lives as they have been violent in their deaths.” As such, he thought a constitutional republic based on the rule of law and the protection of God-given rights by law would be superior, with Article IV of the Constitution guaranteeing to every state in the Union a republican form of government.

A “League of Democracies” is not just a foolish idea, it is a dangerous one. It would threaten to further erode self-government while allowing even more American wealth and power to be siphoned off for subversive globalist purposes. Americans should resist the globalist siren calls to constantly surrender more and more sovereignty to the never-ending array of multilateral governance organizations. Instead, a renewed commitment to individual liberty, morality, the Constitution, and self-government represents a real solution to the crises at hand.

Photo showing G-7 national flags: Vector/iStock/Getty Images Plus

Alex Newman, a foreign correspondent for The New American, regularly attends UN and international summits. He can be reached at anewman@thenewamerican.com or through [Liberty Sentinel Media](#). Follow him on Twitter at [@ALEXNEWMAN_JOU](#) or on [Facebook](#).

Related articles:

[Globalist CFR: G9 Committee Must Save “World Order” From Trump](#)

[“Repeal and Replace” the UN: A False Solution](#)

[Global Effort Launched to “Repeal and Replace” United Nations](#)

[Senator Hawley: Abolish World Trade Organization](#)

[China: Staking Claim in the New World Order](#)

[Democracy and Tyranny](#)

[Deep State Behind the Deep State: CFR, Trilaterals, Bilderbergs](#)

[UN-Backed “Great Reset” to Usher in New World Order](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.