



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on May 23, 2025

Study: In Germany, Foreign-born Muslim Women Are MORE Violent Than German Men

We've been told by our "betters," in a variety of ways, that the (actually long-extinct) thing called the Western "patriarchy" imperils women. Why, the miniseries *Adolescence* instructs that what you really must fear, Karen, are cute little 13-year-old English boys. But new data out of Germany, relating to a recent-years crime spike, tell a different tale.

In fact, a reports finds, it's not just that the crime increase isn't the fault of native German men. Nor is it just that the country's recent male imports are inordinately violent (though they are).



GBlakeley/iStock/Getty Images Plus

It's that even foreign-born Muslim *women* (and some other female groups) are more violent than indigenous German men.

Remix has the story, [writing](#) Thursday:

The data shows that for 100,000 German men, 272 were suspected of a violent offense. For Syrian women, this figure [per 100,000] was 336. Clearly, Syrian women were more violent than German men.

... Afghan women are also more violent, with a rate of 359, even more violent than Syrian women.

Iraqi women have an incredible rate of 394, which is considerably more than German men.

Even Serbian women are more violent than German men, with a rate of 371.

Bulgarian women are at 359.

For German women, only 60 are suspected of a violent crime per 100,000.

All of this data makes the left's generalized argument about the "violent male" questionable at best.

This story is interesting, and can be contentious, because it involves two controversial aspects: the "religious" and the sex-oriented. First the latter.

Sugar and Spice?

Now, the sexes certainly *are* different (sorry, "trans" activists), and men are more aggressive by nature. Just yesterday, in fact, I [cited statistics](#) on how men are more likely to commit violent crime. Regardless, the notion that girls are "sugar and spice and everything nice" is, well, more selling point than seriousness.



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on May 23, 2025

Consider, for example, how [studies have shown](#) that women are *more likely* than men to commit domestic violence. Other research, in 2018, found that boys were [more often victims](#) of dating violence than girls were.

Of course, this is counterintuitive for many. Alluding to this and highlighting our age's anti-male spirit, pundit Olivia Murray [wrote](#) yesterday while introducing the Germany-crime story:

A little while back, the progressive, man-hating feminist movement produced what they surely thought was a "gotcha" condemnation for men — it was a social media trend where women would announce whether they'd rather be alone in the woods with a man or a bear ... with a vast majority of women settling on the bear, highlighting "the fear of male violence."

Having fun with this, the top commenter under Murray's article added:

In a similar survey, 100% of bears said they would rather be in the woods with a man with a rifle than an unarmed liberal woman.

Yes, and this is why so many patriots believe in the constitutional right to arm bears....

The Reality

Joking aside, the stats on dating and domestic violence make sense. Normal boys and men feel an instinctive urge to protect women. Men generally don't like seeing females get hurt or cry. And I wrote "normal" because it's men with "personality disorders" (e.g., narcissists, psychopaths) and substance-abuse problems who are disproportionately responsible for male-perpetrated domestic abuse. Women, however, don't have that protective instinct relative to men.

Related to this, the social prohibition "Boys don't hit girls" is still to an extent operative. Yet there's no corresponding prohibition constraining females vis-à-vis males. In fact, recent decades' feminist, girl-power appeals have served to stigmatize the inculcation of ladylike behavior. It's said that emphasizing it places a sex-role straitjacket on girls and limits their potential. (That's the marketing ploy, anyway.) Partially as a consequence of this, girls and women [became more violent](#) post-feminist devolution.

So why does male domestic violence nevertheless stand out? First, men are less likely to report such abuse. Second, since men are generally larger and stronger, they often do more damage when they do get violent. And, third, societally, we do place more emphasis on protecting women.

The Religious Dimension

This said, while the stats on foreign-born Muslim women in Germany are striking, foreign-born Muslim men strike even more. Murray related the relevant data, writing:

[T]he number of Syrian men suspected of violent crime? 2,608, or 859% more. Afghan men came in at 2,409, or 786% more, while Iraqi men were 2,479, or 811% more. "However, the crown goes to Moroccan men, who have a rate of 3,388 suspects in the category of violent crime per 100,000" [quoting Remix]. That means they're 1,146% more likely than native German men to be accused of a violent crime.

While biological sex certainly plays a role in a person's tendency to resort to criminal



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on May 23, 2025

violence, there seems to be more here ... like *ideology* ... which explains why *certain* demographics of women are *more* violent than certain demographics of men.

Apropos to this is a [comprehensive German study](#) of 45,000 youths that was reported in 2010. It found that [with increasing religiosity](#), Christian youths became less violent. Increasing religiosity among the Muslim youths, however, actually made them *more violent*. (I explored the reasons for this [here](#).)

One More Thing...

There likely is another factor, too, though. As mentioned, Moroccans lead in violence, and this brings something to mind. Spending some time in Morocco years ago, I got to know the Spanish consul to northern Morocco. He told me a story. Not long after he arrived in the country, he witnessed some Moroccan committing a petty crime. So he reported it to a policeman.

The cop proceeded to give the man a fairly severe beating — right then and there on the sidewalk.

The consul was shocked. But the point is that in such nations, people are to a greater extent controlled from *without* via harsh punishments. So what happens when you put them in a Western land lacking such strict external control? What happens is what has happened.

By the way, as Remix points out by way of the tweet below, left-leaning German politicians are starting to acknowledge the foreigner crime threat.

<https://t.co/jIPJ6aQecE>

— Remix News & Views (@RMXnews) [April 2, 2025](#)

Nonetheless, they're a long way from asking a more fundamental question: Why should the West invite such problems via insane immigration policies in the first place? As is said, stating the obvious, bring enough of *there* here, and here becomes *there*.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.