



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on September 7, 2015

## Obama Plots Huge Boost to UN Military Amid Child-rape Scandals

As the United Nations struggles to contain the [mushrooming child-rape scandals engulfing its disgraced "peacekeeping" troops](#), the Obama administration and its allies, including the globalist Council on Foreign Relations, are plotting a major boost for the UN's military. The plan to supersize and further empower the global body's scandal-plagued armed forces, years in the making, is set to be unveiled at a global summit this month co-convened by Obama on the sidelines of the UN's 70th annual general debate. The White House has apparently already compiled a list of dozens of foreign governments and dictatorships that plan to support its controversial agenda. Whether the GOP-controlled Congress will also go along with it remains to be seen.



Top Obama administration officials have been reaching out to foreign governments for at least a year on the initiative. On the sidelines of last year's UN General Assembly meeting, [Vice President Joe Biden and UN boss Ban Ki-moon hosted a "peacekeeping" summit aimed at building up more global support for expanding the UN's military capabilities](#). "Civil conflicts coupled with terrorism, organized crime and health crises such as Ebola are threatening millions of people," Ban told attendees in justifying the demands, suggesting that the UN now imagines itself to be a global law-enforcement and anti-terrorism outfit as well. The UN chief also called for better coordination between UN forces and the militaries of emerging regional regimes such as the European Union and the African Union.

{modulepos inner\_text\_ad}

The 2014 event attracted top officials from governments and dictatorships around the world. It followed the release of Obama's [proposed 2015 budget demanding drastically increased funding for the UN and its military wing](#). Since then, Obama officials have been hard at work on the issue. According to news reports about the administration's efforts, the White House has been soliciting more support and UN troops from Western governments. It has also sought more backing and personnel from Russian strongman Vladimir Putin and the brutal Communist Party dictatorship enslaving mainland China, which currently contributes more personnel to UN "peace" missions than any other permanent member of the UN Security Council. Obama has [also invited Communist Chinese and Russian troops on to U.S. soil for "training" for the first time in history](#).

Among the UN military schemes being pushed by Obama, the CFR, and other proponents of a stronger UN military are the creation of a "rapid-reaction force," [more technology and equipment](#), more troops, more power, and more funding. Currently, the UN has close to 150,000 troops deployed around the



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on September 7, 2015

world in over a dozen “peace” missions plagued by [murder of civilians](#), [rape of children](#), and [other atrocities](#). The history of savagery goes back to the very beginning of the UN’s “peace” missions, [when UN forces brutalized and terrorized the people of Katanga for refusing to submit to a communist dictator](#). American taxpayers pay by far the largest share of UN “peace” missions at about a third. Dozens of U.S. officers are also already serving under UN command. But Obama wants more.

U.S. General Martin Dempsey, chairman of the U.S. Joint Chiefs of Staff, apparently wants more, too. He is among the senior Obama officials assigned to push the agenda to drastically increase the size and power of the UN’s “peace” military. Speaking to a UN gathering in July, Dempsey called on representatives of more than 100 governments and dictatorships to increase their support for UN “peacekeeping” schemes. UN troops have the “responsibility to be role models” and must be trained to preserve “the dignity” of the people they supposedly protect, Gen. Dempsey was quoted as saying by the *Wall Street Journal* after his speech. Among other demands, Dempsey called for “reforms” including more UN troops and UN police from more countries, better equipment, better training, and the creation of the much-hyped “rapid-reaction force” to be deployed at a moment’s notice when the UN demands it.

The U.S. military chief did not specify exactly what new support Obama was planning to announce for the global military force at the upcoming summit. However, an anonymous U.S. official at Obama’s mission to the UN told the *Journal* that by asking other governments to send more troops and military equipment to the UN, “we will have to meet those standards ourselves.” It was not immediately clear what constitutional or statutory authority the unnamed official believes would justify increasing U.S. taxpayer and military support without congressional authorization. But after waging a war against Libya under the UN’s purported authority — [without even asking Congress](#) — it is likely that the administration intends to act unilaterally if lawmakers refuse to join the bandwagon.

Speaking at a “UN Security Council Briefing on Peacekeeping Operations” in June, meanwhile, Obama’s UN Ambassador Samantha Power [praised](#) UN military officials for providing a “powerful testament to the spirit that animates the United Nations.” But more must be done, she said, adding that increased contributions by taxpayers and militaries around the world would not be enough. “We all agree that we need to continue to broaden and deepen the pool of troop-contributing countries and increase contingents with niche capabilities to operate in challenging environments,” continued Power, who has [openly advocated surrendering even more U.S. sovereignty to the UN for over a decade](#).

Discussing the upcoming “Peacekeeping Summit” to be hosted by Obama later this month, Power said the goal was to “ensure that the UN can draw on the resources of contributing nations to fulfill the essential role played by UN peacekeeping — to make it more responsive, more effective, and safer for civilians as well as for the peacekeepers who comprise these missions.” She also blasted governments that put conditions on the UN’s use of troops, saying it was unacceptable and that all forces under UN command must unquestioningly follow orders from their UN commanders. Perhaps she had former U.S. Army Specialist Michael New in mind.

In the mid-1990s, the patriotic American soldier refused to violate his oath of office by submitting to the UN or its foreign commanders. He also refused to wear the UN uniform. “I have a problem with that, because I am not UN,” New was quoted as saying in 1995 by this magazine. “I explained this to my lieutenant, and told him, ‘Sir, I don’t think I should have to wear a UN arm band or a UN beret. I’m enlisted in the U.S. Army; I am not a U.N. soldier. I have taken no vow to the UN; I have taken an oath to defend the Constitution of the United States of America from enemies foreign and domestic. I regard the UN as a separate power.... Where does my oath say that I have to wear UN insignia?’” For that, he



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on September 7, 2015

---

was court martialed and discharged from the service.

If the globalist powerhouse known as the Council on Foreign Relations gets its way, though, there will likely be many more Micheal News. Writing in DefenseOne, CFR member and international affairs professor Paul Williams praises Obama's agenda to further expand and empower the UN's forces. "His first step should be to lead by example," Williams said, calling on Washington, D.C., to sacrifice the lives of more American servicemen in service to the UN's "peacekeeping" schemes. "By deploying some of its own specialized military contingents as UN 'blue helmets,' Washington could fill important UN capability gaps in rapid deployment; standing capabilities; in-theatre ground and aviation mobility; medical, engineering, and logistics support; IED survivability; information and analysis; and expertise in transnational threats such as organized crime."

Rather than sending more U.S. troops to serve the UN and further empowering the UN's scandal-plagued "peace" military, Congress should work to swiftly end all U.S. taxpayer funding and U.S. military support for the UN. From [raping children across Africa](#) and [beyond](#) to [slaughtering unarmed protesters](#) and [trafficking sex slaves](#), the UN has a long and horrifying record of military "peace" missions. It is widely [ridiculed as the "dictators club,"](#) and the last thing humanity needs is [more money or more power](#) for the UN. The "[American Sovereignty Restoration Act](#)" of 2015 (H.R. 1205), which would withdraw U.S. participation in the UN and evict the outfit from U.S. soil, is already sitting in the House Foreign Affairs Committee. In the meantime, lawmakers could use the upcoming budget to end all U.S. funding of the UN and its predatory military forces.

*Photo: AP Images*

*Alex Newman is a correspondent for The New American, covering economics, education, politics, and more. Follow him on Twitter [@ALEXNEWMAN\\_JOU](#). He can be reached at [anewman@thenewamerican.com](mailto:anewman@thenewamerican.com).*

*Related articles:*

- [Obama Budget Supersizes U.S. Funding for UN, Global Military](#)
- [Sex Abuse by UN "Peace" Troops Becoming Global Scandal](#)
- [UN "Peace" Armies to Drastically Expand with Obama's Support](#)
- [UN "Peacekeeping" Military Using Drones, With Obama's Support](#)
- [UN Panics Amid Growing Scandal on Child Rape by "Peace" Troops](#)
- [Congressman Mike Rogers Introduces Bill to Get U.S. Out of UN](#)
- [With Obama Support, Interpol to Lead Global "Terror War" Scheme](#)
- [New U.S. Ambassador to UN: U.S. Should Give Up a "Pinch of Sovereignty"](#)
- [UN-backed Forces Slaughter Christians in Ivory Coast](#)
- [The United Nations: On the Brink of Becoming a World Government](#)
- [UN Troops Accused of Sex Crimes Worldwide](#)
- [United Nations Exploits Pseudo-"Human Rights" to Attack U.S.](#)



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

[Subscribe](#)