



# **Globalists Exploit Brussels Terror to Push Police State**

The blood after last week's terror attack in Brussels, Belgium, was not even dry yet when globalists and anti-sovereignty extremists, in typical fashion, began demanding more assaults on liberty and more power for themselves under the guise of "protecting" people from terrorism. At the top of the agenda: exploiting the crisis to impose a "Security Union" on Europeans that would consolidate the emerging continental police state.



The self-styled "president" of the European Union, for example, joined other radical politicians and bureaucrats in arguing that it was time for the EU super-state to have its own "intelligence" agency. Other European leaders pushed a scheme for a EU military force with powers to intervene in member states — even against their will, if Brussels claims the situation is urgent.

Democrats in the United States, including presidential candidate Hillary Clinton, meanwhile, seized the opportunity to launch a fresh jihad on digital encryption, privacy rights, and national sovereignty. At the global level, Interpol, a self-styled <u>planetary "law-enforcement" agency once controlled by Germany's National Socialists (Nazis)</u>, wanted everyone to know that it was working on the Brussels case, too.

All the while, the same power-hungry globalists posing as protectors were busy <u>flooding the West with Islamic immigrants</u> — mostly military-aged men <u>from Islamic countries bombed and ripped to shreds by globalist Western governments and their allies</u>. This all but guarantees an endless supply of future terrorist attacks to exploit in the war on liberty and self-government, regardless of how draconian the police state becomes.

EU "President" Jean-Claude Juncker (shown above) was among the first to exploit the attack to demand more power. Speaking the day after the attack, Juncker called for deeper integration in intelligence gathering. "It becomes more and more obvious that we must reflect over the better cooperation between our respective secret services," the globalist said. "Our knowledge of our immediate neighborhood is not good enough."

He also called for imposing a "Union of security," similar to the "Union of energy, Union of the capital markets, or an economic and monetary Union," according to <u>media reports</u> funded by EU taxpayers. When globalist EU leaders speak of "union" or "integration," it is code word for <u>usurping what little remains of national sovereignty and self-government</u>, and transferring those powers to the power-mad continental regime in Brussels.

In other words, Juncker was taking advantage of the attack to demand more power for the increasingly radical super-state over "security," which covers everything from defense and law enforcement to intelligence and border control. Already, EU officials and propaganda outlets are touting the "Moscow model" for airport security across the bloc, which would see increased security at airports.

Juncker was speaking alongside Socialist French Prime Minister Manuel Valls, who made similar demands for consolidating power in the unaccountable hands of Brussels bureaucrats, often dubbed



### Written by Alex Newman on March 29, 2016



"eurocrats." Valls was busy promoting a recently unveiled scheme to create an armed EU force that would be able to intervene in EU member states even when national authorities reject intervention. Unless the plot is stopped, the so-called <u>European Border and Coast Guard would also take over border security from member states</u>, along with allegedly tackling transnational crime and terror.

"There is an urgent need to strengthen the external borders of the European Union," claimed Valls. He also called for imposing a controversial surveillance scheme that would track travelers across Europe. The most recent iterations of the plot call for mandatory biometric screening of passengers coming into or out of Europe, so people can be checked against international databases. Critics have blasted the scheme as Orwellian, but with the latest terror attack, Big Government-loving EU extremists are pushing it once again.

Italian Prime Minister Matteo Renzi did not even let one night pass before exploiting the attack to wage jihad on the sovereignty and liberty of formerly independent European peoples. "Today they hit Belgium, but they also hit the capital of the European Union," Renzi said. "We need a European pact, a pact for freedom and security... Europe must go all the way this time. We must invest in a common security and defense structure. The security services must work together, and better together, with constant, timely and continuous cooperation." Echoing the <a href="increasingly radical rhetoric of the Obama administration">increasingly radical rhetoric of the Obama administration</a>, he also said "primary school teachers" should be enlisted in the war.

Even in the United States, globalists were only too anxious to exploit the murders in Brussels to wage jihad on liberty and privacy. Democrat presidential candidate Hillary Clinton, for example, echoed many of the same policy prescriptions outlined by European globalists — more surveillance, more power for the EU, more controls on travel, less privacy, and so on. She also called for the imposition of a "new, unified, European border and coast guard," a top demand from European globalists in recent months that was exposed recently by *The New American*.

Fellow U.S. Democrat Adam Schiff, a Big Government congressman from California, acknowledged having absolutely no idea what role encryption technology may have played in the Brussels attacks — if any. But it is time for a more vigorous jihad on privacy and encryption anyway. "We can be sure that terrorists will continue to use what they perceive to be the most secure means to plot their attacks," he said.

In his <u>weekly column</u>, though, former Congressman Ron Paul, a longtime champion of liberty, blasted arguments made by "so-called security experts" calling for Europeans to give up more freedom for alleged security. "They should pause a little beforehand and consider what their governments have done so far to keep them 'safe'," said Paul, a non-interventionist who ran for president on three occasions and now runs the Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity.

Among other actions, Paul pointed to European politicians' foreign interventionism, including helping Obama destroy Libya and Syria. Those disasters were followed by throwing down the welcome mat and offering welfare checks to anyone who could make it to Europe. Millions are taking up the offer, and ISIS is boasting that hundreds of its operatives are, too.

Paul also highlighted the absurdity of handing more money and power to the same governments that fail so catastrophically and consistently in response to every failure on their part. "Why is it that after a terrorist attack, governments are rewarded with bigger budgets and more power over people?" he asked. "Shouldn't failure be punished instead of rewarded?"

"Europeans should be demanding to know why their governments provoke people in the Middle East



#### Written by Alex Newman on March 29, 2016



with aggressive foreign policies, and then open the door to millions of them," explained Paul. "Do their leaders just lack basic common sense?" A more likely explanation, he said, is a conflict of interest among those promoting the same dangerous policies they benefit from.

"As in the United States, the security crisis in Europe is directly tied to bad policy," Paul concluded. "Until bad policy is changed, no amount of surveillance, racial profiling, and police harassment can make the population safer."

Of course, the agenda to impose a transnational military on the peoples of Europe that would be accountable only to the unaccountable super-state is hardly new. As far back as the mid-1950s, globalists have attempted to impose a "European Defense Community" on the nations then being deceived and bludgeoned into surrendering their sovereignty. In the African Union and the Union of South American States — both imposed by the same global government-promoting forces behind the EU — such military schemes are already well underway. Today, globalists become increasingly shrill in pushing their transnational military demands with each and every opportunity to exploit a crisis.

Already, the EU has made massive strides in its quest to impose an unaccountable police state on the peoples of Europe. Consider, for example, that the "European Police Office," or Europol, is now so powerful that it is openly working to censor speech on the Internet under the guise of fighting nebulous notions of "extremism." Other EU "security" agencies include "Frontex," which deals with external borders, and "Eurojust," which purports to battle transnational crime.

Some analysts say that further "security" power grabs by Brussels' tentacles are likely to be resisted by member states weary of handing any more power to the perpetually expanding and deeply unpopular super-state. But that rests largely on the assumption that the EU would respect the wishes of formerly sovereign European peoples. So far, the EU has brazenly bulldozed over public opposition, going so far as to ignore clear "no" votes in referendums on imposing a continental constitution.

The Pavlovian demands of globalists and their lackeys in government and the media that people surrender more liberty and privacy have become standard after every tragedy. If the "terrorists" really attack because they "hate freedom," though, there will soon be no more reason to attack — at least if Americans and Europeans heed the dangerous demands of politicians and police-state advocates to surrender what little freedom remains.

A much simpler and cheaper strategy for stopping terrorism would be to <u>stop funding it</u>, quit <u>destroying foreign countries</u>, <u>and immediately regain control of the borders forced open by internationalist extremists without a humanitarian bone in their body</u>. But that assumes that the globalists are actually interested in keeping anyone but themselves safe — and experience suggests that would be a dangerous assumption to make.

Photo of EU "President" Jean-Claude Juncker: AP Images

Alex Newman, a foreign correspondent for The New American, is normally based in Europe. He can be reached at <u>anewman@thenewamerican.com</u>. Follow him on Twitter <u>@ALEXNEWMAN\_JOU</u>.

Related articles:

Brussels Terror Attacks Underscore Insanity of EU Migration Policy

Refugee Crisis: Using Chaos to Build Power

Refugee Crisis Has Europe on the Brink



## Written by Alex Newman on March 29, 2016



Globalists Who Created Refugee Crisis Now Exploiting It

Hungarian PM: Mass Migration a Plot to Destroy Christian West

EU "Police" Will Censor Internet to Fight "Extremism"

EU Exploits Refugees to Usurp More Power, Build Armed Force

Insider: EU-U.S. Must Take More Refugees, Get Rid of Sovereignty

Globalists Using Muslim Terrorists as Pawns

The EU: Regionalization Trumps Sovereignty

EU in Final Phase of Destroying Democracy, Czech President Warns

With Obama Support, Interpol to Lead Global "Terror War" Scheme

ISIS: The Best Terror Threat U.S. Tax Money Can Buy

After Backing Jihad and Open Borders, French Gov't Feigns Shock

U.S. Defense Intel Chief: Obama Gave "Willful" Aid to Al-Qaeda





# **Subscribe to the New American**

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



# **Subscribe**

#### What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.