



## Australia to Remove Chinese-made Cameras From Defense Sites

SINGAPORE — Australia will remove Chinese-made security cameras from some government buildings to make sure that the venues are “completely secure,” the country’s defense minister said on February 9.

This latest declaration mirrored similar actions taken in the United States and Britain, which have both tried to stop government departments from deploying Chinese-made cameras in sensitive locations. Both countries had previously voiced concerns that Chinese companies could be coerced to share intelligence gathered by the cameras with Beijing, should the latter demand it.



SCM Jeans/iStock/Getty Images Plus

Beijing lambasted Australia for “misusing national might to discriminate against and suppress Chinese enterprises,” and urged Canberra to ensure “fair” treatment for its businesses in the country.

At least 913 Chinese-made cameras have been deployed across more than 250 Australian government buildings, based on official figures assembled by opposition politician James Paterson. The buildings involved include offices and facilities relating to the departments of defense, foreign affairs, and finance, as well as the attorney general’s department.

Australian Defense Minister Richard Marles said officials would find and take away all cameras found within the department’s wide range of offices and facilities. “It’s a significant thing that’s been brought to our attention and we’re going to fix it,” he told national broadcaster ABC. “It’s important that we go through this exercise and make sure that our facilities are completely secure.”

Moreover, the government-funded Australian War Memorial — a vast complex in Canberra — confirmed it would eliminate a small number of Chinese-made cameras due to an “abundance of caution.”

Other government agencies refused to comment, or alluded back to Marles’ statements.

Paterson, a staunch opponent of the Chinese government, had contended that Australian government buildings were “riddled” with “spyware,” and that every Chinese-made camera should be immediately removed.

The cameras were produced by companies Hikvision and Dahua. Both have been blacklisted in the United States, which barred the import of surveillance equipment made by the two companies last November as they were “an unacceptable risk to national security.”

In Britain, a group of 67 lords and members of Parliament asked the government to ban Hikvision and Dahua last July, after reports that their equipment had been used to spy on Uighurs in Xinjiang.

Hikvision remarked that it was “categorically false” to portray the company as “a threat to national



Written by [Angeline Tan](#) on February 12, 2023

---

security.” “No respected technical institution or assessment has come to this conclusion,” the company said. “Our products are compliant with all applicable Australian laws and regulations and are subject to strict security requirements.”

At a regular briefing in Beijing, Chinese foreign ministry spokesman Mao Ning said: “We hope Australia will provide a fair, just and non-discriminatory environment for the normal operations of Chinese enterprises. We oppose any wrong action of stretching the concept of national security and misusing national might to discriminate against and suppress Chinese enterprises.”

In 2018, Australia was one of the first countries in the world to ban Chinese telecommunications company Huawei from its 5G mobile phone network. Former Prime Minister Malcolm Turnbull, who made the move, said it enabled Australia to “defend our own sovereignty.”

Similar Huawei bans are now underway in the U.S., Britain, and Canada — which along with New Zealand and Australia form the Five Eyes spying alliance.

Meanwhile, Australia’s center-left government has been attempting to reboot the country’s relationship with China since assuming power in May last year. China had imposed heavy tariffs on key Australian exports in 2020, at the peak of a heated fracas with Australia’s former government.

Australian Foreign Minister Penny Wong visited Beijing in December last year for discussions with her Chinese counterpart, Wang Yi. Her visit commemorated the 50th anniversary of the establishment of diplomatic ties between the two countries. Wong’s visit also indicated the thawing of hitherto frosty diplomatic ties that saw the suspension of ministerial-level contacts and China’s enforcement of major bans on key Australian exports. Her visit was perceived as a key diplomatic triumph for Australia’s Labor government.

Wong’s visit followed a meticulously arranged series of gestures from both sides, which began with the arrival of a new Chinese ambassador, Xiao Qian, in Canberra in 2021. Although Xiao’s message that Beijing was open to repairing ties was reportedly dismissed by the previous government under then-Prime Minister Scott Morrison, the new team under Anthony Albanese seemed more open to such a gesture.

An initial meeting between the two countries’ defense ministers on the sidelines of the Shangri-La Dialogue in Singapore last June preceded talks between the foreign ministers of both sides. In November, Albanese met with Chinese authoritarian leader Xi Jinping at the Bali Group of 20 (G-20) summit.

The new Albanese government appears set on not repeating its predecessor’s hawkish approach toward the communist regime in Beijing, allegedly to raise political support domestically and earn Washington’s praise.

In contrast, Morrison touted his administration’s strained ties with China by stating that any attempt to mend ties with Beijing must involve intolerable compromises of Australia’s sovereignty.

Underlying questions about how Australia would maneuver in the new era of Chinese power have yet to be addressed. More fundamental challenges in Australia’s relations with China remain. While Chinese Foreign Minister Wang Yi claimed after his meeting with Australia’s Wong that there were no “fundamental conflicts of interests between our two countries,” the reality remains otherwise.

This is because one of China’s greatest aims is to replace the United States as the main power in East Asia and the Western Pacific. The Albanese government explicitly stated that under its leadership,



Written by [Angeline Tan](#) on February 12, 2023

---

Australia would object to Chinese ambitions just as vehemently as it did under Morrison. Indeed, like their predecessors, Albanese and Wong seem set on preserving U.S. dominance as the only possible basis of the East Asian strategic order.

Albanese and Wong displayed this on their very first day in government when, just three hours after being sworn into office, both headed to Tokyo for a summit meeting of the Quad (the security dialog between Australia, India, Japan, and the United States). This notable gesture was meant to display that they would be as staunch as the previous government had been in their support for America's diplomatic and strategic efforts to fend off China's challenge and continue the U.S.-led Asian regional order.

The following month, Albanese joined the NATO summit in Madrid and backed its declaration that China was "a challenge to our interests, our security, and our values."

Apparently, Australia's Labor government is trying to play a diplomatic balancing game by pleasing both Beijing and Washington. Australian leaders have been saying for years that the choice between America and China is not mutually exclusive, thus Australia could continue to depend on China to make it rich and America to ensure its security.

In Canberra's celebrations to mark the anniversary of Sino-Australia relations, some of Australia's leaders lauded the vision of previous Labor leaders such as former prime ministers Gough Whitlam, who spearheaded the country's opening to China, and Bob Hawke, who pioneered the huge trade in mineral resources, which has been vital to both countries.

Nevertheless, even Albanese himself has admitted that China has changed and is now more assertive in staging its claim to strategic dominance in East Asia, and to be on par with America in global affairs.

Arguably, America too has realized that it can no longer turn a blind eye to the gravity of China's challenge, as it did for so long. At present, as the U.S. seems bent on countering China, it would be unrealistic to posit that Australia could revert to walking a diplomatic tightrope as it used to, particularly now that it has become unequivocally bent on supporting America both militarily and diplomatically against China.

In November, when Wong and her colleague Marles went to Washington for the yearly Australia-U.S. Ministerial Consultations, or AUSMIN, with their U.S. counterparts, both leaders highlighted Australia's military support for the U.S. During the meeting, the new Labor government reiterated their commitment to the AUKUS agreement between Australia, the United Kingdom, and the United States, declared by the previous government in September 2021, under which Australia is to purchase nuclear-powered submarines in collaboration with the U.S. and Britain. The AUKUS agreement has become a key icon of Australia's pledge to integrate its military forces as much as possible with America's.

Marles already articulated how far he intends this collaboration to go, telling an audience in Washington: "We will move beyond interoperability to interchangeability. And we will ensure we have all the enablers in place to operate seamlessly together, at speed."

Amid worsening geopolitical dynamics in the Asia-Pacific region, the key issue for Australia now is how far it should go in integrating its armed forces with the U.S. military orbit, or alternatively the extent to which it should increase its ability for self-defense.



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.