



Written by [Christian Gomez](#) on October 17, 2022

Senator Ron Johnson: It's a Very Deep State and It's Populated by Leftists

Senator [Ron Johnson](#) (R-Wis.) spoke at an event at the [FreedomProject Academy](#) in Appleton, Wisconsin, on October 1st. There he explained how America got off the rails during the Franklin Roosevelt administration and what he believes needs to be done now to get back on track.

"I addressed this school, this Freedom Project, about 10 years ago, when you were first starting," Sen. Johnson said about the FreedomProject Academy, which hosted the venue. "I know I would have wanted to do it because I love the first name — freedom."

Senator Johnson discussed how America's university schools of journalism and education are no longer producing real journalists and teachers. Instead, Johnson explained, they are churning out political pundits and activists, who are more concerned with promoting their left-wing ideology rather than objectively reporting or teaching the news and facts, respectively. Johnson also went after the Left for promoting radical ideologically-driven gender policies that force girls to share female locker rooms and restrooms with anatomical males.

He also blamed Congress's unfettered spending on its ability (via the Federal Reserve) to print money. "We are accumulating debt at an unsustainable level," Johnson said. He described the Biden policies and inflation as contributing to the start of, what he considers to be, a financial crisis. Johnson indicted Biden's "fundamental transformation" for fundamentally destroying the country.

Johnson closed his remarks by expressing his appreciation for how FreedomProject Academy (an affiliate of this publication) is educating students with a love of country; he said:

I haven't talked about this poll recently. There was one given a couple months ago, quite a few months ago. And no matter how they slice and dice this demographically ... every group had at least more than half in some way shape or form said they loved this country, except for one [group] — young people. Now they didn't grow up with an automatic bias against this marvel we call America. That was thought to them. That was indoctrinated in them. So again, that's why I'm so appreciative of this institution [FreedomProject] and the fact that it's named for that one essential ingredient.

Senator Johnson also participated in a Q&A session moderated by Dr. [Duke Pesta](#), the academic director of FreedomProject Academy.

The first question Dr. Pesta asked was whether abolishing the federal Department of Education was even possible. "I wish it were," Johnson replied before explaining how very few people in the federal bureaucracy, staff members of Congress, and congressmen themselves have little to no knowledge of and very little sympathy for the private sector. And "maybe ever worse," Johnson explained, "is that so





Written by [Christian Gomez](#) on October 17, 2022

very few [of them] have them have ever even been part of a functioning organization... Government is not very functional." Instead, Johnson said that he would push hard to "bring function back in."

Senator Johnson called for passing the [Reducing the Size of the Federal Government Through Attrition Act](#), which he originally introduced in 2012, together with then-Representative [Mick Mulvaney](#) (R-S.C.) in the House. "You don't have to fire anybody, just quite hiring them. It's amazing how quickly you'd starting shrinking government," Johnson said.

On the subject of potentially impeaching President Joe Biden and Attorney General Merrick Garland, Johnson expressed doubt that they would even be convicted in the Senate. Instead, Johnson said that he'd rather focus his attention on exposing the truth and to keep digging. However, he also cautioned the audience to understand the difficulty of doing so, lamenting how even with a supportive Republican president, Donald Trump, in office he still never received documents that he had subpoenaed from FBI Director Christopher Wray. "People say don't call it the Deep State. No. It's a very Deep State and it's populated by leftists," Johnson said.

Of the 87,000 new IRS agents, Johnson said that he has hard time believing that that will not be rescinded. "I would think that one of the first pieces of legislation that we [Republicans] introduce in both the House and Senate is to repeal that, those 87,000 [IRS] agents," he explained. "And it's going to be little bit easier because it's going to very though to hire 87,000 agents... I think we can end that."

Regarding the war in Ukraine, Johnson defended his unconstitutional vote in favor of sending aid to Ukraine. "We're not buying weapons with that \$12 billion or whatever [amount] they put in this thing. And just say we're just giving them our weapons. What the money is probably doing is replenishing our stock, which we better do," Johnson contended. "One of the reasons I voted for the first 40 [billion dollars] because I knew that's the dynamic here."

Omitting any mention of [Article I, Section 8, Clause 11](#) of the federal Constitution, which only authorizes Congress with the power "To declare of War," Johnson instead insisted, "I knew the president of the United States is the Commander in Chief. There's really no constraint of what he can do with the military and military assets." Further adding, "I knew [Biden] was going to be sending those arms, which, by the way, I'm quite sympathetic with and I hope you all are too." Johnson went on to express his full support for Ukraine, despite recognizing the high volume of corruption endemic in their government. "I'm sorry, I think America ought to support them," Johnson said.

Even though Sen. Johnson acknowledged the existence and influence of the Deep State domestically he failed to recognize its influence over U.S. foreign policy, especially how Deep State globalists are using to war in Ukraine to further European integration and build a new world order. Instead, Johnson described American intervention overseas as "a force for good in the world." Doubling down on his support for Ukraine, Johnson urged the audience, "Let's not turn our backs on freedom loving people."

Johnson also shared that one of the reasons that he's running for reelection is to be a voice for the vaccine injured. He also explained how he was arming the men and women who serve in the military with the knowledge that the COVID-19 vaccines they are being administered are not FDA approved, as the president's own executive order requires. "They are not being administered an FDA-approved drug, as the executive order demands," Johnson said. "You can't get the information out on this but I'm highly doubtful that any of the vaccine that is being administered in the U.S. is FDA approved."

Johnson further elaborated, "They approved something that's being manufactured somewhere else in probably very small lots and they just call them interchangeable. But ask me why they don't give those



Written by [Christian Gomez](#) on October 17, 2022

[administered vaccines] full FDA approval? Is it because of the liability protection? I don't know. We just can't get answers out of this administration." Adding, "There's been no honesty, no transparency from our federal health agencies, which is why we no longer trust them, which is a tragedy in and of itself."

Asked where stood on a Con-Con or [Constitutional Convention](#) to potentially alter the Constitution, Senator Johnson gave a mixed response supporting it only if we knew in advance what the amendment was that they would be voting on at the convention. "I would support a Convention of the States, but the way I would structure it is that we've already got the constitutional amendment agreed on. We gavel in, agree to it, we gavel out. I would not want to do a wide-open Convention of States, I just wouldn't," Johnson replied. "As much as I respect people like Mark Levin, who's written a [book](#) [and] as appealing as some of those constitutional amendments might be, the one I would want is kind of where I started with — and I wouldn't do a Balanced Budget Amendment, because there'd have to be [waivers](#) and trust me Congress would weasel around those things in a second — what I would want to do is set a spending limitation as a percentage of GDP and stick to that. Probably around somewhere of 18-percent of GDP would be my guess."

However, the problem with Senator Johnson's suggested constitutional amendment is that it would seek to reduce spending without reference to its constitutionally. If Congress only spent money on the things it was authorized by its enumerated powers that alone would cut over 80-percent of federal spending and would negate the need for proposing a constitutional amendment, as he suggested. As [Bill Hahn](#), the CEO of [The John Birch Society](#) (also an affiliate of this publication) [explained](#) back on July 26, 2022:

If Congress was following the Constitution by adhering to its limitations, then its spending would be under control as the Constitution only authorizes Congress certain powers as mostly found in Article I, Section 8. In other words, the vehicle to restrain government spending and to rein it in already exists! Amending the Constitution will only legitimize unconstitutional spending.

Rather than trying to limit or reduce unconstitutional spending, Senator Johnson and his colleagues should instead focus their efforts on enforcing only constitutionally authorized spending. And he should reject any and all funding that is inconsistent with Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution, such as appropriations for the federal Department of Education and foreign aid.

Recognizing the federal government's ability to print money (via the Federal Reserve) as the source of its reckless spending, it would behoove Senator Johnson should work together with Senator [Rand Paul](#) (R-Ky.) and with Representatives [Thomas Massie](#) and [Alex Mooney](#) in the House of Representatives to introduce and pass legislation to ultimately [abolish the Federal Reserve](#) and its ability to print the very elastic fiat money that enables the out-of-control spending. Returning to a fixed [gold standard](#), or a combination of a gold and silver standard, would immediately bind the U.S. money supply to a hard and valuable asset that cannot be easily stretched thereby ending both the inflation and reckless unconstitutional spending that the Deep State relies on.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.