



SCOTUS Overturns Chevron in Massive Defeat for Regulatory Agencies

On Friday, the United States Supreme Court greatly limited the ability of regulatory agencies such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to essentially fill in the details when laws are unclear. The 40-year-old *Chevron* decision effectively granted the regulatory state — which consists of unelected bureaucrats — power to broadly interpret laws and, in effect, create laws based upon those interpretations.

But, in today's 6-3 [decision in *Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo*](#), the Court's conservative wing voted to overturn [Chevron U. S. A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council](#).



Douglas Rissing/iStock/Getty Images Plus

"The Administrative Procedure Act requires courts to exercise their independent judgment in deciding whether an agency has acted within its statutory authority, and courts may not defer to an agency interpretation of the law simply because a statute is ambiguous; *Chevron* is overruled," wrote Chief Justice John Roberts in the decision.

Left-wing court watchers claim the decision is a large gift to corporations and could result in numerous deaths due to the Deep State's inability to intervene against "bad laws."

California Democratic Rep. Adam Schiff was immediately [worried](#) about how the decision would affect the EPA's ability to make climate change law.

"This partisan Supreme Court just shredded another precedent, overturning the *Chevron* decision and weakening our ability to combat the climate crisis, hold corporations accountable, and much more," Schiff posted on X. "Our people and our planet will feel the consequences."

Conservatives are overjoyed at the news, with some considering it an opening salvo in the battle against the Deep State.

"HUGE WINNING....SCOTUS overturns 1984 *Chevron* decision," [posted](#) Steve Milloy, a climate realist with JunkScience.com. "Regulatory agencies don't get to make up law anymore. @realDonaldTrump made this possible!"

The *Chevron* decision held that government agencies should be given wide discretion when there is ambiguity in law. Today's SCOTUS ruling found that those decisions rightly are the purview of courts, not bureaucrats.

"Courts must exercise their independent judgment in deciding whether an agency has acted within its statutory authority," Roberts wrote.



Written by [James Murphy](#) on June 28, 2024

The rise of the regulatory state has been going on since the New Deal of the '30s, which led to a bloated government full of alphabet agencies. The Court recognized this.

“During the ‘rapid expansion of the administrative process’ that took place during the New Deal era ... the Court often treated agency determinations of *fact* as binding on the courts, provided that there was ‘evidence to support the findings,’” Roberts wrote. “But the Court did not extend similar deference to agency resolutions of questions of *law*.”

In concurrence, Justice Neil Gorsuch claimed that *Chevron* was simply bad precedent in that it relied on the opinions of regulators, which usually echoed the wants of the executive branch. “Beginning in the mid-1980s, however, this Court experimented with a radically different approach. Applying *Chevron* deference, judges began deferring to the views of executive agency officials about the meaning of federal statutes.” Gorsuch wrote. “With time, the error of this approach became widely appreciated. So much so that this Court has refused to apply *Chevron* deference since 2016.”

Justice Elena Kagan, who dissented along with Justices Sonia Sotomayor and Ketanji Brown Jackson, wasn’t so convinced, and argued that “if the court finds, at the end of its interpretive work, that Congress has left an ambiguity or gap, then a choice must be made. Who should give content to a statute when Congress’s instructions have run out? Should it be a court? Or should it be the agency Congress has charged with administering the statute?”

Kagan argued that *Chevron* “has become part of the warp and woof of modern government, supporting regulatory efforts of all kinds—to name a few, keeping air and water clean, food and drugs safe, and financial markets honest.” “The majority is sanguine; I am not so much,” she wrote.

Any victory in the war against the Deep State must be appreciated, and this is potentially a huge win. While the EPA and other climate-change friendly agencies attempt to regulate gas stoves out of existence and create other onerous regulations, they now have one less arrow in their quiver.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

[Subscribe](#)