



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on October 9, 2018

With 2020 Dem Debates Nigh, Leftists Love Political System — Just Not the American One

If it seems to you that our politicians campaign more than govern, gird your loins — because the first 2020 Democrat presidential debate is mere months away. Moreover, as if midterm machinations weren't enough, leftists, screaming unfairness, appear to want the American political system rent root and branch.

As the *Washington Post's* Aaron Blake [writes](#):



Brett M. Kavanaugh's confirmation has brought about a new round of complaints among Democrats about how allegedly unfair and even undemocratic our system of government has become. Previously, this was mostly about gerrymandering in the House and the electoral college, which in 2016 delivered the GOP its second popular-vote-losing president in 16 years. Now, it's also aimed at the Senate, where small GOP-dominated states are [increasingly passing things with the backing of a minority of Americans](#) behind them. Still others are even talking about Democrats, if and when they retake power, packing the Supreme Court with additional justices to tilt it to the left.

That's basically all the major levers of power in U.S. government that Democrats feel are unfair to them in some way, and must be rectified.

The Senate gripe concerns the fact that all states, regardless of population (i.e., California has 66 times the population of Wyoming), have the same Senate representation — two senators. As Blake explains, a colleague of his "noted that Kavanaugh was [an unpopular nominee confirmed by senators representing less than half of the total U.S. population](#) (not to mention that he was appointed by a president who lost the popular vote). The Senate these days can reach a majority, in fact, with the votes of senators representing 17 percent of the population."

(Point of fact: We don't really know the precise 2016 popular vote figures because *not all the ballots were counted*. Why not? Because some states have rules dictating that if the number of absentee ballots isn't great enough to alter the state outcome, they don't have to be tallied.)

Blake also points out, however, that Democrats are externalizing and should blame not their stars but themselves. As he writes of our system, "These have been the ground rules since the late 1700s."

{modulepos inner_text_ad}

In truth, evident here is petulance. And when pondering this, I think of how in tennis you can win more games than your opponent but lose the match — i.e., if you're defeated 6-0, 6-7, 6-7, you've won 18 games to the other player's 14. Yet never in all my years in the sport (I once was a tennis pro) did I ever see even the smallest, most immature child thus lose and cry, "The rules aren't fair! I really won!" Even babes know that competing under the established rules is tacit acceptance of them, and you honor the outcome. Of course, little kids are more honest than the overgrown children called leftists.

Yet it's no surprise that Democrats would reject a system designed to give small states equal



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on October 9, 2018

representation in one legislative chamber: Not only isn't it benefitting them currently, but they don't believe in states' powers.

Another factor is cultural change. Where once we viewed our land as a nation of states, we now increasingly consider it a nation-state. Where people once considered themselves Virginians, North Carolinians, or New Yorkers perhaps first and foremost, we now just see ourselves as "American" (though many often can't define what that means anymore).

Having said this, not many Democrats in Congress *openly* advocate upending our system, no doubt because this wouldn't look good going into the midterms and 2020 presidential contest. And, oh joy, the latter will soon kick into gear.

Ex-Barack Obama advisor David Axelrod [said recently](#) that the first Democrat debate may take place as early as "the first quarter of 2019." It may be such a crowded field, too, [reports](#) the *Week*, that there could end up being two debate groups; as in the 2016 GOP primaries, the "second tier" (low-polling) candidates would debate at a later hour (when only insomniacs are watching).

One of these sandman contenders could be, believe it or not, 2004 Democrat presidential nominee John Kerry. The *Week* [tells us](#) that the 74-year-old has hinted at a 2020 run.

A prospective blast-from-the-past candidate with greater current name recognition is 75-year-old ex-vice president Joe Biden. What both these gentlemen may not realize, however, is that in this age of intense anti-white-male prejudice, it's now virtually impossible for a white man to win the Democrat primaries. Even the day-late-and-dollar short *Washington Post* recently [addressed](#) this factor.

Two other high-profile prospective candidates are senators Elizabeth Warren (Mass.) and Kamala Harris (Calif.). Other [possible contenders](#) are New York governor Andrew Cuomo; ex-New York City mayor Michael Bloomberg; former U.S. attorney general Eric Holder; ex-Massachusetts Governor Deval Patrick; Senator Kirsten Gillibrand (N.Y.); Sen. Cory Booker (N.J.); Senator Bernie Sanders (Vt.); and even the man pundit Tucker Carlson calls the Creepy Porn Lawyer, publicity-loving [Michael Avenatti](#).

For the record, my money is on Kamala Harris, the perfectly "diverse" and radical Democrat candidate for this pc time.

Speaking of money, the nihilistic nabobs of yesterday's news, Bill and Hillary Clinton, are on what *American Thinker's* Thomas Lifson [calls](#) "their 'We'll never go away, Democrats!' tour." Lifson says this is all about money, and, hey, there's a reason why the *New York Post* once [wrote](#) that the pair's M.O. is "Quid Pro Dough."

It's also hard to imagine Hillary contemplating another presidential run, especially since, well, that [old gray mare, she ain't what she used to be](#). Reflecting her obvious but obscured serious health problems, Hillary [coughed up another lung](#) at a Monday appearance in England. Violent coughing fits plagued her during the 2016 campaign.

As for serious societal health issues, one thing plaguing America today is an increasingly radical Democrat Party that hates our culture and civilization. Thus are they pursuing a cultural revolution, tearing down traditions, statues, the reputations of the Founders and Christopher Columbus, and anything else contrary to their passions and power-lust. Now they even suggest tearing down our political system.

The [good news](#) is that [some recent polls](#) show the Democrats [losing steam](#). Hopefully this will continue, because the blue wave threatens to wash away not just Republicans, but our whole civilization.



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on October 9, 2018

Image: PeskyMonkey via iStock / Getty Images Plus



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.