



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on December 19, 2018

Will Progressives Battle Over 2020 Candidate? Two Polls Say They Might

It looks like the kook Left that controls the Democratic Party is preparing for a big fight on the “progressive” choice to run for president in 2020.

Two radical left interest groups have polled members and received different answers to the big question: Which progressive is best qualified to lead the anti-Trump resistance in two years.



One group picked the geriatric [millionaire apologist](#) for communist tyrants, Senator Bernie Sanders of Vermont.

Another group picked California’s Senator Kamala Harris, the [prevaricating, high-handed interrogator](#) of white men who appear before her committees.

Democracy for America

Sanders was the choice of nearly 40 percent of those polled by the socialist-leaning Democracy for America, which bills itself as a “member-driven, people-powered political action committee” with a seemingly singular mission: excluding white conservatives from public office.

“Our mission,” [its website says](#), “is building and empowering a broad coalition of grassroots organizers to elect the New American Majority — people of color and white progressives — to fight for inclusive populism at all levels of office in all 50 states.”

{modulepos inner_text_ad}

That frightening message aside, the group reported that its members cast 94,163 votes for president with the [following results by percentage](#):

- Bernie Sanders: 36.14
- Joe Biden: 14.88
- Beto O’Rourke: 12.34
- Elizabeth Warren: 7.89
- Kamala Harris: 6.95
- Sherrod Brown: 3.33
- Amy Klobuchar: 2.72
- Cory Booker: 2.16
- Tulsi Gabbard: 2.06

The rest aren’t worth discussing, at least for now.

“These results make clear that, while Bernie Sanders has a strong early lead, no single potential



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on December 19, 2018

presidential candidate has full command of the Democratic Party's progressive base heading into 2019," said Yvette Simpson, incoming chief executive officer of Democracy for America.

The goal, incoming chairman Charles Chamberlain says, is to "find the best one to take on Trump."

Of course, the real enemy isn't just Trump. It's those who voted for him. Thus, the call for a "new American majority."

She the People

Meanwhile, the lefties over at She the People, another fringe group even more racially exclusive than the amusingly named Democracy for America, [want Kamala Harris](#) by a large margin of more than 70 percent.

And [what is She the People](#)? It's a "national network connecting women of color to transform our democracy. We are elevating and amplifying the voice and power of women of color as leaders, political strategists, organizers, and voters. She the People is building an inclusive, multiracial coalition driving a new progressive political and cultural era."

[It polled](#) "264 women of color leaders, campaign workers and managers, political strategists, organizers, and activists."

The numbers break down this way:

- Harris: 71.1
- O'Rourke: 38.3
- Biden: 25
- Booker: 24.2
- Warren: 22.3
- Stacey Abrams: 15.2
- Sanders: 12.1

[Harris has said](#) she will consult with her family and decide on a run during the Senate's Christmas recess.

Gabbard To Run?

In other words, the results of the two polls are nearly opposite. One group would elect an apologist for totalitarian murderers who will be [nearly 80 years old](#) when he takes office. The other group would elect a woman [who compared](#) the employees of Immigration and Customs Enforcement to the Ku Klux Klan [and brazenly lied](#) to destroy the career of a highly-esteemed nominee for the U.S. Supreme Court.

Then there's Tulsi Gabbard, the [stunning congresswoman](#) from Hawaii who served in Iraq.

A major in the Army National Guard, she might well challenge the "progressive" pair and the rest of the field, the [Associated Press reported](#).

"She has visited early primary and caucus states New Hampshire and Iowa in recent months and has written a memoir that's due to be published in May," and "would join a crowded Democratic field if she does run."

[AP noted](#) the obvious: Gabbard would be unique in many ways. But not all of them are good.

Despite being an Army officer, her choice for president in 2016 was Sanders — again, [an unapologetic](#)



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on December 19, 2018

[backer of mass-murdering tyrants](#). “Her endorsement came in dramatic fashion,” AP observed, “with her resigning as a vice chairwoman of the Democratic National Committee to express her support.”

The question is why an Army officer of Gabbard’s intelligence would even consider supporting someone with Sanders’ shady r sum , not least his unwavering support for America’s communist enemies during the Cold War?

The choice raises an obvious question of judgment.

Gabbard aside, the top choices of the two subversive groups have one thing in common: an abiding dislike, and perhaps even hatred, for the America in which they were raised.

Image: ANNECORDON via iStock / Getty Images Plus



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

Subscribe