



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on August 21, 2020

What Dems Want Nationally: Locality's Mail-in Vote Fraud So Bad, Judge Orders New Election

Here's a question: If one locality couldn't conduct a mail-in-ballot election without vote fraud so rampant a judge would be compelled to order a new election, what's would happen if this system were replicated nationally?

This should be pondered now that such fraud has been revealed in Patterson, New Jersey, a city of 150,000.

As the Political Insider [reported](#) Thursday, "On Wednesday, State Superior Court Judge Ernest Caposela ruled that new municipal elections must be held in...Patterson, after the previous elections were 'irreversibly tainted.' The City Council special election on May 12th was supposedly won by Alex Mendez, but his opponent, William McKoy, suspected foul play, and filed a lawsuit accusing Mendez of fraud."



"An investigation caused 20 percent of ballots to be rejected, and charges of voter fraud were brought against Mendez, another councilman, and two other men," the site continued. "Mendez and the councilman were accused of the unauthorized possession of ballots that weren't their own, and also of submitting voter registration applications for those not eligible to vote."

"The Passaic County Board of Elections ultimately discounted 800 ballots," the Hill [informs](#), adding later that "the board disqualified another 2,300 after determining signatures on them did not match those on file."

Note that McKoy, a 20-year incumbent city councilman, had lost by a mere 240 votes. In other words, the fraud was certainly more than great enough to account for his deficit.

President Trump highlighted the case (tweet below), pointing out that nationwide mail-in voting in November would invite similar mischief.

The 2020 Election will be totally rigged if Mail-In Voting is allowed to take place, & everyone knows it. So much time is taken talking about foreign influence, but the same people won't even discuss Mail-In election corruption. Look at Patterson, N.J. 20% of vote was corrupted!

— Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump) [July 26, 2020](#)

While propagandists claim vote fraud "hardly ever happens," the Patterson incident is just the latest in



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on August 21, 2020

litany of electoral fraud cases. In fact, the Heritage Foundation provides a [database of such](#) that has swelled to 1,285 *proven cases* — including at least 1,119 convictions.

Moreover, the Heritage Foundation [points out](#) that its database doesn't even "capture all voter fraud cases and certainly doesn't capture reported instances that aren't even investigated or prosecuted."

Rather, the "database is intended to demonstrate the vulnerabilities in the election system and the many ways in which fraud is committed," the Heritage Foundation continues.

And mail-in voting presents a major vulnerability. Just consider research out of the Walter Cronkite School of Journalism at Arizona State University. It "found 2,068 prosecutions for election fraud," [reported](#) the *Herald Tribune* August 13, with mail-in ballot fraud representing "the most frequent type of case prosecuted, making up 24% of the total."

Of course, expanding mail-in voting could make it 90 percent of the total — and make that total great enough to give a certain major party near-total power.

Mail-in balloting can also facilitate non-citizen voting (likely an issue in Patterson, as registration applications were submitted for "those not eligible to vote") and thus greatly exacerbate an already existing problem.

Note here that the left-wing *Washington Post* [reported](#) in 2014 on a study showing that non-citizen voters might have *already* tipped U.S. elections — in Democrats' favor.

Yet these problems aren't a bug, but a feature of mail-in voting, designed by a "modern Democratic Party" that "actively encourages and facilitates voter fraud at every opportunity," [concluded](#) LifeSite in February.

(This is why the Democrats also adamantly oppose voter ID, even though identification is necessary to function in our society — for example, to obtain government benefits, drive a vehicle, and check in to airports and hotels.)

Insider Democrats know this as well as anyone. Just consider NYC's then-election commissioner, *Democrat* Alan Schulkin, [who was captured by Project Veritas on hidden video in 2016 admitting](#), "I think there's a lot of voter fraud" as he talked about how people are "bussed" around to vote illegally (video below).

Schulkin was lamenting the actions of other Democrats, ones such as an operative named Scott Foval. In Project Veritas' second video in the same series (below), Foval can be [seen and heard](#) giving advice on committing vote fraud (warning: vulgar language).

And here's Project Veritas' third video in the series (warning: vulgar language):

Project Veritas then released the following video a few months later, addressing the notion that vote fraud is "rare."

Summarizing just some of what was revealed in the above exposés, LifeSite [quoted](#) the Democrat operatives as saying:

- "We've been busing in people [illegal voters] for 50 years and we're not going to stop now."
- "[We know] this is illegal."
- "I think backward from how they would prosecute us, and then try to build out a method to avoid



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on August 21, 2020

[getting caught].”

- “We implement the plan across every Republican-held state.”
- “[Our operation] causes massive changes in state legislatures and Congress.”
- “Hillary knows what’s going on.”

And so does Hillary — and her fellow travelers — know what’s going on with the mail-in voting scheme. Democrats have encouraged thousands of people to “protest” (and often riot and loot), bunched together, sometimes without masks; in fact, Democrat politicians have often joined the demonstrators. Moreover, people regularly stand in lines now waiting to enter stores, in deference to COVID-19 policy.

But yet we’re supposed to believe that standing in line to vote is somehow too deadly to contemplate.

Remember here that a generally unmentioned way to suppress the vote is to cast an illegal ballot that negates *your* vote. Then it’s as if you never voted at all.

Selwyn Duke (@SelwynDuke) has written for The New American for more than a decade. He has also written for The Hill, Observer, The American Conservative, WorldNetDaily, American Thinker, and many other print and online publications. In addition, he has contributed to college textbooks published by Gale-Cengage Learning, has appeared on television, and is a frequent guest on radio.

Photo: JimVallee/iStock/Getty Images Plus



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.