



Written by [Luis Miguel](#) on December 1, 2020

Wait: Biden Won 200 Fewer Counties Than Obama ... but Still Got 13 Million More Votes?

The mainstream media continues to discard any talk about the election's glaring voting irregularities as evidence (these are the same people who say that a gunshot in the back of a man's head isn't evidence of foul play), but the charade is hard to keep up when the statistical anomalies are so in-your-face.

Take the number of counties won by each candidate, for example. Democrats and the media want us to believe Joe Biden, who could barely bring a dozen people to a rally and rarely left his basement, managed to outperform his old boss Barack Obama, who is nearly a messianic figure among liberals.



AP Images

And they want us to believe Biden outdid Obama despite the fact that of all the counties voting for president in America in this election, Biden [won](#) only 477 to Obama's 689.

That's right. Joe Biden 212 fewer counties than Obama did in 2012, yet somehow (allegedly) got 13 million more votes than Obama did.

How does this add up?

{modulepos inner_text_ad}

Eric Trump, the president's son, noted the peculiarity of the numbers:

Biden lost 212 more counties than Obama did in 2012 (Biden won 477 counties vs Obama who won 689), yet Biden magically gained 13 million more votes than Obama... please...

[#RiggedElection](#)

— Eric Trump (@EricTrump) [November 30, 2020](#)

So did Steve Cortes, the Trump 2020 Campaign senior advisor for strategy:

County election returns highlight the utter improbability of a Biden win.

He only won 1/6th of all counties, and failed to outperform 2016 in the largest counties, yet he won millions more votes than Trump, HRC or Obama?

Here are the numbers that point to fraud...[#ChalkTalk pic.twitter.com/P2ae5MeZrH](#)

— Steve Cortes (@CortesSteve) [November 30, 2020](#)

Noting Biden's loss of total counties compared to Obama, Cortes said: "Can you still win the election



Written by [Luis Miguel](#) on December 1, 2020

that way? Yes, you can. But you must run up the score in the most populous counties in America and that didn't happen — at least not in settled states, red and blue.”

He went on to note that in Los Angeles County in California, the president gained half a million votes over his 2016 total, or four percent on margin. In Chicago's Cook County, he gained 115,000 votes, or three percent on margin versus 2016. In Houston's Harris County, the president's increase was 150,000 votes, or one percent on margin.

But when it came to Maricopa in the swing state of Arizona, the president lost Maricopa despite gaining 400,000 votes there.

“This is a larger trend we see nationally,” Cortes said, “where Biden does not outperform in settled states, blue and red, but then he does in exactly the places he needs it. Now, this is improbable to the point of being impossible.”

Biden's numbers are fraught with eyebrow-raising irregularities and downright impossibilities.

One of the [most glaring issues](#) is the vast difference in Georgia of the number of individuals who voted for President Trump, but not for the state's U.S. Senate race, versus the number of voters who did the equivalent for Joe Biden.

For the president, it was 818, meaning that of the millions of voters who cast ballots for him, only a little over 800 did not also vote for the Senate race. For Biden, however, the number was 95,801 — meaning nearly 96,000 people allegedly voted for the former vice president but did not bother choosing candidates in the Senate contest.

Another [key insight](#) from Pennsylvania: Biden got the votes of 115 percent of registered Democrats. Usually the number hovers around 70 percent in national elections.

Then there's the fact that, as in Georgia, a dramatic number of individuals cast ballots only for the presidential race; analysis shows this occurred exponentially more with Biden voters.

This phenomenon occurred in other states. In Arizona, for instance, double the number of Biden voters as Trump voters only voted for the top of the ticket. In Michigan, it was triple the number.

Another oddity of the election: Only four incumbent presidents since 1912 did not win reelection. Yet in all cases, they lost with fewer votes than in the previous election.

For example, President Taft's votes fell from seven million to three million; Hoover's went down from 21 million to 15 million; Carter's from 40 million to 35 million; and George H.W. Bush's from 48 million to 39 million.

By contrast, if President Trump really does lose, he will be the first incumbent president to gain votes, almost seven million, and still lose reelection. That included increasing his vote, in some cases considerably, in all the key battleground states (Michigan, Wisconsin, Georgia, North Carolina, Arizona, Pennsylvania, and Florida).

Given the growing evidence, Republicans should forsake their calls for concession and a “transition of power” and instead [do what](#) Arizona State Representative Mark Finchem (R-Oro Valley) did and ensure their states do not certify the result of what is a clearly fraudulent operation to install Joe Biden as an illegitimate president.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

Subscribe