



Trump's Plans to Cut Government Coming Into Focus

With the help of Representative Jason Chaffetz (R-Utah), the chairman of the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee, President-elect Donald Trump [is narrowing his focus](#) on just how, starting on his first day in office, he plans to start cutting government.



Trump made many promises on the campaign trail, many of them glittering generalities:

- “We are going to ask every department head in government to provide a list of wasteful spending projects that we can eliminate in my first 100 days.”
- “We can also stop funding programs that are not authorized by law.”
- “I may cut [the] Department of Education.”
- “If we save just one penny of each federal dollar spent on non-defense and non-entitlement programs, we can save almost \$1 trillion over the next decade.”
- “We are going to cut many of the agencies.”
- “Waste, fraud and abuse all over the place. Waste, fraud and abuse.... We will cut so much, your head will spin.”

Trump has already announced a hiring freeze beginning on his first day in office (relying on natural attrition to reduce the federal workforce), but that, according to plans now being finalized, will be just the beginning. He plans to “green light” the firing of poor performers, place a ban on “official time” — doing union business on company time — and present legislation that would change retirement plans for new employees. This last proposal is one that Chaffetz has pushed: setting up self-directed 401K plans in place of defined benefit plans that federal employees now enjoy. The latter place a special burden on taxpayers who guarantee those benefits regardless of the amount of monies paid into the plan.

Vice President-elect Mike Pence also offered a recommendation that he put in place as Indiana’s governor: performance bonuses for truly outstanding work instead of “automatic” raises that are based only on longevity on the job.

Many of Trump’s changes have been in the works for years but political intransigence has stalled many of them. Even the liberal *Washington Post* admitted:

Many inside and outside government agree that change to the way federal workers are hired, promoted and disciplined is long overdue. Employees under investigation for breaking the rules can sit at home for years — collecting paychecks and benefits — while their cases drag on.

Performance rankings are widely panned as a joke because the vast majority of workers are rated as exceeding expectations or doing outstanding work.

Federal workers are seldom fired for poor performance — and it can take years for managers to



make a successful case for dismissal for misconduct.

In fact, civil service rules are so onerous that most managers, faced with even the most egregious cases of poor performance, don't even bother to move to fire a government worker: It's easier just to put up with it. Consequently, this stat from the Bureau of Labor Statistics doesn't surprise: about 0.5 percent of civil servants get fired every year. That's one out of every two hundred.

Civil service protections were put in place more than 130 years ago, but they have long ago outlived their initial purpose: to keep administrations from using jobs as a "spoils" system to reward party supporters. Today, according to Paul Light, a professor at New York University, "The civil service system fails at almost everything it was designed to do. It's very slow at hiring, negligent in disciplining [and] permissive in promoting."

One thing missing from the conversation is the one thing that would work best at reducing government: following the limitations placed on the federal government by the Constitution. Under Article 8, the duties have been enumerated, limited, and then guaranteed under the 10th Amendment: "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people." Just following the Constitution would, it has been estimated, cut the government by at least 80 percent.

In the present environment, however, Trump may get a little help from an unexpected source: government employees themselves. A poll taken by the Government Business Council back in January showed that, in the event of a Trump victory in November, 14 percent of those government employees polled said they would "definitely" consider leaving their jobs, while another 11 percent said that "maybe" they would quit. Most of those polled declared themselves to be Democrats, so if they keep their word, the new administration would see a sharp decline in government payrolls by the very people who oppose him and his policies. Without lifting a finger, Trump would be keeping one of his most serious and determined promises.

An Ivy League graduate and former investment advisor, Bob is a regular contributor to The New American magazine and blogs frequently at LightFromTheRight.com, primarily on economics and politics. He can be reached at badelman@thenewamerican.com.

Related article:

[Final and Buried Reports Reveal Fantastic Government Waste](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

Subscribe