



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on August 23, 2012

Texas Judge Warns Obama May Spark “Civil War,” Call in UN Troops

Conservative-leaning Texas Judge Tom Head warned this week that Obama’s reelection could spark mass unrest and possibly even a civil war — especially if the president decided to cede even more U.S. sovereignty to the United Nations or call in UN troops to deal with a potential American uprising. But county officials are preparing accordingly, he added, touting a local tax increase to prepare for various “contingencies.”



Judge Head, a Republican who has made headlines in the past for his opposition to Obama, promised during a TV interview to personally resist any efforts to impose a global regime on the American people by force. He also said that Lubbock County law enforcement would back him up, but that they needed more funding to stand firm against any future threats — hence the calls for tax hikes.

If Obama were to be reelected, Head [warned](#), “he’s going to try to hand over the sovereignty of the United States to the UN. What is going to happen when that happens? I’m thinking worst case scenario now,” he explained during an interview with FOX 34 in Lubbock. “Civil unrest, civil disobedience, civil war — maybe. And we’re not talking just a few riots here and demonstrations, we’re talking Lexington, Concord, take up arms and get rid of the guy.”

The elected judge, who is [actually](#) serving as the executive of the county commission rather than a member of the judiciary, then speculated about what might happen under such a “worst-case” scenario. In his view, if the public decided to rise up and Obama decided to clamp down in a lawless assault on Americans, it would not be pretty.

“Now what’s going to happen if we do that, if the public decides to do that? He’s going to send in U.N. troops. I don’t want ’em in Lubbock County, OK. So I’m going to stand in front of their armored personnel carrier and say ‘you’re not coming in here,’” promised Head, whose official responsibilities also include serving as the county’s emergency manager. “And the Sheriff, I’ve already asked him, I said ‘you gonna back me,’ he said, ‘yeah, I’ll back you.’ Well, I don’t want a bunch of rookies back there. I want trained, equipped, seasoned veteran officers to back me.”

While the sentiment appears to be increasingly widespread across America, the judge’s open comments quickly became a national sensation as newspapers across Texas and the country jumped on the story. Some commentators even [called](#) for Head’s resignation, with a few of the more extreme pundits suggesting — despite the First Amendment protections on free speech — that the judge’s warnings may have bordered on “sedition.” Most of the critics blasted Head’s pledge to resist even while claiming that the notion of UN troops on American streets was unlikely.

Judge Head, who specifically authorized the local Fox News affiliate to broadcast his statements, later indicated that they had been taken “out of context.” However, as multiple news reports have pointed out, he made almost identical comments as recently as earlier this week on a radio program, warning of calamity and UN troops if the president were to be re-elected.



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on August 23, 2012

“Obama has put executive orders and whatever other documents his minions have filed. And regardless of whether the Republicans take over the Senate, which I hope they do, he is going to make the United States Congress and he’s going to make the Constitution irrelevant,” Head [warned](#) August 20 during a radio interview on FOX Talk 950. “He’s got his czars in place that don’t answer to anybody. He’s got his documents in place. They’re going to be irrelevant.”

Warnings of UN troops in the street followed shortly thereafter during the radio segment, too. In fact, using very similar language to his comments during the TV interview, Head said that Obama would likely do whatever he wanted to if he were able to secure the White House for another term. And that would mean trouble.

“One of the things is he’s going to try to give the sovereignty of the United States away to the United Nations. What do you think the public is going to do when that happens? We are talking civil unrest, civil disobedience, possibly, possibly civil war, OK?” Head was quoted as saying. “Now what happens? What happens? Now I’m not talking just talking riots here and there. I’m talking Lexington, Concord, take up arms, get rid of the dictator. OK, what do you think he is going to do when that happens? He is going to call in the UN troops, personnel carriers, tanks and weapons.”

If, in such a worst-case scenario, UN troops attempted to enter Lubbock County, the judge pledged during the radio interview, as on the television interview, that he would “stand in front of their personnel carriers” and tell them to get lost. Head emphasized again that the sheriff and hundreds of deputies would stand behind him if the situation ever became that serious, but even more manpower could be needed to repel an assault by the global body’s armed forces.

“We may have to call out the militia,” Head added.

Some commentators who share the judge’s concerns about Obama and the UN [suggested](#) that rather than hiring more deputies, the county sheriff could — if those fears ever became reality — deputize able-bodied residents instead. Others suggested that if the president attempted to unilaterally cede U.S. sovereignty to the global institution, it would be treason, so no civil war would be necessary to undo the damage anyway.

“I think what the Judge is saying is millions of people in the U.S. live in fear of what this president will do regardless of the way the election goes. Obama has proven he’ll say anything to win the election. He deals with lies,” [noted](#) commentator Patricia McGehee at the liberty-minded CowboyByte.com. “We read about all the ammunition Homeland Security is amassing. For what reason? I do believe he’s set on tearing this Nation apart so he can destroy the Constitution and restructure America into a Socialist/Communist Nation. That WILL lead to bloodshed.”

Other liberty-minded activists who responded to Head’s statements echoed those remarks, expressing relief that an elected official raised an issue that many Americans had long been dreading — particularly in light of the federal government’s recent purchases of massive quantities of hollow-point ammunition, official reports suggesting that average Americans and veterans may be potential terrorists, and the signing of the NDAA indefinite-detention provisions. Some critics, however — even among conservatives — blasted the comments as everything from absurd to a half-baked scheme to raise more revenue for local government.

Head, who has been in office for over a decade, is hoping to build support for a 1.7 cent property tax hike per \$100 valuation. Much of the additional revenue, estimated at almost \$1 million, would benefit local law enforcement, according to county officials and news reports. Affected residents have spoken



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on August 23, 2012

out for and against the tax increase, with critics saying the county government should be forced to live within its means just like regular citizens.

Of course, Head is hardly alone. Numerous prominent analysts and activists have expressed serious concerns about the potential re-election of Obama and the direction of the perpetually expanding federal government as well. In fact, just last week, a Marine veteran was [detained for a “psychiatric evaluation”](#) after posting song lyrics and anti-government comments on Facebook.

National Rifle Association leader Wayne LaPierre, citing the Fast and Furious gun-running scandal, [warned](#) earlier this year that the president may seek to destroy America and the Second Amendment if he were to retain power. For many Americans, the right to keep and bear arms has long been considered a sort of “line in the sand” that the federal government may not cross without sparking a fierce backlash.

Whether fears of an “Obama-led UN invasion,” as headlines have characterized Head’s comments, could ever become reality, remains unclear. But what is certain, at least, is that the president is a big fan of the scandal-plagued global institution and is not particularly fond of the Constitution he swore an oath to uphold and protect.

Photo of UN troops

Related articles:

[Former Marine Held in Psychiatric Hospital Over Facebook Posts Questioning Govt.](#)

[NRA Warns That Obama Would Assault Gun Rights in 2nd Term](#)

[Clinton: Obama Will Ignore Congress on Libya War](#)

[Alabama Adopts First Official State Ban on UN Agenda 21](#)

[UN Troops Accused of Sex Crimes Worldwide](#)

[Obama v. Romney: Their Core Beliefs](#)

[Texas Activist Who Pulled Gun on “Smart Meter” Man Speaks Out](#)

[Texas City Withdraws From ICLEI, UN “Agenda 21”](#)

[Feds “Mess With Texas,” Attack Anti-TSA Bill](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.