



## SHOCKING: Biden Has Recruited Muslim Extremists to Investigate “Extremism” in U.S. Military

It wasn't a “white supremacist” who killed 14 people in the 2009 Fort Hood shooting, but Muslim extremist Major Nidal Malik Hasan. It's also not white supremacists that we've expended great blood and treasure fighting in costly wars since 9/11, but Islamic jihadists. But this hasn't stopped the Biden administration from recruiting terrorist-enabling Muslim extremists to help root out “extremism” in the U.S. military.

It's an unbelievable move, so much so that it could make some wonder: Is the goal really to eliminate radicalism?



Studia72/iStock/Getty Images Plus

Or is it to cultivate a certain kind of convenient radicalism?

Journalist Daniel Greenfield [reports](#) on the story at FrontPage Mag:

A decade ago, Hina Shamsi was fighting on behalf of the Holy Land Foundation whose leaders had been convicted of [providing material support](#) to Hamas.

As the head of the ACLU's National Security Project, Shamsi, a Pakistani citizen, had fought fiercely for the Islamic terrorists at Guantanamo Bay. “We all must pledge — not one person more in Guantanamo, not in our names,” she recently declared.

But now the Pakistani advocate for Islamic terrorists has a new job: going after our soldiers. Shamsi ... is one of the terror lawyers who appears [sic] on [a list of partners](#) for the Biden administration's crackdown on “extremism” in the military. The only kind of extremism that Shamsi appears to be an expert on is the Islamic kind and her expertise has been in denying it.

Furthermore, at least as of 2017, Shamsi had [described](#) herself as a Pakistani citizen with permanent legal residency in America.

She's not the only apparent Pakistani citizen tasked by Biden to go after our troops.

Take Faiza Patel, another Pakistani immigrant, who co-wrote an article [arguing against](#) designating the Muslim Brotherhood as a foreign terrorist organization. The article claimed that “the Muslim Brotherhood is a religious organization, a political party, and a social service provider” and that it had “disavowed violence decades ago.”

That would come as news to Hamas and its other active Jihadist network members.

In another co-written article, Faiza Patel claimed that laws against Sharia were Islamophobic.



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on May 27, 2021

(Note: The White House bills its endeavor as a countering “extremism” effort, but has repeatedly and publicly implicated white supremacy as the specific threat. Hence my opening lines.)

Strikingly, though, Greenfield states that Shamsi and Patel aren’t even the worst offenders in what’s known as the Countering Extremism Working Group (CEWG). In fact, citing a list provided by OANN correspondent Jack Posobiec, he mentions that the CEWG is replete with Muslim activists and lawyers. The group includes:

- Pakistani ACLU activist: Manar Waheed.
- Wa’el Alzayat, CEO of Emgage Foundation, a Muslim activist organization.
- Emgage national co-chairman Khurram Wahid, a prominent terror lawyer whose clients have included an Al Qaeda operative who schemed to assassinate President George W. Bush. Unsurprisingly, Wahid had been placed on a terrorist watch list.
- Iman Boukadou, staff attorney for the American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee, which has a track record of justifying Islamic terrorism.

Greenfield also informs that multiple “‘partners’ for Biden’s Countering Extremism Working Group have appeared at events for CAIR, ICNA, and other terror-linked organizations” and that some “have appeared at events featuring advocates for Islamic terrorism, sharia, and violence against non-Muslims.” All told, a third of CEWG partners are Muslim.

But let not your heart be troubled because America’s real threat is White Supremacy™. Just ask the Southern Poverty Law Center (which once put me on its HateWatch page for, ostensibly, figuratively using the term “lynch” in an article — or it could be that I’d exposed one of its scams months before). Its personnel, mind you, also are CEWG partners, as Posobiec’s list (tweet below) illustrates.

BREAKING: Biden Pentagon to welcome discredited, anti-Christian SPLC activists to advise Counter Extremism Working Group [pic.twitter.com/DKEXV4iiNb](https://pic.twitter.com/DKEXV4iiNb)

— ANTIFABOOK.COM (@JackPosobiec) [May 19, 2021](#)

There’s even more detail in Greenfield’s [article](#), which is worth reading in its entirety. But the bottom line is that the CEWG is a slap in the face in more ways than one. Note again that on the list are not just Muslim extremists. Also included is at least one *non-citizen*. And these individuals aren’t just investigating American citizens, but American citizens who happen to be *military members*. Is going after our troops on a witch hunt really another job Americans won’t do?

Yet what’s possibly going on here is even more nefarious. Consider that ex-Space Force Lieutenant Colonel Matthew Lohmeier recently made a not-so-shocking revelation: He’d never met a white supremacist in the service. (It’s a bit like seeking unicorns.)

But then there’s why he’s now an *ex-commander*. He was recently ousted for [warning](#) of our real threat: the “Marxism” pervading American culture.

Lohmeier is a victim of what Greenfield calls “a political purge of the military.” That’s the issue, too. As I warned earlier this month in “[How the Left Plans to Put Boots on the Ground to Subdue Middle America](#),” we’re witnessing a con: First, claim “white supremacism” in the armed forces is an existential threat.

Second, define white supremacism so loosely that it includes Trump support, patriotism, opposition to



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on May 27, 2021

---

illegal migration and, really, any deviation from the Left's agenda whatsoever.

Then you have a pretext for purging the military of all political opponents.

And what's the goal? Well, if you saw a new Third World regime doing likewise and creating a military of ideological fellow travelers, what would you assume?

The only reason to seek ideological conformity in a government institution is because you want it to implement your agenda. Or, is "enforce" a better word?



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

**Subscribe**