



Sen. Paul Votes No on GOP Budget Resolution, Supports Tax Cuts "for All"

Senator Rand Paul's vote against the GOP-backed budget resolution, which maintains the big-spending status quo, indicates that the senator is willing to go against his party's leadership, even if it means he is the only Republican senator doing so.

President Trump <u>tweeted</u> early Friday morning, in celebration of the previous day's <u>Senate vote</u> to pass the GOP's budget resolution:



The Budget passed late last night, 51 to 49. We got ZERO Democrat votes with only Rand Paul (he will vote for Tax Cuts) voting against.....

And:

....This now allows for the passage of large scale Tax Cuts (and Reform), which will be the biggest in the history of our country!

But why would Senator Paul (R-Ky.; shown) cast the lone Republican vote against the budget resolution? And in doing so, why would he vote with the Democrats, who also opposed the bill? Of course, he did so for very different reasons than the big-spending Democrats. Put simply, he wants to spend less, not more. As he explained in a <u>statement</u> following the vote:

The American people are sick and tired of Congress spending recklessly with no end in sight. We can't spend our way to prosperity. Today, the Senate considered a budget that simply didn't measure up and spent too much. I will fight for the biggest, boldest tax cut we can pass, but I could not in good conscience vote for a budget that ignores spending caps that have been the law of the land for years and simply pretend it didn't matter. We can be for lower taxes AND spending restraint.

Regarding tax cuts specifically, Paul tweeted Friday morning:

I'm all in for tax cuts <u>@realDonaldTrump</u>. The biggest, boldest cuts possible - and soon!

But he was not "all in" when he saw the initial version of the GOP tax reform plan, because it was not a "tax cut for all," even though it was presented as such. In an <u>opinion piece</u> published October 4 at Breitbart, entitled "Cut Taxes for All," Paul wrote:

Unfortunately, though presented as a tax cut for all, the first draft of the GOP tax reform plan wasn't about how much to cut from everyone; rather, it was who are the winners, and who are the losers.

The plan lowered the top rate, which is great. It lowered corporate taxes, which is necessary for job growth. It lowered taxes on the lowest income people, as well. I'm good with all of that.

However, somewhere in the authors' efforts to cut taxes for our highest and lowest earners, the



Written by **Bob Adelmann** on October 20, 2017



middle class was seemingly left to bear the burden. The problem is, after lowering those rates, they decided the rest of the plan should be "revenue neutral."

If you've already cut taxes for the rich and lower incomes, how do you make up the difference to account for those cuts? By raising taxes on those who are left — the middle class.

In the same article, Paul also expressed a willingness to compromise for the purpose of getting tax cuts, even if this means supporting legislation that falls short of how just how much he'd like to cut:

I'll take the biggest tax cut we can pass, but I'm also certainly willing to compromise. I realize not everyone in my caucus wants a big, bold cut like I do. *If all we are talking about is how much to cut taxes, I can assure you, they will have my vote, happily.* [Emphasis added.]

Yet that wasn't all the GOP was "talking about" in its initial draft of the tax-reform plan. But it is, apparently, what Senator Paul is expecting the final draft to be "talking about."

Paul's vote against the GOP-backed budget resolution, which maintains the big-spending status quo, indicates that the senator is willing to go against his party's leadership, even if it means he is the only Republican senator doing so. The party leadership should take notice. If the final version of the GOP-backed tax-cut plan does not cut taxes for everyone including the middle class, and if this plan is instead designed to be "revenue neutral," the GOP leadership may discover to its dismay that Senator Paul is no longer "all in."

Photo of Sen. Rand Paul: AP Images

An Ivy League graduate and former investment advisor, Bob is a regular contributor to The New American magazine and blogs frequently at LightFromTheRight.com, primarily on economics and politics. He can be reached at badelmann@thenewamerican.com.





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.