



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on September 5, 2020

Secret Service Confirms It Destroyed Record of Fracas Between Biden, Secret Service Agent

The U.S. Secret Service destroyed a key record that would prove whether Democratic presidential candidate Joe Biden, as vice president in 2009, was in a fracas with a Secret Service agent because Biden gave the agent's girlfriend one of his patented love squeezes.

Biden grabbed the woman's breast, a website reported three years ago.

The protective agency's admission that it destroyed the record, which implicitly concedes something happened between Biden and an agent, came in the answer to a Freedom of Information request by the conservative legal outfit Judicial Watch.

Question is, of course, what happened. Did a Secret Service take a swing at the man he was supposed to protect?

The Original Incident

The troubling incident occurred in 2009, as [Gateway Pundit reported](#) in 2017, citing an anonymous source in the Secret Service:

[A] Secret Service agent once got suspended for a week in 2009 for shoving Biden after he cupped his girlfriend's breast while the couple was taking a photo with him. The situation got so heated ... that others had to step in to prevent the agent from hitting the then-Vice President.

Biden, the source told the website, was such a cad that male agents had to protect woman agents and any other individual in the room with two X chromosomes.

Reported Gateway:

Speaking on the condition of anonymity, the agent asserted that, "We had to cancel the VP Christmas get together at the Vice President's house because Biden would grope all of our wives and girlfriend's asses." The annual party was for agents and Navy personnel who were tasked with protecting the Biden family.

"He would mess with every single woman or teen. It was horrible," the agent said.

And when his wife Jill wasn't around, Biden waltzed around the vice presidential home in the buff. And in front of woman agents.

"I mean, Stark naked ... Weinstein level stuff," the agent told the website:

[M]en on duty would frequently stand in front of female agents and Navy women that were present "like a damn guardian." On some occasions, they would make up reasons to get the women away





Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on September 5, 2020

from where he was.

Navy women were a particular concern, the agent said: “They weren’t allowed to disobey him at all, but we’d take them away under pretend auspices.”

Something Happened. What?

On Wednesday, [Judicial Watch filed a lawsuit](#) in U.S. District Court to shake loose records about the clash. The Secret Service’s confession that records did indeed exist, at least at one time, is in the lawsuit.

On May 12, 2020, the organization filed a FOIA request, the lawsuit says, for “all records related to a reported incident in 2009 in which a United States Secret Service Agent reportedly was involved in an altercation with, or attempted to strike, then Vice President Joe Biden’ during a photo opportunity.”

The request demanded “witness statements, the Agent’s statement, victim’s statement, alleged perpetrator’s statement, incident reports, investigative reports, communications among USSS personnel regarding the incident, and disciplinary records related to the incident for the Agent in question.”

The request cited the report at *Gateway Pundit*.

Two months later, the [lawsuit alleges](#), the agency answered that “there are no responsive records or documents pertaining to your request in our files.”

Good enough, but then came this surprising note, the lawsuit avers:

The letter did not deny that something occurred in 2009, but instead claimed that “the above mentioned file(s) has been destroyed” due to “retention standards” and that “[n]o additional information is available.”

The Secret Service told Judicial Watch it could appeal, which the organization promptly did.

Because the agency has not answered, Judicial Watch filed the lawsuit on Wednesday. It asks the court to order a search for the records outlined in the original FOIA request, and then “demonstrate that it employed search methods reasonably calculated to uncover all records responsive to the request.”

The lawsuit also asks for any and all non-exempt records responsive to its request, and a Vaughn index of responsive records that agency withholds under a secrecy exemption to the FOIA law and for attorneys fees.

[A Vaughn index](#) is a list of records the agency claims is exempt from disclosure. It includes an excerpt from or description of each record and the reason the agency withheld it. It allows a court to decide whether the agency has properly withheld a document.

Consistent Behavior

The allegation against Biden is believable [given his past record](#) of unwanted groping, kissing, and fetishistic hair sniffing of women in a vulnerable position.

In late March, [former Biden Senate staffer Tara Reade accused](#) the former veep of sexually molesting her in 1993.

She claims Biden cornered and digitally penetrated her without consent, then became furious when she rejected his repulsive behavior.



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on September 5, 2020

[Multiple witnesses](#) and her [divorce records corroborate](#) Reade's accusation.

Photo of Joe Biden: Gage Skidmore

R. Cort Kirkwood is a longtime contributor to The New American and a former newspaper editor.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

Subscribe