



# Ron Paul's "Disgruntled" Staffer and the Press Smear of Ron Paul

Establishment reporters ever so desperately want to create this image. And just because the facts aren't there, that doesn't keep the mainstream media from trying. Such was the case when a disgruntled former Paul staffer blogged his disagreements with the presidential candidate, which became the following lead in the *New York Daily News*: "A former Ron Paul staffer says the Republican presidential candidate is 'unsettled by being around gays personally,'" the *Daily News* story reported December 27, "and is 'out of touch' with black and Hispanic voters."



The story's lead paragraph seemed to fit the establishment media talking points that Ron Paul is a racist, if not anti-Semitic, person. It appears to be a follow-up to the <u>legitimate</u>, <u>but minor</u>, <u>news about Paul's inattentive management of newsletters</u> that were published under his name in the 1980s and early 1990s that contained a handful of racist remarks.

But the lead disappointed. There was no fire under the smoke, just lots of mirrors. The former staffer in question, Eric Dondero, denied in the same <u>blog post</u> that Representative Ron Paul had ever been either a racist or anti-Semite:

Is Ron Paul a "racist." In short, No. I worked for the man for 12 years, pretty consistently. I never heard a racist word expressed towards Blacks or Jews come out of his mouth. Not once. And understand, I was his close personal assistant.

In short, Dondero veered off the establishment script. His criticisms of Paul were limited to minor social discomfort with homosexuals, and unrelated policy differences. It was hardly the stuff of scandal. There was no red meat for the media to sensationalize, not that lack of a story stopped the establishment from sensationalizing one anyway.

Of course, Dr. Paul's presidential campaign staff didn't cut Dondero any slack. "Eric Dondero is a disgruntled former staffer who was fired for performance issues," Paul spokesman Jesse Benton <u>said</u> in an e-mailed response to CBS News. "He has zero credibility and should not be taken seriously."

Dondero made it clear his beef with Rep. Paul is the Congressman's foreign policy views. That, too, formed a tangent from the establishment script. It could be argued that Paul is too unwilling to kill dark-skinned Middle Eastern men and too hesitant to shed U.S. soldiers' blood in other nations' causes to suit Dondero's taste. "If Ron Paul should be slammed for anything," Dondero wrote, "its not some silly remarks hes made in the past in his Newsletters. Its over his simply outrageously horrendous views on foreign policy, Israel, and national security for the United States. His near No vote on Afghanistan [after the September 11 attacks]. That is the big scandal. And that is what should be given 100 times more attention from the liberal media, than this Newsletter deal."



### Written by **Thomas R. Eddlem** on December 27, 2011



Dondero's critique of Paul followed a <u>Christmas Day hit-piece</u> by the *New York Times*, a classic guilt-by-association (or in this case, guilt without association) smear piece. The *Times* article stressed that some white supremacists had endorsed Rep. Paul for President. Of course, this means nothing. Some Ku Klux Klan organizations <u>endorsed Ronald Reagan</u> in 1980 and 1984. Those endorsements didn't mean Reagan was a racist. It did mean that racists were trying to affix their unpopular cause onto a popular cause, which is to say that it said nothing at all about Reagan. Likewise, when a few kooks try to latch themselves onto the "Ron Paul Revolution" in the hopes of promoting their pet cause of hate, it says nothing about Rep. Paul.

It looks as if the establishment will have to keep trying to smear Ron Paul as a racist. And few longtime observers of the establishment press doubt they will keep trying.





## **Subscribe to the New American**

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



## **Subscribe**

#### What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.