




Ron Paul on TSA Abuse: “Enough Is Enough”

Congressman Ron Paul (R-Texas) introduced the “American Traveler Dignity Act” on November 17 to rein in the intrusive and invasive airport searches by the federal Transportation Security Administration (TSA).



Ron Paul's legislation would establish that the security screeners who grope travelers and gawk at their nude pictures be subject to the same laws as anyone else. My legislation is simple, Paul said in a statement available at his website. It establishes that airport security screeners are not immune from any US law regarding physical contact with another person, making images of another person, or causing physical harm through the use of radiation-emitting machinery on another person. It means they are subject to the same laws as the rest of us.

The principle behind the legislation is simple: If an individual can be arrested for groping another individual, why shouldn't a TSA agent also be subject to arrest when he does exactly the same thing?

The Congressman had another thought: Imagine if the political elites in our country were forced to endure the same conditions at the airport as business travelers, families, senior citizens, and the rest of us. Perhaps this problem could be quickly resolved if every cabinet secretary, every member of Congress, and every department head in the Obama administration were forced to submit to the same degrading screening process as the people who pay their salaries. Perhaps the political elites would re-evaluate their position on the peeping and probing Toms at the TSA; perhaps they would be willing to support the Congressman's legislation.

“Something has to be done, Congressman Paul implored in a [speech he gave on the House floor](#) in support of his bill. Everybody's fed up. The people are fed up. The pilots are fed up. I'm fed up. He added: What were doing and what were accepting and putting up with is so symbolic of us just not standing up and saying, Enough is enough.’ “

According to Ron Paul: Groping people at the airport doesn't solve our problems. What has solved our problems basically has been that they put a good lock on the [cockpit] door and they put a gun inside the cockpit. That's been the greatest boon to our safety. Safety should be the responsibility [of the] individual and private property owner. But right now we assume the government is always going to take care of us and we are supposed to sacrifice our liberties. I say that is wrong.

It is wrong because the government is supposed to protect liberty, not suppress it in the name of security. It is wrong because security provided by private companies, which have to take into account



Written by [Gary Benoit](#) on November 18, 2010

the demands and concerns of prospective customers if they want to earn their business, is superior to government security and the government's monopoly of power.

The Congressman added: If this doesn't change, I see what has happened to the American people is we have accepted the notion that we should be treated like cattle. Make us safe, make us secure, put us in the barbed wire, feed us, fatten us up, and then they'll eat us. And we are a bunch of cattle and we have to wake up and say we've had it. Its time for the American people to stand up and shrug off the shackles of our government at TSA at the airport.

Indeed, many Americans do appear to be standing up to the TSA's abusive and obtrusive behavior at airports. By opting out and by refusing to fly, many Americans are serving notice that they *will* "shrug off the shackles of our government at TSA" but will not shed their clothes, their dignity, their privacy, or their rights. (Consider, for exhibit A, the courageous stand of [John Tyner](#).)

Congressman Paul's American Traveler Dignity Act (H.R. 6416) [states](#):

No law of the United States shall be construed to confer any immunity for a Federal employee or agency or any individual or entity that receives Federal funds, who subjects an individual to any physical contact (including contact with any clothing the individual is wearing), x-rays, or millimeter waves, or aids in the creation of or views a representation of any part of a individual's body covered by clothing as a condition for such individual to be in an airport or to fly in an aircraft. The preceding sentence shall apply even if the individual or the individual's parent, guardian, or any other individual gives consent.

[Click here](#) to send a message of support for Ron Paul's H.R. 6416 to your Representative and Senators.

Thumbnail photo: Ron Paul

Related articles:

[Trashing Tyner](#)

[Object to Sexual Assault? Boycott the Airlines!](#)

[Our Homeland Security and TSA Rulers Demand Gratitude for Groping Us](#)

[Getting Rich from the TSA Naked Body Scanners](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

Subscribe