



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on August 15, 2012

Reagan Budget Director Stockman Blasts Ryan's Budget

Calling potential Republican vice-presidential candidate Paul Ryan's "Path to Prosperity" budget plan a "fairy tale," David Stockman, President Ronald Reagan's budget director from 1981 to 1985, [took Ryan's plan to task](#) for not recognizing reality and for leaving behind the legacy of the GOP's glory days when it reveled in touting small government.



Stockman said that Ryan's plan to give tax cuts to "job creators" will do nothing to help create jobs, but instead will only put additional pressure on the middle class to come up with more revenues to fund the yawning federal deficit. Stockman conjured the images of "the true conservatives of modern times" like Herbert Hoover and Dwight Eisenhower who, if they were here, would decry the present GOP's infatuation with what he calls "neoconservative imperialism" and the spending that goes along with it.

Ryan's plan to push more of the Medicaid responsibility back onto the states through a voucher system is "hypocrisy," according to Stockman, and merely postpones the reality of a welfare system that isn't working and can't work. Instead, says Stockman:

A true agenda to reform the welfare state would require a sweeping, income-based eligibility test, which would reduce or eliminate social insurance benefits for millions of affluent retirees. Without it, there is no math that can avoid giant tax increases or vast new borrowing. Yet the supposedly courageous Ryan plan would not cut one dime over the next decade from the \$1.3 trillion-per-year cost of Social Security and Medicare.

What's really needed, according to Stockman, is more taxes to close the deficit gap: "We need a national sales tax — a consumption tax, like the dreaded but efficient value-added tax — but Mr. Romney and Mr. Ryan don't have the gumption to support it."

The elephant in the living room in any discussion about budgets and government spending has to be [Grover Norquist](#), the founder and president of [Americans for Tax Reform](#) and the promoter of the "[Taxpayer Protection Pledge](#)." In the current Congress all but six of the 242 Republican members of the House plus two Democrats — a majority — have signed the pledge, along with 40 of the 47 Republicans in the Senate plus one Democrat. And Ryan is one who signed it and took it seriously. So seriously in fact that he [helped derail](#) the so-called [Simpson-Bowles Plan](#) in December 2010 because it proposed tax increases along with promised spending cuts. And Ryan knows his history well enough to know that tax increases take place immediately while spending cuts are put off forever.



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on August 15, 2012

When Ryan and the other Republicans signed the pledge they agreed to

One, oppose any and all efforts to increase the marginal income tax rates for individuals and/or businesses; and

Two, oppose any net reduction or elimination of deductions and credits, unless matched dollar for dollar by further reducing tax rates.

All Ryan is doing, says Norquist, is [keeping his word](#):

Mr. Ryan was the lead Republican from the House in Mr. Obama's Simpson-Bowles commission. The commission proposed raising taxes from its historical rate of 18.5 percent of the economy to 21 percent of the economy. The government would take 2.5 percent more of the economy each year. Over the next 10 years, that 2.5 percent is a \$5 trillion tax hike. Those real tax hikes would be traded for promises of spending cuts.

Mr. Ryan said no. He learned from the 1982 and 1990 budget deals that promised spending reduction in return for real, permanent tax hikes [never materialized]. The tax hikes were real. Spending increased rather than decreased. Paul Ryan learned from history and walked away from false promises with the complaints of the entire Washington establishment sounding in his ears.

And that's what has Washington up in arms about Ryan's plan: the man has no "give" — no tax increases without enforced spending cuts elsewhere.

In perspective, with the nation facing \$1 trillion plus deficits for years into the future, Ryan's bill is pitifully weak. When the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) "scored" Ryan's bill, [The Path to Prosperity](#), it concluded that, if enacted, it would balance the budget *by the year 2030*, and bring the national debt down to 10 percent of the country's gross domestic product *by the year 2050*.

Stockman's criticism of Ryan's proposal reflects a poor memory and a willingness, once again, to concede that the only solution to the deficit and debt is more taxes made in exchange for more promises of future spending cuts.

Photo of President Reagan's budget director David Stockman: AP Images



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.