



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on December 4, 2019

Raging at Candidates' Whiteness After the Exit of Harris, Leftists Show Their Caucaphobia

They didn't exactly echo writer Susan Sontag and call whites "the cancer of human history," but many left-wing pundits do apparently consider Caucasian Democrat candidates a pox on the presidential primaries. That is to say, with Senator Kamala Harris (D-Calif.) exiting the race, Caucaphobic liberal commentators are raging against the whiteness of the remaining Democrat field — and are on the supposed "racism" of the Democrat electorate like white on rice.



The departure of Harris means that the next Democrat debate looks to be an all-white affair. Reacting to this, writer Sally Kohn, melanin-deprived herself, tweeted:

Obviously I'm no centrist but it's downright effed up that smart, compelling, *very* experienced, centrist Democratic candidates of color are floundering while a smart but wildly inexperienced, centrist white mayor of teeny tiny city is surging.

Bad look, Democrats.

— Sally Kohn (@sallykohn) [December 3, 2019](#)

Not to be outdone, left-wing journalist Lauren Duca — who's also white and [calls herself](#) a "pothead dyke" — contended that the Democrats have a "racism" problem (without citing herself as Exhibit A). She tweeted:

Kamala Harris officially ended her campaign today, which means that all of the candidates who currently qualify for the December Democratic debate are white (Sanders, Warren, Biden, Buttigieg, Klobuchar, and Steyer).

White supremacy is not just a Fox News problem, folks.

— Lauren Duca (@laurenduca) [December 3, 2019](#)

This evidences how leftists have somehow managed to cultivate a curious mindset that's a cross between juvenile puerility and geriatric senility, neither seeking perspective nor, apparently, remembering the past. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I do seem to recall the Democrats nominating some guy named Barack — a fellow billed as black who expressed in a book that whites were "alien" to him — in 2008. Has the entire Democrat electorate channeled Bull Connor since then?

But forget Obama (I'd sure like to). Note that Harris herself seemed poised to replicate his feat, [being hailed](#) as the "new frontrunner" by CNN just over a year ago and "surging" [to second place](#) in a July



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on December 4, 2019

primary poll, just two points behind leader Jumbling Joe Biden. Did the Democrat electorate only discover post-summer that Harris is a Woman of Color™?

In reality, Harris' complete lack of charm and likeability and her phoniness and hypocrisy sank her. Leftists themselves sometimes registered intense antipathy toward her, in fact, [with Medium's Moon Bat](#) speaking for many earlier this year in calling her history "sociopathic."

Note also that ex-San Francisco mayor Willie Brown — the man Harris is accused of using to sleep her way to the middle — [said](#) this summer that, along with the other Democrat candidates, she's not "ready for prime time."

Yet the race-baiting flows unabated. As the *Daily Caller* [reports](#), "It's really f[*****] up that straight white male billionaires (plural) are going to qualify for the next debate while Kamala Harris is leaving the race. Like, immensely," [wrote](#) Adam Peck, a staffer at the left-wing [Center for American Progress](#)." (What eloquence from their hires!)

"Harris dropping out leaves the Democrats with an all-white debate stage for December — Biden, Sanders, Warren, Steyer, Buttigieg, Klobuchar," commented left-wing writer [Judd Legum](#), who complained that "The Democratic Party has created a process with [sic] includes Steyer but excludes Cory Booker," the *Caller* continues.

This was echoed by Laura Bassett, a journalist who writes about "gender" (a grammarian, I suppose) and tweeted:

Very fitting that Kamala would drop out and the myriad of rich white dudes whose names people can't even remember are staying in

— Laura Bassett (@LEBassett) [December 3, 2019](#)

"Kamala may not have been my number one candidate, but she belongs in the race," [added](#) Imani Gandy, an analyst at left-wing outlet Rewire News," the *Caller* also relates. "Now we've got rich white dudes papering the airwaves with their b[*****]t," Gandy wrote, adding: "I'm not voting for Biden or Buttigieg" (or for civility, apparently).

Of course, if Gandy, whose Twitter handle is [AngryBlackLady](#), is upset about the Kamala/Corey collapse, she ought to talk to fellow blacks. A large plurality of them is [supporting Biden](#).

That said, and regarding the Bolshevik Billionaires, it is lamentable that basically unqualified candidates can "buy" support and steal the limelight from other unqualified candidates. But having numerous [Daddy Warbucks](#) Democrat politicians is not surprising. Corporate America is now a left-wing domain, and the wealthy have long been voting Democrat. (Could this be why leftist policies serve to destroy the middle class, the only GOP-leaning economic stratum?)

The *Caller* cites two other leftists who also kvetched about white candidate privilege, but it all reflects the same thing: delusion.

Perhaps these liberals would grant non-white candidates affirmative action. But the reality is that the Democrat Party established rules for debate qualification, and a requirement is that you must actually, if you can believe it, appeal to the electorate.

Consider that, as with Harris, Senator Corey Booker (D-N.J.) undid himself. As the left-wing *Atlantic* [recently explained](#), by "backing away from his own record," the "promising New Jersey centrist took



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on December 4, 2019

himself out of contention” (that is, “centrist” by Haight-Ashbury standards). Booker bolted left and got left out. In contrast, Buttigieg, for all his faults — [giving the nod to America’s destruction](#) among them — was smart enough to eventually figure out the benefit of faking relative moderation.

As for the ruling class’s racial composition, it’s largely white for, in part, the same reason NYC’s stop-and-frisk subjects were largely black and Hispanic: demographics.

The nation is now only 61 percent non-Hispanic white. Yet politicians tend to be drawn from the 50-plus set, and that cohort is still [75 percent](#) non-Hispanic white.

In fact, contrary to the leftist “white supremacy” blather, the truth is that being white — and in particular a white man — has for a long time been a political liability. Just consider a 2008 Gallup [study](#) of the *general electorate*. It found that while six percent of voters said they were less likely to vote for Obama because of his race, nine percent said that factor made them *more likely* to vote for him.

Then, the left-wing (mainstream) media were [trumpeting](#) this very January how the new Congress was “the most diverse in history.” And the *Washington Post* finally woke up last year and [reported](#) that, hell’s bells, the “worst thing to be in many Democratic primaries” is in fact a “white male candidate.”

Yet now that the Left hasn’t gotten its identity-politics immediate gratification, this Caucaphobia manifests again. After all, how could anyone — considering the aforementioned facts — claim that cold-ice Kamala and craven Corey are yesterday’s story because of white racism?

Prejudice, that “[unfavorable opinion or feeling formed beforehand or without knowledge, thought, or reason](#),” is the answer. “That’s just the way whites are,” goes the non-thinking rhetoric. “They can’t rise above their bigotry.”

Yes, leftists level their accusation only because of their targets’ skin color. It’s racist and disgusting — and pure projection.

Image: [Aquir](#) / iStock / Getty Images Plus

Selwyn Duke (@SelwynDuke) has written for The New American for more than a decade. He has also written for The Hill, Observer, The American Conservative, WorldNetDaily, American Thinker, and many other print and online publications. In addition, he has contributed to college textbooks published by Gale-Cengage Learning, has appeared on television, and is a frequent guest on radio.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

Subscribe