



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on October 4, 2018

## Radical Left Hatches Impeachment, Court-packing Plans Should Kavanaugh Win

The radical left is hatching plans to destroy Brett Kavanaugh should the Senate confirm him for the U.S. Supreme Court, and should that effort fail, ensure that the Left maintains control of the court.

First, they want to impeach Kavanaugh if Democrats seize Congress in a few weeks. If that doesn't work, Plan B is packing the court with two more leftist ideologues by increasing the number of justices.



Not that the anti-Kavanaugh Left is working on this two-pronged attack in secret. They write about it openly.

### Impeach Him

Proposing impeachment is socialist Harold Meyerson, who was a columnist at the *Washington Post* until, apparently, he went too far around the bend even for that leftist newspaper.

[Writing at the American Prospect](#), Meyerson proposed immediate impeachment.

"Assume the worst," the dispirited Meyerson wrote. "Despite the evidence of his abuses when young, his temperament when middle-aged, and his unyieldingly troglodytic beliefs at all times, Brett Kavanaugh is confirmed as a Supreme Court justice. That, of course, would create the first hard-right majority on the Court since 1937."

But that wouldn't be quite the time to panic, he wrote. Because "there would still remain one perfectly legal and valid exit ramp from this lowdown circle of hell." That exit ramp is impeachment, because Democrats, Meyerson believes, will take the House of Representatives, giving hard leftist Jerry Nadler control of the Judiciary Committee. And Nadler has already said, Meyerson noted, he'll go after Kavanaugh.

{modulepos inner\_text\_ad}

Appearing on ABC's *This Week*, [Nadler told](#) former Clinton torpedo George Stephanopoulos that the House would finish the job that Senate Democrats couldn't: "If he is on the Supreme Court, and the Senate hasn't investigated, then the House will have to," Nadler said. "We would have to investigate any credible allegations of perjury and other things that haven't been properly looked into before."

That leaves a trial in the Senate. But Meyerson doesn't hold out much hope there because impeachment requires a two-thirds vote, an impossibility without Republican support. "But a House-enacted resolution to impeach would in itself throw the Court into crisis."

Why is that? For one thing, [Meyerson explained](#), a House probe might force Kavanaugh to resign. If he didn't, the Senate might hold a trial unless Republicans refuse, "which would lead to a constitutional impasse that would doubtless have to be decided by, yep, the Supreme Court." Third and fourth, whether Kavanaugh could even hear cases "would be hotly disputed," which in turn would mean the "legitimacy of its rulings would be questioned as never before in our history."



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on October 4, 2018

---

And that would open the door for for Plan B — packing the court.

### **Pack the Court**

[So wrote Paul Starr at the same website](#): If Kavanaugh is confirmed, “Democrats are going to face a fundamental choice about the Supreme Court the next time they control the presidency and Congress and try to carry out substantial reforms.”

Starr thinks that will happen in 2021 or 2025, but by then, he frets, “the Court will likely have reversed many long-standing liberal precedents and policies and be poised to strike down new progressive initiatives.” Thus, the Democrats must pack the court.

Franklin Delano Roosevelt, who set the country on the road to socialism with the creation of the warfare-welfare establishment, tried packing the court in 1936 because the court stopped his New Deal socialism. Starr observed that Congress changed the number of justices a few times.

“Another such effort to shift the Court would be unthinkable if both parties continued to respect the norms for court nominations that prevailed in the mid- and late 20th century,” he wrote. “But Republicans have already violated those norms” by blocking President Obama’s nominee, Merrick Garland.

How Democrats didn’t violate “those norms” when they savaged Robert Bork three decades ago we are not given to know, but anyway, the evil Republicans “are also pushing through Kavanaugh’s confirmation on a partisan basis.”

Accusing the GOP of “stealing” a seat on the court, [Starr wrote](#) that Democrats “reverse the illegitimate gains Republicans have made in controlling the Court” with a court-packing plan.

That, he wrote, would likely result in a bipartisan compromise to stop lifetime tenure for justices and impose a term limit.

Either way, the fight won’t be over for Kavanaugh, even if he prevails now.



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.