



NYC Mayoral Race: Dems are Back to "Denigrating" Our System (According to Hillary Clinton)

First, to question our elections was the "denigrating" of our "democracy," said Hillary Clinton in a 2016 debate with Donald Trump. Immediately after losing that year's presidential contest, Clinton & Co. commenced the denigration, proclaiming, "Russia stole the election"! Then, denigration fell out of style again when Joe Biden was elevated to the presidency and questioning his "victory" made you complicit in the Insurrection that Wasn't.

But now, that 135,000 test votes were accidentally counted in the New York City mayoral race, it's Denigration 2.0. In fact, rich left-wing mayoral candidate Maya Wiley asserted that the error was "not just failure to count votes properly today," but "the result of generations of failures that have gone unaddressed."



Photo: spyarm/iStock/Getty Images Plus

So what's the truth on our system? It's plain: We have the safest, most efficient, most insecure, corrupt elections in the world — bar none.

The New York Post reports on NYC's electoral woes:

The Democratic primary race for mayor was thrown into chaos Tuesday as the city Board of Elections appeared to have botched the count amid the city's first ranked-choice election — adding 135,000 pre-election "test" ballots that hadn't been cleared from a computer.

According to a BOE statement Tuesday night, "it has determined that ballot images used for testing were not cleared from the Election Management System....

"The Board apologizes for the error and has taken immediate measures to ensure the most accurate up to date results are reported."

Preliminary results released earlier in the day showed a total of 941,832 ballots cast for mayor, an increase of more than 140,000 from the 799,827 that were counted on June 22, the day of the primary.

The glaring discrepancy at first went unnoticed until it was flagged by front-runner Eric Adams.

"The vote total just released by the Board of Elections is 100,000-plus more than the total







announced on election night, raising serious questions," an Adams spokesman said.

"We have asked the Board of Elections to explain such a massive increase and other irregularities before we comment on the ranked-choice voting projection."

So the Democrats can't properly run an election in the city, but want to run the city (and our elections nationwide via H.R. 1).

As for Adams, Brooklyn's borough president, he effected his "denigration" in a tweet:

pic.twitter.com/GYXkP7p3uI

— Eric Adams (@ericadamsfornyc) June 30, 2021

Adams then got his lawyer involved, filing a lawsuit to ensure a "fair election process."

Joining the denigration (tweet below) was Sanitation Commissioner Kathryn Garcia, currently just about two points behind Adams and in second place after voters' second choices were factored into the equation.

pic.twitter.com/pbSNAwel5x

— Kathryn Garcia (@KGforNYC) June 30, 2021

And below is the denigration statement of radical leftist Maya Wiley, who was in second place but then eliminated after the ranked-choice count.

pic.twitter.com/n5QQNOlapY

— Maya Wiley (@mayawiley) <u>June 30, 2021</u>

Then, <u>writes</u> the Federalist, "CNN, one of the many corrupt corporate media outlets that shot down concerns about election integrity in November 2020 and after, called the city's skepticism over the new ranked-choice voting system and the Board of Elections' competence 'well-founded worries.'"

"The BOE has a rotten reputation in the city and is widely regarded as a hub for political patronage jobs," one article <u>stated</u>," the Federalist continued.

Wow, it's amazing how we transitioned so rapidly from The Most Secure Election in American History^m last November to rank incompetence and corruption today.

As for ranked-choice voting, the *Post* counsels, wisely, that NYC should "ditch" it. While the model is supposed to ensure the selection of a candidate with broad support, it actually allows for the gaming of the system.

For example, "Kathryn Garcia's open strategy to gain second place votes — which would become first place votes once Andrew Yang or others were eliminated — raises the possibility that the ultimate winner could have more runner-up than first place vote," the paper explains. "Do we really want a Mayor who the largest number of voters think is second-best?"

But Democrats love making elections more convoluted and drawn out. We saw mail-in voting —



Written by **Selwyn Duke** on July 1, 2021



outlawed in France in 1975 because it invited fraud — on a massive scale last year. Then consider the system instituted years ago in Port Chester, N.Y., not far from where I live. Every resident got *six votes* (seriously) as part of a scheme meant to facilitate the election of Hispanics.

In other words, Democrats can't even run simple elections, but then want complex ones. But there's method to the madness.

I'm old enough to remember when virtually everyone voted, can you believe it, on *Election Day* (that's why it was called Election Day and not Election Month or Election Seven-week Period), and the result was known later that evening. But simple systems aren't as easy to game.

So aside from time to rally the idiot vote, you want voting, voting, and more voting, for weeks on end, with ballots mailed and transported hither and you so it's like a shell game. "Where's the vote? Here?"

"Nope! Sorry."

"Where's the vote? Here?"

"Nah, wrong again! But, that's it — time's up!"

"Oh, yeah, your candidate lost. Better luck next time, though!"

Heck, they don't even require voter ID in New York City. It's no wonder that then-Manhattan Board of Elections Commissioner Alan Schulkin <u>said in 2016</u>, on hidden camera, "I think there is a lot of voter fraud" (video below). Schulkin, a Democrat, stated there should be voter ID and also said, "They get busses and they move people around [to vote]."

This reality wasn't lost on President Trump, who on Wednesday issued a statement about the 2021 NYC mayoral race. The Federalist again:

"Just like in the 2020 Presidential Election, it was announced overnight in New York City that vast irregularities and mistakes were made and that Eric Adams, despite an almost insurmountable lead, may not win the race," he [Trump] wrote. "The fact is, based on what has happened, nobody will ever know who really won. The Presidential Race was a Scam and a Hoax with numbers and results being found that are massive, shocking, and determinative. Watch the mess you are about to see in New York City, it will go on forever. They should close the books and do it all over again, the old-fashioned way, when we had results that were accurate and meaningful."

Trump also called the NYC election "an embarrassment and total mess" but said it was "far better and more accurate than my 2020 Presidential Election — so what are people complaining about![?]"

So who'll win in the Big Apple? Perhaps it'll come down to who has the best vote-fraud operation. Now, that's what I call denigration.





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.