



## Is THIS the Real Reason the Left Didn't Steal the 2024 Presidential Election?

A 2023 poll found that almost 70 percent of Republicans/Republican leaners believed that Joe Biden's 2020 election victory was [illegitimate](#). Given this cynicism about the process, many Americans expected a replay of the fraud in 2024. Yet that didn't materialize because, it's often said, President Donald Trump's November support made the election "too big to rig." There is, however, no such thing, says one observer. Moreover, asserts this man, who has spent years studying electoral fraud, there's a simple reason the Democrats didn't rig the election:



AP Images

They didn't want to.

In fact, says Jerome R. Corsi, the upper-echelon Democrats had a very good reason to want to lose. And what he propounds is a striking theory, one that may or may not be valid. Regardless, Corsi makes a good case.

### Less Broad Fraud?

Writing at American Thinker, Corsi begins by [pointing out](#) that vote fraud was not absent last year. Rather, it appears Democrats stole many down-ballot races. (E.g., California House seats where, after *weeks* of "counting," the Democrat in each case pulled ahead by a nose at the last minute. Coincidences never cease.) Corsi adds that, interestingly, Biden's 2020 popular vote total (81,283,501) was almost four million higher than Trump's 2024 figure. "Biden's 2020 popular vote was 'too big because it was rigged,'" he asserts.

But then, post-election, Corsi states, they made a discovery about how the seat-stealing sausage is made. He writes:

What we learned after 2020 was that cryptographic algorithms embedded in State Board of Elections (SBOE) voter registration databases existed in databases, as Andrew Paquette and I demonstrated on our 501(c)3 website, [GodsFiveStones.com](#). The algorithms permit bad actors to create false voter records that are still given legitimate state voter ID numbers.

This cryptographic scheme enables fraudsters to manufacture as many seemingly legitimate mail-in ballots as are necessary to alter election outcomes. Corsi reminds us, too, that this phenomenon was evident in 2020. First, many battleground states appeared to suspend or slow down vote counting on election night, when Trump was ahead. Later, as in the California House races, just enough mail-in ballots were found upon counting's resumption to flip the result.

Corsi then mentions his and Paquette's subsequent exposing of the cryptographic algorithms in



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on January 28, 2025

Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Arizona, and Georgia. He says that this *might* have made a difference in 2024 by hampering vote-fraud efforts. But he still doesn't believe it alone explains Trump's resounding victory. Instead, he suggests, there's another reason the Democratic powers-that-be allowed the swing states to quickly conclude their ballot tallying.

They wanted to punish Biden and Kamala Harris — for defying their will.

## The Empire Strikes Back?

As to Corsi's theory, he writes:

At the "Deep Party" Central Committee level of the Democrat party — at the level of Barack Obama, Klaus Schwab, and George Soros — the order went out that Harris needed to lose. After Biden's catastrophic debate performance, [Sen. Ted Cruz believed](#) that Obama wanted to substitute Michelle Obama as the Democrats' candidate once Biden was induced (or forced) to resign from the bid. This theory gains support from [the political melodrama in the Democrat party](#) after the debate.

Biden eventually dropped out of the race at 1:45 p.m. on July 21 [via a social media post](#). Not quite 30 minutes later, he posted on "X" that, after deciding "not to accept the nomination and to focus all my energies on my duties as President for the remainder of my term," he offered his "full support and endorsement for Kamala (Harris) to be the nominee of our party this year." Almost immediately after Biden's announcements, [Obama issued a letter that](#), while commending Biden for his decision to withdraw, did not endorse Harris.

As early as July 10, 2024, mainstream media had printed stories that if Biden were to drop out of the race, delegates to the Democratic National Convention would be released to vote for an alternative. After Biden's "X" post endorsing Kamala Harris, she became the presumed Democrat party presidential candidate. All consideration of an alternative presidential candidate, including Michelle Obama, stopped. Despite increasing evidence of Biden's diminishing mental capacity, he'd outmaneuvered Obama.

(Note: If anything, Biden's *handlers* outmaneuvered Obama. It's very clear, as House Speaker Mike Johnson and others have pointed out, that Biden was *not* in charge.)

## Cracking the Whip

Corsi proceeds to say that under the assumption the Democrats have "been acting as a neo-Marxist party," his theory follows. For you can't control the wider political process, in the way you wish, without first controlling your own ranks. They had to send a message: Defy us — we'll destroy you. From their perspective, too, empowering Trump was the worst punishment imaginable.

Corsi buttresses his theory by pointing out that it seemed, to some, as if Obama was purposely undermining Harris's campaign. Yet is this theory tenable?

Some commenters under Corsi's piece don't think so. For example, "I cannot accept that the Ds willingly surrendered the presidency to the object of their collective hatred. It runs counter to everything they do," opined one Albert Tieche.

Respondent Mark Berwind concurred. "Although I expected that [sic] cheat this time," he wrote, "I



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on January 28, 2025

---

don't believe they could have gotten away with the size of the cheat they would have needed, two times in a row, for presidential elections."

And what's the Truth? In reality, virtually everything in this life is a matter of probability. Corsi's theory is logical and *could* be valid, but it isn't the most likely explanation. Remember here that "once bitten, twice shy," Republicans launched [robust election-integrity programs](#) in 2024. They also [vowed](#) to finally compete with Democrats in the ballot-harvesting sphere. Such efforts, combined with closer-to-normal, post-Covid mail-in balloting levels, could've made the difference.

Regardless, what's for sure is that we should heed a warning Corsi issues. That is, the 2024 victory could make conservatives complacent about vote fraud; this is a mistake. It's [still rampant](#), still [swings elections](#) and, hence, [still threatens](#) the foundations of our Republic. Rooting it out should be, going forward, one of Trump's top priorities.



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

**Subscribe**