



Here's Why Biden's Pennsylvania Numbers Are Raising Voter-fraud Concerns

An analytical look at votes cast for Joe Biden, as well as the turnout itself, show several anomalies that put the legitimacy of the votes in doubt as the mainstream media has nearly universally declared the Democrat to be the “president-elect” of the United States.

Two reports that together provide more than 10 pages of statistical analysis of raw voter data signal [irregularities in the votes](#) that boosted Biden's vote tally in the crucial swing state of Pennsylvania.

For example, in Philadelphia, the likelihood of the city's voting outcome is as likely as someone flipping a coin 100 times and landing on heads every time, according to one of the reports.

One point of note is that Biden strangely beat Barack Obama's popular vote record and received several tens of thousands of more votes than registered Democrats in numerous counties. In some counties, the hike in Biden support totaled over 65,000 votes.

In Montgomery County, where Obama/Hillary Clinton vote counts ranged from 233,000 to 256,000 votes, Biden received 313,000. Together, the 10 outlier counties provide 244,237 votes.

“The votes for Biden are unusually high for ten counties, reporting an excess of ~244,000 votes in excess of expectation. These deviations are legitimate reasons to insist on closely monitored recounts,” the report reads.

The second report comes to similar conclusions, specifically with regard to Montgomery, Chester, Cumberland, and Pike counties.

“But Biden soared in three predominately R counties, by 1.24 to 1.43 times greater than either Obama run or Hillary. This is a likely absurd result, that Biden would get that much more in these almost 50-50 D/R counties,” the report asserts.

Another key insight: Biden got the votes of 115 percent of registered Democrats. Usually the number hovers around 70 percent in national elections.

“Normally 70%± of PA registered voters vote in a national election. After elimination of Allegheny County, 115% of PA registered Democratic voters voted for Biden. That is a serious statistical aberration.”

Then there's the fact that, per data provided by the State of Pennsylvania, a dramatic number of individuals cast ballots only for the presidential race; analysis shows this occurred *exponentially* more



richjem/iStock/Getty Images Plus



Written by [Luis Miguel](#) on November 8, 2020

with Biden voters.

“Another way to track down fraudulent votes is to look closely at how many of the votes did little or no down-ticket voting. When manufacturing votes, it is too time consuming to vote for other office holders,” the report states.

This phenomenon occurred in other states; in Arizona, double the number of Biden voters as Trump voters only voted for the top of the ticket. In Michigan, it was triple the number.

Continued statistical analysis shows wild numbers of people who voted ONLY for Joe Biden and didn't vote further down the ticket:

Pennsylvania — 98,000
Georgia — 80-90,000
Arizona — 42,000
Michigan — 69- 115,000
Wisconsin — 62,836

I have people going through all the anomalies. pic.twitter.com/nb0EhIGkq3

— Raheem Kassam (@RaheemKassam) [November 7, 2020](#)

Additionally, the numbers for Biden in Allegheny County appear to violate Benford's Law, a “standard voter fraud” identification method.

“A standard voter fraud method, Benford's Law, flags Allegheny County as suspect.”

I will add that this is data provided by the state and subject to change. But our team's preliminary analysis shows anomalies for Biden but not Trump.

pic.twitter.com/ZOX6GNd8jY

— Raheem Kassam (@RaheemKassam) [November 7, 2020](#)

Both reports urge recounts and close monitoring:

Based on the concerns outlined herein, to correct any honest errors, and to eliminate the possibility of fraud, a well-supervised recount (where every vote is verified as being legitimate) is necessitated in at least these three Pennsylvania counties: Montgomery, Chester and Cumberland.

Another oddity of the election: Only four incumbent presidents since 1912 did not win reelection. Yet in all cases, they lost with less votes than in the previous election.

For example, President Taft's votes fell from seven million to three million; Hoover's went down from 21 million to 15 million; Carter's from 40 million to 35 million; and George H.W. Bush's from 48 million to 39 million.

By contrast, if President Trump really does lose, he will be the first incumbent president to gain votes, almost *seven million*, and still lose reelection.



Written by [Luis Miguel](#) on November 8, 2020

What's more, in all the key battleground states (Michigan, Wisconsin, Georgia, North Carolina, Arizona, Pennsylvania, and Florida) the president increased his vote from 2016, in some cases considerably. In the case of the above-mentioned four failed incumbents, their vote decreased in all of these key states.

As the old adage goes, "The numbers don't lie." There are more than enough aberrations to prompt anyone serious about election integrity to want to take a deeper look at the numbers — and the ballots.

If the Trump team can get the courts to take such a look, what will they find? And will it be sufficient to turn out a win for the sitting president?



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

Subscribe