



U.S. Officials Celebrate Killing of Former Ally Gadhafi

Despite the fact that Libyan strongman Col. Muammar Gadhafi was considered an important U.S. ally in the terror war as recently as 2009, top American lawmakers and Obama administration officials rushed to celebrate his reported violent death at the hands of NATO war planes and Westernbacked revolutionaries. Several members of Gadhafi's family, including children and grandchildren, have been killed recently as well.

European and American rulers lavished praise on the fledgling National Transitional Council (NTC) regime following Gadhafi's death, promising vast amounts of taxpayer money to support the new government. The emerging rulers, however, include among their senior leaders more than a few all Qaeda-linked Islamic extremists who have boasted of battling American troops in other nations.



Just a few years ago, Gadhafi and the U.S. government were actually cooperating closely in the battle against many of the same figures now <u>taking over Libya with Western support</u>. Top leaders of groups like the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group (LIFG), which merged with al Qaeda in 2007, were considered high-priority targets for both governments.

The relationship between the two national governments was apparently going well and getting better until recently. In 2008, for example, the U.S. Federal Reserve was <u>secretly bailing out banks owned by the Gadhafi regime</u>. The next year, according to <u>leaked U.S. diplomatic cables</u>, a high-level American delegation in Tripoli praised Gadhafi's regime and the strengthening of bilateral ties between the two governments.

Among the participants in the senior-level meetings were the Libyan despot, his son, U.S. Senators John McCain (R-Ariz.), Joe Lieberman (I-Conn.), Lindsey Graham (R-S.C.), Susan Collins (R-Maine), and others. "The Senators expressed appreciation for Libya's counterterrorism cooperation in the region," the cable noted.

During one of the discussions, Sen. Lieberman "called Libya an important ally in the war on terrorism, noting that common enemies sometimes make better friends," the embassy report stated. "The Senators recognized Libya's cooperation on counterterrorism and conveyed that it was in the interest of both countries to make the relationship stronger."

Senator McCain, meanwhile, promised Gadhafi's son Muatassim that the U.S. government "wanted to provide Libya with the equipment it needs for its security," noted the confidential document released by



Written by **Alex Newman** on October 21, 2011



WikiLeaks. "He described the bilateral military relationship as strong and pointed to Libyan officer training at U.S. Command, Staff, and War colleges as some of the best programs for Libyan military participation."

Today, however, the situation is much different. The founder of the LIFG — once arrested and tortured by U.S. officials for terrorism allegations — is now actually in charge of the Tripoli Military Council. Countless other Islamic militants Gadhafi once helped suppress with American assistance also played key roles in the Western-supported regime-change operation. Soon they might be in charge of the whole North African nation.

But U.S. lawmakers on both sides of the aisle have since dramatically changed their tune, scrambling hysterically to publicly celebrate the news of Gadhafi's brutal demise. "The United States, along with our European allies and Arab partners, must now deepen our support for the Libyan people, as they work to make the next phase of their democratic revolution as successful as the fight to free their country," opined — ironically enough — Sen. McCain. Democratic Senators also joined in singing the praises of regime change and Libya's new rulers.

In the House of Representatives, the tone was similar among most establishment lawmakers. Foreign Affairs Committee Chair Rep. Ileana Ros-Lethinen (R-Fla.), for example, blasted the ex-dictator and demanded that the new regime work with the U.S. government in the same manner as Gadhafi.

"Tyranny, oppression, and violence defined Gadhafi's time in power," she said. "The new leaders must demonstrate a commitment to working with the U.S., and to securing control over dangerous weapons and rooting out extremist groups."

Outside the U.S., other Western leaders and former Gadhafi allies also celebrated the late Libyan ruler's savage and brutal death. "We have to rejoice about what we have done," Italian Defense Minister Ignazio La Russa told Sky Italia television. "Without the NATO intervention, imagine what could have happened."

In the U.K., where former Prime Minister Tony Blair became particularly close to the Gadhafi regime, top officials were celebrating the killing, too. "People in Libya today have an even greater chance after this news of building themselves a strong and democratic future," <u>claimed</u> British Prime Minister David Cameron. "I'm proud of the role that Britain has played in helping them to bring that about."

But the Obama administration was especially proud of its <u>unconstitutional use of American forces</u> to depose and kill Gadhafi. Just prior to the announcement of the killing, Secretary of State Hillary Clinton praised leaders of the new Libyan regime and promised even more American taxpayer largesse: "We came, we saw, he died," Clinton cackled upon hearing the news, borrowing a slightly modified version of the famous phrase attributed to Roman emperor Julius Caesar.

After the reported death of Gadhafi, President Obama gave a speech bragging about the U.S. government's role in taking out the fleeing despot. "Our leadership at NATO has helped guide our coalition," Obama <u>boasted</u>. "Without putting a single U.S. service member on the ground, we achieved our objectives."

About five years ago, however, U.S.-Libya relations were much different. In fact, Gadhafi was actually being celebrated by the political establishment in Washington.

Then-Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice, for instance, <u>hailed</u> the "Great Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya" as "a model" for other governments to emulate in 2006. While announcing that the regime



Written by Alex Newman on October 21, 2011



was being welcomed back to the international community, Rice also praised Gadhafi's "excellent cooperation in response to common global threats faced by the civilized world."

Speaking to *Time* magazine as Western rulers were flocking to Tripoli to heap praises on the Libyan tyrant, Gadhafi said he had been doing the same thing Bush claimed to be doing when the U.S. invaded Iraq. "Bush is saying that America is fighting for the triumph of freedom," the Libyan despot was quoted as saying in an article entitled "Why Gaddafi's Now a Good Guy." "When we were supporting liberation movements in the world, we were arguing that it was for the victory of freedom. We both agree. We were fighting for the cause of freedom."

While it remains unclear exactly why or how the Gadhafi regime went from "a model" and an "important ally" to the next target for regime change in a period of just a few years, several theories have been floated. The United Nations did pass a resolution purporting to authorize military intervention to prevent civilians deaths. But tens of thousands of civilians were killed during the civil war — many by NATO and rebel forces in what experts have called war crimes. And most of the original claims used to justify military intervention have been disputed by experts.

Another possible reason for Gadhafi's fall from grace, however, has gained significant traction among <u>analysts</u> and <u>segments of the non-Western media</u>: Central banking and the global monetary system.

According to critics of the NATO-backed rebellion, Gadhafi's plan to quit selling Libyan oil in U.S. dollars – demanding payment in gold-backed dinars instead – was the real cause. Adding credence to the theory, as *The New American* reported in March, was the rebels' odd decision to create a central bank to replace Gadhafi's state-owned monetary authority in the early weeks of the conflict.

Similar scenarios have also been associated with other targets of the U.S. government. Iran, for example, has a <u>state-owned central bank</u>, while Iraq was reportedly threatening to start selling oil in currencies other than the dollar just prior to the Bush administration's "regime change" mission. Osama bin Laden and Saddam Hussein, like Gadhafi, were both <u>U.S. allies</u> before their American-sponsored executions.

Though Gadhafi was wanted by the "International Criminal Court," <u>analysts suggested</u> Western rulers <u>breathed a sigh of relief</u> upon learning of his death – especially because the ex-despot, like Saddam Hussein and Slobodan Milosevic, might have "<u>spilled the beans</u>" during an open trial. But with armed factions and militia groups seeking to fill the power-vacuum left by Gadhafi's demise, the bloodshed and war crimes in Libya may not be over just yet.

Related articles:

Libyan Despot Gadhafi Killed in Final Stand

Former Guantanamo Prisoner Now U.S. Ally in Libya

UN, Obama Fighting Alongside Al-Qaeda in Libya

Brutal Gadhafi Regime Fights On in Western Libya

Gadhafi's Libya, Agent of the Soviet Menace

China Offered Gadhafi Arms Despite Libya Embargo

FBI "On Guard" for Libyan Terror Retaliation

Libya War: Lies and Dueling Psy-Ops



Written by Alex Newman on October 21, 2011



The Bloody Aftermath of NATO's Libya War

Exiled Libyan Jew Barred From Restoring Tripoli Synagogue

Sharia Enshrined in Libyan Draft Constitution

NATO, Rebels Accused of War Crimes in Libya

Libyan Rebels Accused of "Ethnic Cleansing," Black Genocide

Al-Qaeda and NATO's Islamic Extremists Taking Over Libya

"Libyan Rebels" Create Central Bank, Oil Company

Fed Showered Money On Foreign Banks

Bin Laden & Al-Qaeda: U.S. Govt. Creations

U.S. Role Unclear in Planned UN Libya Mission

Obama, Clinton, and Biden Agree: War on Libya Is Unconstitutional

Photo: Libyan leader Moammar Gadhafi meets with Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice (out of picture) in Tripoli, Libya, Friday, Sept. 5, 2008.:

AP Images





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.