



Paul, Kucinich Seek to Defund "Impeachable" War on Libya

Add Rep. Ron Paul (R-Texas) to the list of those who think President Barack Obama's imposition of a no-fly zone over Libya is deserving of impeachment. Last weekend Rep. Dennis Kucinich (D-Ohio, picture left) said that he believes Obama's war is "an impeachable offense" because, as he wrote in a letter to colleagues, "the President committed the U.S. to military intervention without consulting Congress, in clear subversion of Article 1, Section 8 of the Constitution, which gives only Congress the power to declare war." Paul's spokesperson, Rachel Mills, confirmed to the Daily Caller that Paul agrees with Kucinich on this point.



In fact, the two are in such agreement that the war must end that they are planning to cosponsor an amendment prohibiting all funding of the effort. Kucinich announced his intention to introduce the amendment on Tuesday, saying it "would be offered to the next funding measure Congress considers," which will probably be the next Continuing Resolution to keep the government up and running.

In his announcement Kucinich offered cost estimates of the war and drew comparisons to the ongoing operations in Afghanistan and Iraq:

According to the Center for Strategic and Budgetary Assessments, the initial costs of a no-fly zone could cost the U.S. between \$400 and \$800 million, or \$30 to \$100 million per week. We have already spent trillions of dollars on the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, both of which descended into unwinnable quagmires. Now, the President is plunging the United States into yet another war we cannot afford. While the Administration assures us that that the U.S. will hand-off its lead role to coalition partners within days, we have not been notified of long-term plans or goals following initial air strikes in the country. The timeline the President gave to Congress was summarized with one word: "limited."

There is little doubt that Paul, who in a recent <u>video</u> said "the American people should be yelling and screaming about what the President is doing" and that Congress has been derelict in its duty to uphold the Constitution in matters of war, would support impeachment proceedings against Obama. Kucinich has been more evasive in that regard, telling *Politico* that "it doesn't necessarily apply that simply because a president has committed an impeachable offense, that the process should start to impeach and remove him" — which, as *The New American*'s Raven Clabough pointed out, may have more to do with Obama's party affiliation than anything else.

Paul and Kucinich are not alone among government officials in recognizing that Obama's war is unconstitutional, as this writer <u>explained</u> in *TNA* recently. Back when they were mere Senators, President Obama, Vice President Joe Biden, and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton all expressed similar



Written by Michael Tennant on March 24, 2011



sentiments regarding the President's authority (or lack thereof) to send troops into battle without congressional approval.

Moreover, were Paul, Kucinich, or any other House members to undertake the task of impeaching the President, they could call Biden as their expert witness. In 2007, when the Bush administration was rattling sabers toward Iran, then-Sen. Biden stated emphatically: "I want to make it clear. And I made it clear to the President that if he takes this nation to war in Iran without congressional approval, I will make it my business to impeach him."

Given a chance to retract or revise his statement by MSNBC's Chris Matthews, Biden responded, "I want to stand by that comment I made." Reminding viewers that he had been chairman or ranking member of the Senate Judiciary Committee for 17 years and that he taught "separation of powers and constitutional law," Biden affirmed: "The President has no constitutional authority to take this nation to war … unless we're attacked or unless there is proof that we are about to be attacked. And if he does — if he does, I would move to impeach him."

The Obama administration has given Congress the rope with which to hang the President. Defunding the war, as Kucinich and Paul would do, is an excellent first step. Then, in the interest of defending both the Constitution, which all its members are sworn to uphold, and its own prerogatives, Congress should finish the job by impeaching and removing Obama.

Related articles:

Libya's Costs Will Undermine GOP's Savings

Democrat Calls Obama's Actions in Libya "Impeachable"

Obama, Clinton, and Biden Agree: War on Libya Is Unconstitutional

UN Trumps Constitution, Congress in President's Undeclared War on Libya

Rep. Amash Calls Libya Action Unconstitutional

A Real Cost/Benefit Analysis of Libyan Intervention

Libya: One Quagmire Too Far?

On Libya, It's the Beltway Interventionists vs. Ron Paul and the Founders

Proper Use of the U.S. Military





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.