



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on January 12, 2021

## Dollar-worshipping, Value-signaling Corporations Defund Republicans Who Challenged Biden Electors

President Trump isn't the first politician to refuse to concede an election; Democrat Stacey Abrams to this day won't concede the 2018 Georgia gubernatorial race, which she lost by more than 55,000 votes. And while Democrats demonize the Republican challenging of Joe Biden's electors, Democrats have a history of challenging electors, starting in 1969, [according](#) to political analyst David Mark.

Despite this, the propaganda that the GOP's electoral challenge is an "attack on democracy" is so effective that some corporations are now vowing to halt donations to the Republicans who mounted the effort.

"The growing list of those corporations, including American Express, BlueCross BlueShield, Commerce Bank, Dow and Marriott ([MAR](#)), comes after a [small group within a large pro-Trump rally] ... [breached the US Capitol](#) last Wednesday to fight against the ceremonial counting of electoral votes that confirmed President-elect Joe Biden's win," [reported](#) CNN Business.

One-hundred forty-seven "Republicans voted against certification of the electoral votes in a joint session of Congress last Wednesday evening," the site continues. "They included Missouri Sen. Josh Hawley, Kansas Sen. Roger Marshall, Texas Sen. Ted Cruz and Alabama Sen. Tommy Tuberville, among hundreds of other congress members."

In feigning principle, the value-signaling among the aforementioned corporations was nauseating. A prime example was the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association. We "'continuously evaluate our political contributions to ensure that those we support share our values and goals,' said Kim Keck, BlueCross BlueShield's president and CEO, in a statement," CNN related.

"In light of this week's violent, shocking assault on the United States Capitol, and the votes of some members of Congress to subvert the results of November's election by challenging Electoral College results, BCBSA will suspend contributions to those lawmakers who voted to undermine our democracy."

{modulepos inner\_text\_ad}

One could wonder: Did BCBSA or any of the other corporations halt donations to politicians who supported Black Lives Matter? While it was involved in many if not all the 570+ violent riots last year — which entailed looting and damaged/destroyed thousands of businesses — corporations actually donated millions to the organization. So perhaps some violent riots do align with corporate "values and goals."

Two companies that were at least a bit more even-handed are Citigroup and JP Morgan. They both



bauhaus1000/iStock/Getty Images Plus



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on January 12, 2021

---

resolved to suspend donations to all political candidates regardless of their position on the election, according to CNN.

None of this is surprising, of course. People sometimes register incredulity at how corporations “[collaborated with the Nazis](#)” during the WWII era. But that’s what corporations do: They pander to the powerful and bow down before the spirit of the age to fill their coffers.

This hasn’t changed, of course. Thus should we worry less about what businesses did 80 years ago and more about their greed-driven trespasses today.

For example, Cirque du Soleil and other companies boycotted North Carolina in 2016 over the state’s virtue-protecting “bathroom bill”; designed to combat the destructive “transgender” agenda, it prohibited people from invading the opposite sex’s private spaces. Yet Cirque du Soleil still did business in the United Arab Emirates, which takes a far harder line against the Made-up Sexual Status (MUSS) agenda and the sexual devolution in general. This isn’t just moving the goalposts — it’s giving your goalposts the power of bilocation.

Some of these craven corporations have stated that they won’t support candidates who don’t respect “the rule of law,” as if questioning election integrity is unprecedented. But harking back to my first paragraph, consider what House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif.) said in 2004:

Here are two more 2004 examples:

Then there’s this from 2017:

(Hat tip: [Def-Con News](#).)

In fact, despite Hillary Clinton claiming during a 2016 debate, in reference to Trump possibly not accepting election results, that to not do so “denigrates” our system, for four years the Democrats did nothing but claim the president was illegitimate.

By the way, a month after Pelosi sent the above 2017 tweet a leftist tried to assassinate Republicans at a congressional baseball practice, as Fox News host Tucker Carlson points out in the excellent Monday evening segment below.

Yet there was no value-signaling then about the “rule of law” or “insurrection.” There was no deplatforming of leftists; no bans; no targeting of tech companies that disseminated the Democrat message, no talk of how duly elected representatives should be removed from Congress; and no demand that political opponents be disallowed from flying on airplanes or gaining employment.

The kicker is that leftist violence actually was stoked by lies and hatred. There were the lies that there’s a police war on blacks and that “Russia stole the election for Trump,” and the wrath-inducing propaganda that the president’s supporters are “white supremacists,” fascists, Nazis, and a threat to the Republic. And if you thought Nazis were poised to seize your government, might you not think you were in desperate times calling for desperate measures? That’s how rabble is roused.

The irony is that the 2020 election *actually* was stolen, and, along with power lust, this helps explain the current crackdown on dissent: Thieves don’t want their theft exposed.



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on January 12, 2021

---

Also, they want to be able to steal again in the future — with impunity.

Thus has Facebook banned “Stop the Steal,” and thus the demand that those questioning the election be labeled insurrectionists.

Yet the hypocrisy is obvious and the agenda also quite plain. The deeper lesson involves how we got here: by losing the culture to the Left.

Corporations respond to cultural and governmental pressure. Yet politics being downstream from culture, the government is also shaped by the latter (and can also, in turn, shape the culture, especially as the state becomes more tyrannical).

The point is that if you don’t control the culture, ultimately, the culture will control you. If conservatives had learned that decades ago, they might not be learning it the hard way now.



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.