



# Dems, Leftists Fume Over SCOTUS Ban on Quotas in College Admission

As anticipated, the advocates of racial spoils in college admission threw a conniption after the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that affirmative action in college admission violates the Equal Protection Clause of the federal Constitution.

In a decision written by Chief Justice John Roberts, <u>SCOTUS ruled</u> that Harvard University and the University of North Carolina cannot discriminate against whites and Asians in selecting new students.

"Ostrich-like," wrote affirmative-action Associate Justice Kentaji Brown Jackson.



AlexLMX/iStock/Getty Images Plus

"Ludicrous," opined Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, the former bartender known as Occasional Cortex.

"Extremist," huffed Senator Elizabeth Warren, plagiarizing contributor to a Cherokee cookbook.

"White supremacy," fumed the bald-headed Rep. Ayanna Pressley.

#### **Dissents**

<u>Jackson dissented</u> with a *cri de coeur* and putative history lessons for the six unreasonable justices who think everyone should play by the same rules.

"With let-them-eat-cake obliviousness, today, the majority pulls the ripcord and announces 'colorblindness for all' by legal fiat," wrote the woman elevated to the court solely because she is black:

But deeming race irrelevant in law does not make it so in life. And having so detached itself from this country's actual past and present experiences, the Court has now been lured into interfering with the crucial work that UNC and other institutions of higher learning are doing to solve America's real-world problems.

No one benefits from ignorance. Although formal race-linked legal barriers are gone, race still matters to the lived experiences of all Americans in innumerable ways, and today's ruling makes things worse, not better. The best that can be said of the majority's perspective is that it proceeds (ostrich-like) from the hope that preventing consideration of race will end racism. But if that is its motivation, the majority proceeds in vain. If the colleges of this country are required to ignore a thing that matters, it will not just go away. It will take longer for racism to leave us. And, ultimately, ignoring race just makes it matter more.

Associate Justice Sonia Sotyomayor, who <u>long ago described</u> herself as the "perfect affirmative action baby," <u>said race</u> must be used in college admissions:



#### Written by **R. Cort Kirkwood** on June 30, 2023



Today, this Court stands in the way and rolls back decades of precedent and momentous progress. It holds that race can no longer be used in a limited way in college admissions to achieve such critical benefits. In so holding, the Court cements a superficial rule of colorblindness as a constitutional principle in an endemically segregated society where race has always mattered and continues to matter.

Well, it certainly mattered when Jackson and Sotomayor — <u>the "wise Latina"</u> — landed on the court <u>only because</u> of their race.

#### **Left Reacts**

Democrats and leftists reacted with predictable hysteria.

"Today, the Court once again walked away from decades of precedent and make — as the dissent has made clear," <u>President Joe Biden said</u>:

The dissent states that today's decision, quote, "rolls back decades of precedent and momentous progress." End of quote.

I agree with that statement from the dissents — from the dissent.

The Court has effectively ended affirmative action in college admissions. And I strongly — strongly disagree with the Court's decision.

Because affirmative action is so misunderstood, I want to be clear — make sure everybody is clear about what the law has been and what it has not been, until today.

Many people wrongly believe that affirmative action allows unqualified students — unqualified students — to be admitted ahead of qualified students. This is not — this is not how college admissions work.

Rather, colleges set out standards for admission, and every student — every student has to meet those standards.

Not really, but anyway, affirmative-action Vice President Kamala Harris — who rose to political prominence after serving in several positions under former California House Speaker Willie Brown — was rather upset, too.

The decision is "a step backward for our nation," she said:

It rolls back long-established precedent and will make it more difficult for students from underrepresented backgrounds to have access to opportunities that will help them fulfill their full potential.

It is well established that all students benefit when classrooms and campuses reflect the incredible diversity of our Nation. Colleges and universities provide opportunities for students to interact with Americans from all walks of life and learn from one another. By making our schools less diverse, this ruling will harm the educational experience for all students.

In fact, it is "well established" that diversity does little if anything for education, but leave that aside.



### Written by **R. Cort Kirkwood** on June 30, 2023



Ocasio-Cortez was beside herself. That wasn't a problem because the former bartender knows how to mix a stiff one to ease the pain:

If SCOTUS was serious about their ludicrous "colorblindness" claims, they would have abolished legacy admissions, aka affirmative action for the privileged.

70% of Harvard's legacy applicants are white. SCOTUS didn't touch that — which would have impacted them and their patrons.

Then there was Pressley, no relation to Elvis, who had a full head of hair.

"Affirmative action is meant to combat the legacy of anti-Black racism & discrimination still present in higher ed," she tweeted:

This ruling will uphold white supremacy & exacerbate racial disparities.

Universities must affirm their commitment to racial & ethnic diversity.

"Affirmative action was never a complete answer in the drive towards a more just society," POTUS 44 Barack Obama tweeted. "But for generations of students who had been systematically excluded from most of America's key institutions—it gave us the chance to show we more than deserved a seat at the table."

Wife Michelle's heart is broken, she tweeted, and Senator Bernie Sanders, the Vermont socialist, fretted that "institutional racism exists" and "must be combatted."

Warren, who supposedly has 1/1024th Cherokee blood, went on the warpath, too:

An extremist Supreme Court has once again reversed decades of settled law, rolled back the march toward racial justice, and narrowed educational opportunity for all. I won't stop fighting for young people with big dreams who deserve an equal chance to pursue their future.

Warren should welcome the decision, Twitter conservative Gunther Eagleman wrote, because she can stop pretending to be an Indian.





## **Subscribe to the New American**

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



## **Subscribe**

#### What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.