



WTO Ruling Blasts U.S. Sovereignty; TPP Threatens More of Same

As the World Trade Organization delivers another blow against U.S. sovereignty, President Obama's Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) is poised to add more international judicial attacks against American liberty and independence.



WORLD TRADE
ORGANIZATION



The May 18 ruling by a WTO appellate tribunal declaring a U.S. federal law illegal should have caused giant shock waves across America and should have sunk any hopes of congressional passage of the TPP, which, twinned with the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), forms the centerpiece of the ObamaTrade globalist agenda.

Both the TPP and the TTIP would [create international courts](#) that could (and would) override American federal, state, and local laws, as well as federal and state court decisions, and even federal and state constitutions, as *The New American* has reported previously (see [here](#) and [here](#)).

The May 18 WTO ruling was the fourth time in three years the organization has struck down the United States' country of origin labeling (COOL) law, ruling that it violates international trade laws.

In an article in March, we provided this summary of the WTO-COOL battle:

A few short months ago, on October 20, 2014, the World Trade Organization ruled that the U.S. Country Of Origin Labeling (COOL) law is illegal, even though a U.S. federal court had upheld the law. COOL, which requires imported foreign meat to carry a label naming the country of origin, was challenged as discriminatory by meat exporters from our NAFTA partners Mexico and Canada. It should be noted that the COOL law does not prohibit or restrict any product; it merely says American consumers have a right to know where foreign meat is coming from so they can make an informed decision on whether or not to buy it. To most Americans that probably sounds not only reasonable, but also an issue that we have a right to decide for ourselves, without international interference. That was also the opinion of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. The U.S. court ruled against Canada and Mexico and concluded that COOL complies with the U.S. Constitution and that Congress had authority to enact the law.

But WTO considers itself above the U.S. Constitution, above U.S. laws, and above U.S. courts. Not surprisingly, the WTO ruled against COOL and the right of Americans to know if the food they're eating was produced in a foreign country.

"More cases are pending before NAFTA and WTO courts," we noted. "And if the TPP is passed, we will, most assuredly, be afflicted with new TPP tribunals that will offer even more potential for subversive attacks on every aspect of our political and economic systems."



Written by [William F. Jasper](#) on May 27, 2015

Will Congress Surrender on COOL?

So, how has the U.S. Congress responded to the WTO ruling? Did our senators and representatives tell the international bureaucrats to go take a flying leap? No, the main response, thus far, has been to whimper and knuckle under. On May 19, the day after the WTO ruling, House Agriculture Committee Chair K. Michael Conaway (R-Texas), introduced