



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on August 28, 2017

Washington, D.C. Asks Full Appeals Court to Review a Pro-gun Ruling

Washington, D.C.'s Attorney General Karl Racine [filed a petition](#) on Thursday with the U.S. Appeals Court for the District of Columbia, asking the court to rehear a decision that a three-judge panel of that court issued in July. That decision, 2-1, issued a permanent injunction prohibiting the district from enforcing its "good reason" requirement to obtain a concealed carry permit.



At issue is the requirement that citizens applying for a permit have a "good reason" for it that goes beyond just wanting a firearm for self-defense. It even obviates claims that a permit is needed because someone lives in a dangerous neighborhood.

Writing for the majority in that panel's decision, Judge Thomas Griffith said:

To be sure, the "good reason" law leaves each D.C. resident some remote chance of one day carrying in self-defense, but that isn't the question. The Second Amendment doesn't secure a right to have some chance at self-defense.... At a minimum the Amendment's core must protect carrying given the risks and needs typical of law-abiding citizens. [But] that is a right that most D.C. residents can never exercise, by the law's very design.

Racine's 61-page petition makes three claims: 1) Washington D.C. is a "special place" that requires strict limits on just who can be allowed to carry; 2) the Supreme Court made a mistake in *District of Columbia v. Heller* by using the original intent of the Founders in reaching its decision, and 3) that the district's new rules aren't that restrictive after all. In order then, Racine's petition claims:

[First] The District of Columbia is unique. Unlike any state, it is entirely urban and densely populated. Unlike any city, it is filled with thousands of high-ranking federal officials and international diplomats, and it hosts hundreds of heavily attended events each year, including political marches and protests....

[Second] The majority misinterprets the Supreme Court's decision in *District of Columbia v. Heller* ... which holds that, because the Second Amendment codified a pre-existing right, the scope of the right it protects can only be determined by examining the right as it existed at the time of the amendment's ratification....

[And third] After a district judge invalidated the District's long-standing prohibition on public carrying [in 2014], the Council enacted legislation to authorize the issuance of public-carry licenses if, among other things, the applicant has "good reason to fear injury to his or her person or property or has any other proper reason for carrying a pistol....

An applicant must "show ... a special need for self-protection distinguishable from the general community as supported by evidence of specific threats or previous attacks that demonstrate a special danger to the applicant's life."



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on August 28, 2017

“[Another] proper reason shall at a minimum include types of employment that require the handling of cash or other valuable objects that may be transported upon the applicant’s person.”

All of which is just so much hogwash, according to the founder of the Second Amendment Foundation (SAF), which is assisting the plaintiffs in the suit. Said Alan Gottlieb:

[The Washington D.C. City Council members] have no intention of complying with any court decision that supports the right to keep and bear arms. It took the *Heller* decision to force them to allow a gun in your home for self-defense. It took the *Palmer* decision, another SAF case, to force them to repeal their total ban on carry, and now they are kicking and screaming about losing the [3-judge panel’s] decision....

Municipal stubbornness cannot be allowed to outweigh the Constitution. A civil right should not be subject to bureaucratic neurosis.

The full appeals court is presided over by none other than Chief Judge Merrick Garland, President Obama’s pick for the Supreme Court. Therefore it’s all but certain that Racine’s petition will be granted, the case will be reheard and rehashed and then the full court will overturn the three-judge panel’s ruling. That will be followed by an appeal by the SAF to the Supreme Court, giving that body another opportunity to clarify and confirm both *Heller* and *McDonald*, hopefully putting the matter to rest and finally allowing Washington, D.C.’s residents the opportunity to enjoy citizenship rights.

Photo of the Lincoln memorial: [National Park Service](#)

An Ivy League graduate and former investment advisor, Bob is a regular contributor to The New American magazine and blogs frequently at [LightFromTheRight.com](#), primarily on economics and politics. He can be reached at badelman@thenewamerican.com.

Related article:

[Federal Appeals Court Strikes Down Third Attempt by D.C. to Restrict Gun Ownership](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

[Subscribe](#)