



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on August 14, 2017

Texas Instructor Protests Campus Carry by Wearing Helmet and Body Armor to Class

Rather than resigning or noisily transferring to another, more “enlightened” school, or filing a frivolous lawsuit, geography instructor Charles K. Smith (shown) protested Texas’ new campus carry freedom by showing up on the first day of class wearing — ready? — a helmet and a bullet-resistant vest! Smith teaches at San Antonio College.



His foolishness made the papers, and he took full advantage of the publicity, claiming the new law somehow puts him at risk:

It definitely makes me feel uneasy that there are more firearms on campus than there really should be. (Dressing this way) was just a statement of how I felt....

I was just saying I don’t feel safe.

I realize students were carrying guns on campus illegally, but now it’s legal to do so. It increases the chances of something happening....

My assumption is that you will have more people carrying guns that will lead to problems. It always has.

{modulepos inner_text_ad}

And then he went further off the deep end:

[It] used to be, when [students] got mad at me, they had to go home to get the gun [which gave them] time to cool off; now they have it with them.

At least one of his students, who wrote publicly of his experience in Smith’s class, indicated that there wasn’t any content in the class worth getting mad about:

He makes mildly boring subject matter into a painful classroom experience. His sleep inducing political rants and disagreeable classroom demeanor and behavior make his lectures unbearable. He should make some effort and inject some enthusiasm into teaching geography rather than wasting students’ time with political commentary about current events.

While Smith was exercising his freedom of speech guaranteed by the First Amendment and backed up by the Second, he was also exercising his freedom to act the fool.

Other instructors and professors upset over Texas’ new campus carry rules went in different directions. Three University of Texas professors — with the help of a local attorney — filed a lawsuit claiming that students now exercising their Second Amendment rights would somehow infringe on their First Amendment rights. They claimed that students exercising those rights would have a “chilling” effect on open conversation in the classroom. In tossing their lawsuit, the judge tried to understand their concerns:



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on August 14, 2017

The “chilling effect” appears to arise from Plaintiffs’ subjective belief that a person may be more likely to cause harm to a professor or student as a result of the [new] law.

[But] plaintiffs cannot establish standing [to sue] by simply claiming they experience a “chilling effect” that resulted from a governmental policy....

Accordingly, [this] court will dismiss.

And then there’s the professor at Wichita State University (WSU) who simply resigned, telling the school’s president “[This new] concealed carry policy ... can’t help [but] dampen open, frank conversation ... [and] puts the health and safety of students, faculty and staff at risk.”

That followed the noisy exit of another Kansas professor, Jacob Dorman, who said the new law “will have a chilling effect on free speech ... [and] will hurt all students.”

Smith is in good company. Each of these intellectual giants suffer not only from hoplophobia — the unreasonable fear of inanimate firearms and those who carry them — but also paranoia — the unreasonable fear that somehow possession by their students of objects that can be used as weapons means the students intend to harm them.

The simple reality is that “gun free zones” are markedly more dangerous, as unarmed individuals with no means of self-defense are much more attractive targets to deranged or zealous shooters seeking glory or to make a political statement. Warren Mass pointed this out at *The New American* when he quoted John Lott of the Crime Prevention Research Center: “Gun control laws don’t deter criminals who are looking for select targets where people can’t fight back.”

If Smith had a grasp of reality, he would have been wearing his armored costume every day while his classroom was “gun free,” instead of waiting until it was safer with the passage of campus concealed carry laws. As the National Rifle Association (NRA) said in its review of Smith’s antics: “The message Smith actually conveyed ... raised questions about his own ability to interact respectfully with people whose opinions and ideology diverge from this own.”

An Ivy League graduate and former investment advisor, Bob is a regular contributor to The New American magazine and blogs frequently at [LightFromTheRight.com](#), primarily on economics and politics. He can be reached at badelman@thenewamerican.com.

Image: [Screenshot from a Facebook page](#)

Related articles:

[Gun-free Zones Contribute to Mass Slayings, Say Many Experts](#)

[Texas Professors’ Frivolous Concealed-carry Lawsuit Tossed Due to Lack of Standing](#)

[Another Kansas Professor Leaves Over Campus Carry Law](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

Subscribe