



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on June 17, 2016

Is Fifth Amendment in Jeopardy as Trump and NRA Discuss No-Fly, No-Buy Watchlist?

On Wednesday Donald Trump [tweeted](#) that he would shortly be meeting with top officials at the National Rifle Association (NRA) “about not allowing people on the terrorist watch list, or the no-fly list, to buy guns.” The NRA cordially responded, but with a warning:



We are happy to meet with Donald Trump [but] the NRA’s position has not changed ... due process protections should be put in place that allow law-abiding Americans who are wrongly put on a[ny] watch list to be removed.

There are two major “watch” lists: the No-Fly List, created after the September 11, 2001 attacks, and the Terrorist Screening Database (TSDB) which is a central terrorist watch list fed by data from international sources and the FBI. Currently there are an estimated 50,000 names on the No-Fly List while there are more than two million names on the TSDB.

People on the No-Fly List are prohibited from boarding a commercial aircraft flying into or out of the United States. People on the TSDB, on the other hand, are known to be terrorists, or suspected of terrorist activities. Data on the TSDB is then fed to various government agencies who build their own databases, including the Defense Department’s Top Ten Fugitives List. About one out of every 10 individuals on the TSDB is a U.S. citizen or a legal permanent resident.

The Second Amendment can be recited practically from rote memory by most of its supporters, while the Due Process Clause, buried inside the Fifth Amendment, is much less familiar: “No person shall ... be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law....”

In *Vitek v. Jones*, decided in 1980 by the Supreme Court, those due process rights were spelled out in detail. They include

- Written notice
- A hearing
- An opportunity at the hearing to present testimony of witnesses by the defense and to confront and cross-examine witnesses called by the state
- An independent decision maker
- A written statement by the [decision maker in making his ruling]
- Availability of legal counsel, and
- Effective and timely notice of all the foregoing rights [to the defendant].

The mainstream anti-Trump media continues to harp on Trump’s responses to a grilling he got from ABC’s George Stephanopoulos during the November 22, 2015 airing of *This Week*. We are quoting the



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on June 17, 2016

exchange extensively below so readers will be able to evaluate it in context:

Stephanopoulos: You've also talked about you want to keep the terror watch list, but under current law individuals on the terror watch list and the no-fly list have been allowed to buy guns and explosives. Are you ok with that?

Trump: We have to have a watch list, and if that watch list has somebody that's — you know, we have — you know, we have the laws right now. We have the laws already on the books as far as Second Amendment for guns, and as you know I'm a big, big, really big proponent of the Second Amendment. If in — I'll give you an example. If in Paris some of those people, those — and if you had some of those people had guns, you wouldn't have had the horror show that you had with nobody..

Stephanopoulos: But why should someone on the watch list —

Trump: — out of hundreds of people [INAUDIBLE]

Stephanopoulos: — be able to have a gun?

Trump: No, no. You — if people are on a watch list or people are sick, you have already — this is already covered in the legislation that we already have, George. It's already fully covered.

Stephanopoulos: Well, but under current law —

Trump: But we have —

Stephanopoulos: — people on the watch list —

Trump: — if we have an enemy of state —

Stephanopoulos: — are allowed to buy guns.

Trump: Listen, George, if we have an enemy of state, I don't want to give him anything. I want to have him in jail, that's what I want. I want to have him in jail. But if those people in Paris had guns in that room, it would have been a shootout and very few people would have been hurt by comparison to the number that were hurt.

Stephanopoulos: But yesterday —

Trump: I'll tell you who would have been hurt, the bad guys would —

Stephanopoulos: But yes or no?

Trump: — because they were the only ones that had the guns.

Stephanopoulos: Mr. Trump, yes or no, should someone on the terror watch list be allowed to buy a gun?

Trump: If somebody is on a watch list and an enemy of state and we know it's an enemy of state, I would keep them away, absolutely.

Does this reveal a Trump unfamiliar with constitutional limitations? Does it build a case for Trump supporting another watch list, this one called the "No-Fly, No-Buy" list, so greatly desired by the president?

On the other hand, is Trump visiting with officials from the NRA to make sure that his desire to neutralize terrorist threats stays within constitutional bounds?



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on June 17, 2016

Constitutionalists might take comfort if Trump calls a press conference after the meeting to announce that his position on the matter is causing him to oppose watch lists in general and support efforts by local police departments to neutralize terrorist threats.

Phot of Donald Trump: AP Images

A graduate of an Ivy League school and a former investment advisor, Bob is a regular contributor to The New American magazine and blogs frequently at [LightFromTheRight.com](#), primarily on economics and politics. He can be reached at badelmann@thenewamerican.com.

Related article:

[Gun-control Advocates Push for Using No-fly List to Ban Gun Sales](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

[Subscribe](#)