



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on March 18, 2016

Exposing Judge Merrick's Anti-gun Bias

Merrick Brian Garland (shown), nominated by President Obama on Wednesday to fill the vacancy left on the Supreme Court with the untimely passing of Antonin Scalia, [has left footprints](#). They tell of a judge who isn't interested in supporting the rights America's citizens enjoy under the Second Amendment.



In 2007, Garland voted to rescind a D.C. Circuit court's decision that invalidated Washington D.C.'s strict ban against handgun ownership. That law, which even prohibited guns citizens might keep at home for self-defense, was struck down by a three-judge panel, but Garland voted to reconsider the ruling. He was joined by Judge David Tatel, known for his anti-gun bias.

In 2000, the National Rifle Association challenged egregious and illegal expansions of the Brady Bill in a lawsuit against then-Attorney General Janet Reno, in *NRA v. Reno*. The NRA challenged a Justice Department regulation that provided for a temporary gun registry to be generated during background checks — just exactly the type of registration Congress explicitly previously prohibited. In finding for the Justice Department and against the NRA (and, by extension, every legal gun owner in the country), Judges Tatel and Garland wrote:

Finding nothing in the Brady Act that unambiguously prohibits [the] temporary retention of information about lawful [gun] transactions ... we affirm the [lower] court's dismissal of the complaint [by the NRA].

Garland has all the politically correct credentials to be Obama's judge of choice to take Scalia's seat. Graduating from Harvard College and then Harvard Law School, Garland soon found himself clerking for Supreme Court Justice William Brennan, known not only for his outspoken progressive views but also as the leader of the Supreme Court's liberal wing during his 34 years on the nation's highest bench.

That's why the NRA has sent an alert to its five million members:

With Justice Scalia's tragic passing, there is no longer a majority of support among the justices for the fundamental, individual right to own a firearm for self-defense.

Four justices believe law-abiding Americans have that right — and four justices do not....

A basic analysis of Merrick Garland's judicial record shows that he does not respect our ... right to keep and bear arms.

The English jurist William Blackstone was responsible for informing the Republic's founders John Marshall, James Wilson, John Jay, and John Adams about the need for individual citizens to enjoy the



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on March 18, 2016

right to self-defense in his *Commentaries on the Laws of England*, first published in 1765 and then published six more times before his death in 1780. Wrote Blackstone:

[The right of self-defense] may be considered as the true palladium of liberty....

[It] is the first law of nature: in most governments it has been the study of rules to confine this right within the narrowest limits possible. Wherever standing armies are kept up, and the right of the people to keep and bear arms is, under any color or pretext whatsoever, prohibited, liberty, if not already annihilated, is on the brink of destruction.

More simply, as the bumper sticker puts it: "The Second Amendment Insures all the Others." It should, in fact, be the singular most important litmus test of any judge's appointment to a higher court, for if the Second Amendment is abrogated, invaded, neutralized, and eventually discarded, tyranny will be the end result. Recent court decisions affirming the right of citizens to keep and bear arms (*District of Columbia v. Heller*; *McDonald v. City of Chicago*; both decided by the slimmest of margins, 5-4) will be at risk if Garland takes Scalia's seat.

In her review of Garland's voting record, Carrie Severino, writing in National Review Online, concluded:

Garland thought all of these regulations were legal, which tells us two things. First, it tells us that he has a very liberal [i.e., progressive, anti-gun] view of gun rights since he apparently wanted to undo a key court victory protecting them.

Second, it tells us that he's willing to uphold executive actions that violate the rights of gun owners.

A graduate of an Ivy League school and a former investment advisor, Bob is a regular contributor to The New American magazine and blogs frequently at [LightFromTheRight.com](#), primarily on economics and politics. He can be reached at badelman@thenewamerican.com.

Related article:

[Obama's Supreme Court Pick: Is a "Moderate" Really the Man for the Job?](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.