



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on October 26, 2016

Clinton “Fixer”: I Spiked Damaging Stories for Sexually Depraved, Morally Corrupt Hillary

Clinton wins “by a landslide” — in the  corruption department. So says columnist, *New York Times* bestselling author, and admitted Clinton “bagman” Jeff Rovin. Initially presenting his story anonymously in the *National Enquirer*, Rovin subsequently revealed his identity in a Monday [interview](#) with Fox News’ Sean Hannity. Among his striking claims: The Clintons have an “open marriage,” Hillary has had affairs with both men and women, and he paid off reporters to keep the copious Clinton dirt hush-hush. And these allegations are backed up by 24-years’ worth of documentation.

Rovin’s appearance on Hannity (video below) adds further weight to his claims, as people often associate the *Enquirer* with fanciful tales. Yet as Hannity himself pointed out, that paper has at times broken major stories, catching the rest of the media napping. Hannity cited scoops concerning O.J. Simpson, John Edwards’ mistress, Gary Hart, Jesse Jackson’s out-of-wedlock child, Tiger Woods’ marriage woes, and others. In fact, Rovin said that such *Enquirer* stories are, ironically, “probably better vetted than most of the stories in other media” because they’re “so controversial.”

Of course, the *Enquirer*’s scoops almost invariably involve salacious matters, and the Clinton story is no exception. Yet most significant are Rovin’s disclosures about media suppression of truth — and efforts to destroy people such as Monica Lewinsky.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qlvrw-clFsU>

Rovin explains that as a Hollywood reporter in the ’80s and ’90s, his close relationship with the Tinseltown power set and press allowed him to become, as he put it, “‘a fixer’: someone who helps stars keep embarrassing stories out of the press.” Rovin did his job so well that in 1991 he was asked to work for a rising political couple who, together, were an embarrassing-story-disgorging machine: Arkansas governor William Jefferson Clinton and his wife, Hillary Rodham. As he [wrote](#):

I was informed that these stories would involve rumors of [Bill Clinton](#)’s many sexual dalliances and an alleged ongoing affair of [Hillary Clinton](#) with a male member of her law firm, Vince Foster, as well as a female mover-and-shaker in Hollywood.

For a retainer of \$4,000 a month — paid by a third party, not the campaign — I was told to keep these stories hush-hush in one of two ways: by trading access to the Clintons for “positive” interviews, or by paying the reporters.

The payments were always cash, usually delivered in a movie theater or restaurant on Sunset Boulevard, and came in two denominations: \$100 for a heads-up that a bad story was coming; or considerably more to kill the piece.



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on October 26, 2016

Rovin's claims align perfectly with the recent WikiLeaks revelations about direct collusion between the corrupt mainstream media and Clinton campaign; among the examples are a *New York Times* reporter [giving](#) the campaign veto power over quotations, a CNBC reporter [advising](#) the campaign, and CNN commentator Donna Brazile [forwarding](#) Clinton a debate question *prior* to a March face-off against Bernie Sanders (yes, Sandernistas, the fix was really in).

Yet bribery wasn't the only method for getting stories spiked; deception was another. As Rovin told Hannity, reporters "were paid to soften the stories.... What would happen is, if we got wind of a story from the tabloids, chances were pretty good it would end up in one of the mainstream newspapers or magazines. We would then contact one of those people and say, 'This isn't true, don't run it.'"

And this apparently does ring true to Hannity, who spoke of the evidence he saw, saying to Rovin, "I went through with your editor everything that you had. You do have ledgers; you did have the faxes with the letterhead and the timestamps. The Clintons know you.... They know you fixed things for them."

And Rovin says this fixing became a full-time job, as the Clintons committed continual sexual indiscretions in what he described as a "polyamorous" and "open" marriage — which matches the "open borders" Hillary said, in an e-mail, that she wanted.

Rovin appears to believe the Clintons' sexual depravity was so all-consuming that it distracted Bill from the business of running the country. He cites as the worst example of judgment the bachelor party in March 1994 for Clinton's half-brother, Roger Clinton, who, in quintessential Clinton style, was marrying a bride eight-months-pregnant. Prostitutes were present, and recordings were made — they included Bill Clinton.

None of this will surprise those who know of Bill's [having taken](#) 26 flights on billionaire pedophile Jeffrey Epstein's "Lolita Express," but the recording was a problem: It was offered for sale to the *Enquirer*, says Rovin. This is when he says he swooped in and negotiated a deal to keep the recording suppressed. (Note: This would be a national-security concern, too. A foreign power that obtained such a recording conceivably could use it to blackmail the president.)

Also in 1994, Rovin "arranged a meeting for Hillary and a woman in an exclusive Beverly Hills hotel," he [said](#). "I helped her slip out of a back exit for a one-on-one session with the other woman." The journalist described this encounter as "sordid."

Rovin also reveals that he was part of a "team effort" to damage Monica Lewinsky; in fact, Rovin later felt so bad about this smear campaign that he apologized to Lewinsky personally. In addition, the journalist "told Hannity he was tasked with distracting the media while Hillary's crew rummaged through [Vince] Foster's office ... to snatch documents related to the Whitewater scandal," as WND.com [put it](#).

As to Rovin's motivations for finally coming forward, he mentioned two significant factors. He told Hannity that when he learned that *Enquirer* editor Dylan Howard was doing the Clinton story and was naming sources he wanted kept confidential, he agreed to participate under the condition he could protect those sources. Second, he wrote in the *Enquirer* piece, "I am coming forward now because of the endless attention the alleged indiscretions of [Donald Trump](#) have received. Nothing I have heard comes close to the sexual and moral corruption of the Clintons — many of which have [sic] yet to be revealed."

Having said this, Rovin was dismayed at the campaign emphasis on dirt, saying to Hannity, "The



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on October 26, 2016

election is too important to focus on this salacious material.” Identifying as a libertarian, the journalist expressed the common idea that politicians’ sexual indiscretions are none of anyone’s business and should be beyond scrutiny. And while many issues are more important — such as Clinton’s internationalist, open-borders dreams; amnesty plans; warmongering stance vis-à-vis Russia; and radical social agenda — that common idea is also a mistaken idea.

Question: Is there any sexual indiscretion a politician could commit that would bring his psychological fitness into question? What if a person habitually engages in bestiality? Would you want such an individual managing your finances or babysitting your child? If not, why not, if “private” sexual behavior has no bearing on whether the person can “do the job”?

If so, however, then would you want such a person’s finger on the nuclear button?

Now, what kind of sexual depravity is not a red flag?

During the Bill Clinton years, “Character doesn’t matter” became a meme used to justify Clinton corruption. But would you want to be pulled over by a cop with bad character or have your car repaired by a mechanic with same? Character is integral to *everything we do*.

The Bible speaks of “eyes blinded by sin,” “For the eye altering alters all,” wrote poet William Blake. Habitually engaging in wrongdoing and (as man will do) rationalizing it away — which is when we deny reality — causes us to lose touch with reality. Twisting the truth in our own minds twists our minds; conning ourselves corrupts our judgment. The eye altering ...

Hillary Clinton is poised to continue the “fundamental change” Barack Obama infamously promised. But do we really want someone in an altered state altering these United States?



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.