



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on May 23, 2026

A Party About Nothing: The Democrats' 2026 No-platform Campaign

In Season 4 of famed sitcom *Seinfeld*, main characters Jerry and George were seen pitching a sitcom to NBC, with George's only selling point being that it's a "show about nothing." Many fans ever since have called it that, too. Well, now we have a "political party about nothing": the Democrats.

Far from funny, though, this act should scare you to death. Because as history professor and commentator Victor Davis Hanson essentially stated Friday, there's a reason Democrats aren't marketing their agenda. Owing to its destructiveness, it has become as unspeakable as [Voldemort](#).



cbies/iStock/Getty Images Plus

To analogize Hanson's point, imagine you have your sick child at the doctor's office. The physician, however, never utters a word about your kid's problems or how he may be of help. He instead just rails against the doctor 10 miles away, hurling invective and implying that only stupid, evil people would become his patients and keep him in business.

Would such a "bedside manner" inspire confidence?

Beginning with a discussion of Los Angeles' mayoral race and California's gubernatorial contest, Hanson [said](#) that

if you watch the [LA mayoral] debates between Spencer Pratt, Karen Bass, and Councilman [Nithya] Raman, none of them run on their records as incumbents. Karen Bass does not say, "I solved the homeless problem, and here's how I did it." She's not saying, "I've stopped crime, and here's how I did it. I have solved and rebuilt Pacific Palisades after the fire, and here's how I did it. And here's my new fire prevention plan. Here's my new water plan, so we don't have empty ... " None of it.

And when you see Councilman Raman, she doesn't either. ... [All] it is, is personal invective against Spencer Pratt.

When you look at the governor's race in California, you have two Republicans in this jungle primary: Chad Bianco, the sheriff from Southern California, and Steve Hilton, Northern California entrepreneur[,] who's running. They're both conservative, but they're up against a whole array of Democratic candidates.

So, if you look at what Xavier Becerra says, Kathleen Porter says, Tom Steyer says, there's no agenda. They don't say, "I want to continue Gavin Newsom's program. High-speed rail has been a great success. ... I want to finish it, and I can come up with the \$250 billion to do it. We should be proud that we have the most illegal aliens in the country. Gavin Newsom allotted \$500 million to illegal alien medical care. I'd like to boost that and improve on it.



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on May 23, 2026

We have a very sophisticated penal system. I know crime is high, but when you treat criminals ... in a humane way, crime goes down.

“So, when Governor Newsom ... allowed iPads for people in prisons to use, and maybe some of them abused them a little bit, that’s a good idea and I’ll build on it. And we have ... half the homeless people in the country because they like our weather and we’re a humane people. We’ve got to improve on that. We have the highest gas prices, but that means less pollution. And we have the highest taxes, that’s share the wealth.

Hanson states that this mum’s-the-word standard is universal among Democratic congressional candidates. In fact, perhaps this partially explains why the democratic *socialists* now inspire all the passion. Say what you will about, for example, New York City mayor Zohran Mamdani, but he was campaigning on issues. He promised free buses, frozen rent, and city-run grocery stores, to name a few.

It’s Everywhere

Hanson also mentions that the Democrats just had a president in office, Joe Biden, whose record could be touted. (Yet he’s as unmentionable as Voldemort, too.) Democrats could, for instance, Hanson notes:

- boast about the Afghanistan withdrawal.
- emphasize that Immigration and Customs Enforcement and a border are unnecessary. Sure, Biden let in 10,000 illegals daily and eight million over four years. But the few criminals who entered constituted a small price to pay for flexing our humanitarianism. So we’ll demolish the border wall and deliver Biden 2.0.
- say that they prohibited cash bail and pushed slap-on-the-wrist justice for good reason — society creates criminals. They’re blameless.
- admit that they want to again go all in on diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI; i.e., Affirmative Action 2.0). We must balance the scales in a land founded by white males.
- say it’s OK to forget about the fraud in Minnesota, California, Chicago, and elsewhere because many of those committing it were non-white. They’re entitled — and opposing it is racism.
- and ask, DEI aside, what’s wrong with seizing money from the well off and giving it to Mr. Ripoff. He’s just getting his piece of the pie by gaming the system. It’s not as if he’s Elon Musk.

But, no, the Democrats don’t say any of these things, notes Hanson. All they do is hurl names amid *ad hominem*s. President Donald Trump is a Nazi, fascist, Epstein-involved, pedophilic terrorist. They do this, again, because they won’t embrace an Americanist agenda — and they can’t run on their blood-curdling anti-Americanist one.

The End Game

Moreover, should the Democrats seize power, warns Hanson, they [have a plan to never lose it again](#). Here are ideas they’ve floated (see [James Carville, et al.](#)):

- Pack the Supreme Court, nine-justice-strong since 1869, with 15, so it will rubber-stamp any hard-left agenda.
- Eliminate the Electoral College via the National Popular Vote Interstate Compact.
- Make Puerto Rico and Washington, D.C., states, guaranteeing four more radical-left senators.
- Eliminate the age-old Senate filibuster.

Another part of the agenda is to take California-level vote fraud national. As to this, an apropos survey



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on May 23, 2026

was just released by the Napolitan Institute/RMG Research. It found that only seven percent “of voters would actively support their preferred party cheating to win an election,” reports Just the News. Despite this, the site [continues](#):

support for outright cheating in elections rose dramatically among a group of voters that Napolitan identified as the “[Elite 1%](#).” Of that group, 73% identified as Democrats, 67% were aged 35-54, 86% were white, and 47% embraced “[Bernie] Sanders-like policies.”

Among that [bloc], 35% expressed support for cheating to win elections. But the survey broke it down further to address “politically active elites,” 69% of whom said they would support their side cheating to win an election.

“These attitudes reveal an elitist revolt against the nation’s founding principles,” pollster Scott Rasmussen wrote of the results in a [USA Today column](#). “A growing faction within America’s leadership class increasingly believes it is better suited to rule than the public itself.”

Given this, you can judge if my opening paragraph was fair. Are the Democrats really a “political party about nothing”?

Or are they about nothing but pure, unbridled, wild-eyed power lust?



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.