



# MRC Report: Google Has Interfered With Every Election Since 2008

Google, the most popular and powerful internet search engine, has interfered in presidential elections 41 times since 2008, the Media Research Center (MRC) declared in a report published today.

MRC assembled reports going back 14 years and — not surprisingly — found that Democrats were the beneficiaries of a highly organized campaign of election meddling.

Google uses its “‘great strength and resources and reach’ to advance its leftist values,” the report said, beginning with the first campaign of Barack Hussein Obama and continuing through the election of Joe Biden.



Anthony Quintano/Wikimedia Commons

And the tech behemoth is attempting to rig the election for Joe Biden in November.

## 2008 and 2012

In 2008, Google picked Obama over Clinton, [MRC reported](#):

Anti-Obama bloggers who wrote using Google’s blogging platform woke up to find their websites labeled as spam, according to journalist Simon Owens[,] who reportedly interviewed several of those censored. Owens wrote that “nearly all of [the censored bloggers] had three things in common: Most were pro-Hillary Clinton blogs, all were anti-Barack Obama, and several were listed on justsaynodeal.com, an anti-Obama website.”

Google offered the usual “Who us?” excuse, after which its chairman, Eric Schmidt, endorsed the man with a suspicious birth certificate in the primaries and general election. After that, the “the intimate and incestuous ... ‘Goobama’ alliance” was born.

“Google ... established itself as part of the administration almost immediately,” the report continued. “The company’s executives and employees joined Obama as White House advisors and political appointees.”

Google staffers were at the White House 427 times between January 2009 and October 2015, and Obama attended 21 of those meetings, MRC reported, citing *The Intercept*.

As well, *The Intercept* tabulated “55 cases of individuals moving from positions at Google into the federal government, and 197 individuals moving from positions inside the government to jobs at Google.”

As for 2012, aside from permitting a homosexual “sex columnist” to create a “Google bomb” that would



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on March 18, 2024

---

return a salacious definition of GOP candidate Rick Santorum, “Schmidt was intimately involved in building Obama’s voter-targeting operation in 2012, recruiting digital talent, choosing technology and coaching campaign manager Jim Messina on campaign infrastructure,’ Tech Transparency Project reported, noting that Schmidt was at Obama’s campaign headquarters on election night.”

## **2016 and 2018**

Of course, Google was in the tank for Hillary Clinton in 2016. The search engine hid search results for “Clinton’s crimes and potential indictment” by rigging autofill suggestions:

“When [SourceFed] researchers typed “Hilary Clinton cri,” the Google Search engine suggested “Hillary Clinton crime reform,” “Hillary Clinton crisis” and “Hillary Clinton Crime Bill 1994.” ...

SourceFed found similar results for the terms “Hillary Clinton ind.” When performing that search, Google suggested searches for “Hillary Clinton Indiana” or “Hillary Clinton India.” But when the outlet typed the same half-written search terms into Yahoo! and Bing, each search engine filled in the rest of the prompt with “Hillary Clinton indictment.” The group found that “people were searching for ‘Hillary Clinton indictment’ eight times more often than ‘Hillary Clinton India’” and concluded that the “intention is clear: Google is burying potential searches for terms that could have hurt Hillary Clinton in the primary elections over the past several months by manipulating recommendations on their site.”

Google also deep-sixed results for “crooked hillary.”

Data scientist Robert Epstein confirmed that Google tried to rig the election for Clinton, and estimated that its search results delivered “at least 2.6 million votes to Hillary Clinton, whom I supported,” he told Congress. He saved more than 13,000 election searches and found that “Google’s search results were significantly biased in favor of Secretary Clinton.”

Schmidt again supported the Democrat, not surprisingly, and Google also pushed Hispanics toward Clinton.

As for 2018, Epstein delivered two findings, [MRC reported](#). Citing *The Epoch Times*, Epstein planted some 130 “field agents” in Orange County, California, to record election search results. Said Epstein: “We found significant pro-liberal bias on Google — enough, quite easily, to have flipped all three congressional districts in Orange County from Republican to Democrat.” Epstein showed that Google “presented significantly more liberal search results than its competitors right before election day.”

When PJ Media’s Paula Bolyard experimented with Google in 2018, 96 of 100 results for the search term “Trump” were leftist websites, and “not a single right-leaning site appeared on the first page of search results.”

## **2020**

Google strongly interfered in the 2020 election on behalf of Joe Biden, [MRC found](#).

Top exec Jen Gennai confessed on Project Veritas that Google was determined to prevent Trump’s reelection.

Two days after the news on Twitter that America First Democrat Tulsi Gabbard was the most searched-for candidate, Google suspended her ads account. Google blamed “automated systems.”



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on March 18, 2024

---

As he did in previous elections, Epstein found that “Google results and get-out-the-vote reminders favored Democrats and shifted 2020 election results by at least 6 million votes,” [MRC reported](#):

On Tucker Carlson Tonight, Epstein shared that his team had caught Google displaying flagrant bias more than once. “The bias was shown to pretty much every demographic group we looked at including conservatives,” he said. “So in fact conservatives got slightly more liberal bias in their search results than liberals did.” He also noted that for four days his liberal field agents in swing states reported receiving voting reminders on Google’s homepage but “not one of our conservative field agents received a vote reminder during those days.”

Other examples of election interference include blacklisting conservative websites, delivering biased search results about referenda in California, and marking emails from conservative candidates as “spam.”

### **2022 and 2024**

In 2022, Google perpetrated more of the same. It “direct[ed] users to left-leaning news sites more than right” and “burie[d] GOP campaign sites in top Senate races.”

As for elections, Epstein said, Google stopped the highly-anticipated red wave from sending a strong majority of conservatives into public office. The data scientist reported that Republicans would have gained a majority in the U.S. Senate by as many as eight seats had Google not interfered with search results, and the GOP would have enjoyed a 27-59 seat majority in the U.S. House. And he held Google responsible for the loss of Arizona GOP gubernatorial candidate Kari Lake.

As for 2024, the interference has begun.

The Google-funded Brookings Institution asked questions about Trump and Biden of Google’s Bard (now Gemini) AI. It returned the expected biased answer:

When asked, “What is your assessment of Donald Trump?” the AI chatbot gave a very short answer void of any specific accomplishments and included vague criticism. “Donald Trump is a complex and polarizing figure. He is a businessman, television personality, and politician who has served as the 45th president of the United States since 2017. He is known for his brash personality, his outspokenness, and his controversial policies,” the chatbot reportedly wrote. ... When asked to assess Biden’s presidency, Bard had no problem offering a long review of Biden, complete with ideas on how he can boost his approval rating. The chatbot framed the economic crisis, which has worsened under Biden, and the utter fiasco that was the administration’s withdrawal from Afghanistan as mere “challenges.”

Bard even offered advice on how Biden could improve his abysmal approval ratings.

Google’s Gemini AI is not answering questions that might harm Biden, [MRC reported](#). For instance, it refused to answer the question “Does President Biden have memory problems?”



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.