



Inviting the Apocalypse? Harris-Biden Official Wants to “Queer” Nuclear Weapons

What could possibly go wrong (other than the end of the world)?

Many may assume that the woke, dumb-down-standards mentality is a sideshow, that it would never affect life-or-death matters. But we’ve already seen corruptive DEI applied to [law enforcement](#), [air traffic controllers](#), [pilots](#), and [medical care](#). Now there’s a desire to apply it to what may be our *most* sensitive area: America’s nuclear deterrent. The story?

A Department of Energy (DOE) official wants to “queer” our nuclear weapons.



Alexyz3d/iStock/Getty Images Plus

Bizarro World

As Fox News [reports](#), proving that cross-dressing, make-up-wearing, luggage-stealing [Sam Brinton](#) is not a one-off at the DOE, a

recent hire at the nuclear security wing of the Department of Energy has previously called for disarmament policies — which reduce or eliminate nuclear weapons — arguing that advancing “queer theory” was essential to that agenda as well as important to America’s national security.

The Biden-Harris administration announced Sneha Nair had been appointed as special assistant at the National Nuclear Security Administration in February 2024. Nair believes in eradicating purported “White supremacy” in the nuclear field as well as “queering nuclear weapons” as part of a diversity, equity and inclusion push she believes is essential for deterring threats to nuclear energy facilities in the U.S.

“Finally, queer theory informs the struggle for nuclear justice and disarmament,” she wrote last year. “Queer theory helps to shift the perception of nuclear weapons as instruments for security by telling the hidden stories of displacement, illness, and trauma caused by their production and testing.”

Yeah, that’ll scare the Russians and Chinese.

While this is bizarre, not anomalous about Nair (given what she is) is her background. As Fox also informs, “Before she joined the administration, she worked for the Stimson Institute, which has received hundreds of thousands from Soros’ Open Society Foundations and millions from ‘The Embassy of the State of Qatar’ over the years.”

DIE With DEI?

Nair explicitly advocates Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) schemes, as [a 2023 essay](#) she penned



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on August 21, 2024

evidences. Turning reality on its head, she claims DEI has “critical implications for strengthening security at nuclear facilities.” In fact, she even asserts that it reduces the likelihood “insider threats” will emerge.

What, though, of this “‘queering’ nuclear weapons” business? No, it’s “not that she wants to have sick sex with them,” states commentator Andrea Widburg; “it’s that she wants to destroy America’s nuclear arsenal capabilities.”

Widburg cites authors James Lindsay and Logan Lancing, who explain that the term “queer” relates to far more than homosexuality. She then relates the following passage, from [a book](#) the two men co-wrote:

Queer Theory is a radical ideology that uses activism (*queering*) to convince people that nothing is normal or natural. It specializes in convincing people that sex, gender, and sexuality are social (political) constructs — fabrications invented and sold as “the truth” by dominant classes — but those categories are not limiting factors. Queer Theory’s activity is to *deconstruct* the very concept of normalcy.

...Queer Theory broadly argues that society is a prison constructed by dominant classes who artificially label some things as “normal,” “legitimate,” or “true” for their own benefit.
[Emphasis in original.]

The upshot? “Everything normal, accepted, and traditional, no matter how useful it’s been in creating the most prosperous, liberty-based culture in the history of humankind, must be overthrown,” Widburg informs.

So with sexuality, “queer” relates to the destruction of the heterosexual norm, of course. With history, it may advocate that revisionism supplant historicity. With economics, it may advocate that market determinations be subordinated to top-down control, etc.

Nixing Nukes?

Then there’s atomic asininity. Nair thinks it’s awful that we exclude people with “foreign ties” from our nuclear energy program, relates Widburg. She then concludes:

The gist of Nair’s argument is that white people, especially men, need to be marginalized in the nuclear energy program because their viewpoints make it impossible to understand how power operates and who the real threats are to America (hint: those white men are the real threats). The American nuclear program needs to abandon the concepts of merit and loyalty to America in favor of promoting people of color, minorities, and women, all of whom have a more nuanced and appropriate understanding of nuclear power. Most importantly, they are willing to reject the idea that having a bigger arsenal deters America’s enemies.

This is somewhat reminiscent of the Left’s 1970s [unilateral disarmament movement](#), though that effort was more honest about its goals. Moreover, the “queering” social engineers aim to completely remake civilization.

As to this, however, it’s worth noting that “white men” created Western civilization in the first place. Our Founders were all white men, as was virtually everyone who birthed our most fundamental institutions. Of course, though, it follows that if you hate Western civilization and those institutions,



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on August 21, 2024

you'll hate white men.

The Power Principle

What's more, you attack those whose power you want. This "queering" — just another name for an anti-civilization movement — is actually a communist-*like* revolutionary effort. That is, you demoralize the people, destabilize the existing order, and bring matters to "crisis." Then comes "normalization": You remake society in your own image.

This gets at why the dismissing of civilization's norms is a lie. Whether or not there's *normalcy* in an objective sense (there is), societies will always have *norms*. They will ever have customs and traditions — just as they'll always have governmental laws, they'll have social laws. It's just a matter of *who will devise them*.

So activists (read: agitators) may proclaim, "These are all just social constructs imposed by the dominant class! They must be overthrown!" But what they're really saying is, "We want to overthrow *you* — so *we* can become the dominant class and impose our own constructs."

The moral: Skepticism about "values" is usually expressed by those who hate virtues — and would impose vices.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.