



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on September 14, 2021

On Vaccines: Is Gov Guilty of War Crimes, Namely, Medical Experimentation and Collective Punishment?

It's not Dr. Josef Mengele experimenting on twins or WWII-era Japanese scientists vivisectioning people without anesthesia. But one writer contends that our government's attempt to foist experimental "vaccines" on the people is only different in degree, not kind. In fact, J.B. Shurk explicitly states that by making COVID-19 genetic-therapy agents (GTAs, a.k.a. vaccines) mandatory under threat of career destruction and ostracism, the Joe Biden administration is guilty of war crimes.



AP Images

Writing at American Thinker, Shurk [begins](#) by quoting Biden stating, "We are going to protect the vaccinated workers from unvaccinated coworkers." That's a switch. Just over a month ago, CDC head [Rochelle Walensky](#) and other leftists insisted that even vaccinated people should mask up to *protect the unvaccinated*. In other words, the government claimed the unvaccinated were imperiled, *not the vaccinated*.

Has the virus since then decided to direct its wrath at those who'd dare "vaccinate" against it?

Shurk writes that whatever "the friendly U.S. government is injecting into people, it's certainly not inoculating against or inhibiting transmission of the [Fauci Virus](#) if the 'vaccinated' must walk around in bubble-boy suits for the rest of their lives." The message, he states, is that you just need to keep taking more shots — Dr. Uncle Sam will tell you when you've had enough.

Yet is "there some unwritten rule that we must endure fake vaccinations during fake presidencies?" Shurk then asks, after pointing out that our science/state marriage has helped create a situation where a "vaccine" is now defined as a drug that may or may not protect you against disease. You must, however, take it, anyway. Why? Because the government says so.

In fact, Revelation 13:17 predicted that a time will come when "no one will be able to buy or to sell, except he who has the mark"; now it's essentially, no one will be able to buy or to sell, except he who has the shot.

To be precise, proposed is the idea that people should suffer career loss and social ostracism if they refuse the GTAs. Not only is this based on no good science whatsoever — the most "vaccinated" nations also [tend to have](#) the highest coronavirus infection rates — but Shurk, again, labels it a war crime.

Making his case, he cites the [1947 Nuremberg Code's](#) "first stated principle outlining the bare minimum required of medical professionals 'to satisfy moral, ethical, and legal' duties." To wit:



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on September 14, 2021

The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of **force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion**; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision [emphasis mine].

Shurk then asks about the above's highlighted segment: "Does this set off any alarm bells for ethicists concerned about not following in the footsteps of Nazi medical science or treating civilians as guinea pigs for experimental research?"

"Is it possible that Herr Biden's angry threats against healthy citizens for not partaking in his medical research might amount to 'duress' or 'coercion'?" he continues. "Let's see — jab this in your arm, or we will fire you, render you unemployable, threaten the financial survival of you and your family, and maybe leave you destitute and homeless."

Shurk points out that Food and Drug Administration regulations also prohibit human experimentation. Going further, the writer then cites how the [Fourth Geneva Convention](#) labels collective punishment a war crime, stating, "Collective penalties and likewise all measures of intimidation or of terrorism are prohibited." "The 1977 Additional Protocols to the Geneva Conventions ensure that real or threatened collective punishments are crimes against humanity 'at any time and in any place whatsoever,'" Shurk adds.

Despite this, the Biden administration "has targeted unvaccinated citizens without any regard as to whether they might individually have natural immunity or have gained immunity from having already been infected with the Fauci Virus," Shurk also points out. "Instead, D.F.'s vaccine mandates are structured to punish an entire class of Americans who have the temerity to believe that adults should be able to make personal decisions about their own health."

"Class" is the word, too. Consider the following September 10 *Newsweek* [headline](#): "Members of Congress and Their Staff Are Exempt From Biden's Vaccine Mandate." Seriously?

How much more criminal does the mandate seem when the leaders exempt themselves from the experimental GTAs that "are necessary to keep us all safe!"?

Then there's how, last month, House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif.) was at a large fundraiser at a densely packed table, and everyone was unmasked (video below. Relevant portion begins at 1:38) — everyone, that is, except for the "little brown people" serving them, as pundit Tucker Carlson put it. How's that for class distinction?

Note that Pelosi foists masks on others, but, despite being in a high-risk category at 81 years old, didn't seem too concerned about The Virus™. Curious, huh?

Lastly note the evolution on GTAs. First the Democrats were highly skeptical of any vaccine whose development Donald Trump facilitated (example below. Relevant portion begins at 1:30).

Then they transitioned to saying getting jabbed was a moral imperative, but that there wouldn't be GTA mandates and that they lacked the constitutional authority to impose such federally (example below).

Now the shape-shifting has gone 180 degrees, with federal GTA compulsion (video below).



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on September 14, 2021

Continuing the war crime theme, Shurk concludes by writing, “Maybe one day when all the woke fascism around us is finally repudiated, we can convene a special tribunal to sort through this whole mess, provide due process to all the accused, and then *vaccinate* the lot of them.” This would be a great idea if that vaccine would protect against what truly ails us: mind-altering, morality-mutilating, liberty-squelching leftism.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

[Subscribe](#)