



Egg on Face Over Fake Joe Rogan Story, But Media Continue Jihad Against Ivermectin

The Fake News industrial complex went into overdrive last week sneering and guffawing at podcast star Joe Rogan for announcing that he had successfully treated his COVID-19 infection with a cocktail of vitamins and drugs that included ivermectin, which pundits deride as a "horsedewormer."

CNN, Newsweek, Huffington Post, MSNBC, Rolling Stone, Yahoo!, MSN, Politico, The Guardian, and the rest of the left-wing media herd rushed to denounce-Rogan as an "idiot" (and worse). Newsweek ran with this headline: "'Joe Rogan is an Idiot': Podcast Star's Use of Ivermectin to Treat COVID Condemned."



RapidEye/iStock/Getty Images Plus

A headline for the ultra-left-wing organization Media Matters warned that "Joe Rogan has recently promoted dangerous COVID-19 misinformation on his Spotify podcast."

ESPN's Stephen A. Miller, sitting in for ABC comedian Jimmy Kimmel, read an official tweet from the Food and Drug Administration aimed at ivermectin users, which stated: "You are not a horse. You are not a cow. Seriously, y'all. Stop it."

"This is a real tweet from the FDA," Smith told the Jimmy Kimmel Live audience. "I gotta admit this: They are absolutely right. You are not a horse. You are not a cow. You're a jackass, though, make no mistake about that."

However, it wasn't enough merely to satirize Joe Rogan and others — including renowned physicians and scientists — who are using ivermectin to treat COVID-19. The media fearmongers had to ratchet up public anxiety levels with bogus stories about ivermectin poison cases flooding hospitals with overdose patients, to the point that a physician in Oklahoma reportedly claimed there was not even room in the hospital ERs for gunshot victims!

Rachel Maddow at MSNBC tweeted to her LGBTQ+++ audience: "Patients overdosing on ivermectin backing up rural Oklahoma hospitals, ambulances"

As we <u>reported</u> on September 5, the widely repeated Oklahoma hospital overflow story turned out to be bunk. It was a pile of horse-pucky hype and fabrication aimed at demonizing ivermectin, the doctors who prescribe it, and the people who use it.

CNN Fact-checker Admits Fault (Sort of)

On September 7, CNN tried to recover a modicum of credibility with a commentary by its "Facts First" reporter Daniel Dale titled "Fact-checking the misinformation about Oklahoma hospitals and Ivermectin."



Written by William F. Jasper on September 10, 2021



"A story went viral last week about Oklahoma hospitals and ivermectin, an anti-parasitic drug some Americans are taking to treat Covid-19 even though it is not approved or proven for that purpose," Dale said.

"It was a poor piece of journalism — inadequate in its reporting, inaccurate in its depiction of what was happening in Oklahoma," he admitted. "The story, which was first published by a local news outlet, baselessly suggested that overdoses among people taking ivermectin to fight Covid-19 were a primary factor in filling up hospitals in the state."

Continuing, Dale noted, "There was no evidence for this — and the story didn't even demonstrate that its one and only named source had claimed it was true. But the flawed story was widely shared anyway, aggregated by big media outlets and amplified on social media by liberals eager to demonstrate that right-wingers had gone off the deep end in their growing fondness for ivermectin."

Yes, there are indeed lots of media lefties "eager to demonstrate that right-wingers had gone off the deep end" over ivermectin. Nothing much new there — except that the public embarrassment over being called out on an obviously fake news story had forced a tepid walk-back by CNN. However, it was no full-throated *mea culpa*. In fact, Dale took the opportunity to segue from lightly chastising his fellow media leftists to attacking "conservative Twitter users who called the Oklahoma physician, one Dr. McElyea, a liar over the quotes attributed to him about ivermectin being responsible for a hospital overload crisis. "Some of McElyea's critics even left terrible reviews for him on medical websites," he alleged. Dale apparently wants us to believe that there is an equivalency between a few anonymous individuals tweeting insults at a doctor and the super-spreading of disinformation by a whole passel of major news organizations with huge budgets and full-time staffs of reporters and fact checkers.

Jihad Against Ivermectin Rages On

Of course, no one actually expects the media herd to undergo serious self-reflection and reform after being exposed like this. Did they repent and reform after the exposure of their "Trump-Russia collusion" hoax, their <u>Jussie Smollet fake hate-crime charade</u>, their <u>lynching of Nick Sandmann</u> and the pro-life Covington Catholic High School boys? We know the answer to that. They're still covered in doodoo from these and countless other massive deceptions, but they obstinately refuse to clean up.

Although some media outlets have taken down their earlier stories featuring Dr. McElyea and the bogus reports about ivermectin overdoses overwhelming Oklahoma hospitals, many others have left their stories up on the Internet thoroughfare to continue spreading fear and disinformation.

Rachel Maddow refuses to take down her ivermectin disinfo tweet. No surprise there.

Some outlets have made "corrections" — but, as usual, they are tiny notes tucked away where no one is likely to see them, in stark contrast to the disinformation in the sensational headline stories that they supposedly correct.

Case in point: An Associate Press story of August 23 (just prior to the spate of Joe Rogan stories) claimed that 70 percent of recent calls to the Mississippi Poison Control Center were from people who had ingested ivermectin to try to treat COVID-19. Actually, it was two percent, not 70 percent, AP admitted (with no apology or explanation) in a barely noticeable "correction" two days later. Hmm, 70 percent vs. two percent — not a small error, right? Of course the original AP story — which was picked up by many other "news" organizations — would have lost virtually all of its fright value if AP had correctly used the two-percent figure. And even that would have melted into a nothingburger if the two percent had been placed in context. Two percent of how many calls? 100? 200? 300? The story doesn't



Written by William F. Jasper on September 10, 2021



say. But even if the total number of "recent" calls were 500, that would mean calls regarding ivermectin would only account for 10 calls. Not exactly earthshaking, headline "news." Moreover, those are merely "calls," not necessarily actual poison cases. Jimmy Jones or Sally Smith may have been taking ivermectin with no problems, but simply may have gotten frightened by the media onslaught and called Poison Control out of unfounded panic to find out if they were in any danger.

SFGate, the online version of the *San Francisco Chronicle*, was one of the media outfits that pumped the erroneous AP story. On August 25, it ran AP's "correction" as a nine-line, hide-the-admission story titled "Correction: Virus Outbreak-Mississippi story."

How many of SFGate's readers who saw the original AP fright-peddling feature also saw the "correction"? Seventy percent? Two percent? Probably more like .02 percent. Thus are the media lies perpetuated.

Did social-media giants Twitter, YouTube, Google, or Facebook ban, deplatform, demonetize, or even ding AP for its COVID disinformation superspreader on ivermectin? Nope. AP is up and running on all of the above platforms, even though the TwitYouGoogFace censors are quick to drop the hammer on anyone who whispers a syllable of heresy that runs contrary to the COVID gospel of Saint Fauci.

In fact, AP's egregious error (if *error* it was, and not outright lying) doesn't seem to have affected even one whit the new collaborative relationship with AP that Twitter revealed just three weeks before AP's "Mississippi Poison" booboo.

"We're excited to share," Twitter <u>announced</u> on August 2, "that Twitter is collaborating with The Associated Press (AP) and Reuters to expand our efforts to identify and elevate credible information on Twitter."

The same announcement provides a link to Twitter's <u>"COVID-19 misleading information policy,"</u> which states: "You may not use Twitter's services to share false or misleading information about COVID-19 which may lead to harm." It states further that "Content that is demonstrably false or misleading and may lead to significant risk of harm (such as increased exposure to the virus, or adverse effects on public health systems) may not be shared on Twitter."

Have SFGate or any of the many other outfits that ran with the false and misleading AP story suffered any repercussions from the repressive TwitYouGoogFace censors. Of course not.

Censoring Nobel Prize Winner and Ivermectin "Wonder Drug"

However, the censors at Google-owned YouTube have not hesitated to <u>censor world-renowned scientist Professor Satoshi Omura</u> for suggesting that ivermectin may be helpful in treating COVID patients. Who is Dr. Satoshi Omura? In addition to being a highly published biochemist/medical researcher/author, he is a winner of the Nobel Prize for his role in developing ivermectin.

Until COVID and the onset of Fauci madness, ivermectin was touted as a "wonder drug" that has saved millions of people — and many millions more animals — from various diseases. Indeed, Satoshi Omura and William C. Campbell were awarded a Nobel Prize in 2015 for their collaborative work in developing this medicine that has been safely prescribed for over 3.5 billion people over the past several decades. Yes, ivermectin is also very effective for treatment of pets and livestock for a variety of parasitic ailments, but it was primarily developed for humans, and that's what Omura and Campbell received the Nobel Prize for.

Their official Nobel Prize citation from the Nobel Assembly states:



Written by William F. Jasper on September 10, 2021



Ivermectin is highly effective against a range of parasites, has limited side effects and is freely available across the globe. The importance of Ivermectin for improving the health and wellbeing of millions of individuals with River Blindness and Lymphatic Filariasis, primarily in the poorest regions of the world, is immeasurable. Treatment is so successful that these diseases are on the verge of eradication, which would be a major feat in the medical history of humankind.

CDC and WHO Promoted Ivermectin

The Fake News media are carrying out a coordinated propaganda campaign to deride ivermectin as a "horse de-wormer" and a dangerous drug that is poisoning "vaccine hesitant," self-medicating "idiots."

However, the CDC and the UN's World Health Organization (WHO) seem to be double-minded when it comes to ivermectin. The CDC's own webpage on "Overseas Refugee Health Guidance" recommends ivermectin for all refugees coming to the United States from the Middle East, Asia, Africa, South America, and the Caribbean. Likewise, WHO recommends ivermectin in its <u>World Health Organization Model List of Essential Medicines</u>.

But does ivermectin work on COVID-19? Dr. Omura is not the only renowned scientist or physician to suggest that it does, and to recommend that it be available to doctors and their patients as an alternative to, or in addition to, the experimental "vaccines" that politicians and medical bureaucrats are attempting to force on the entire population of planet Earth.

Concerned, open-minded people who wish to make critical decisions for themselves — rather than relying on media disinformation and CDC/FDA propaganda — regarding the evidence for ivermectin's efficacy as a therapeutic for COVID, can begin by checking out sources here, <a href=

Related articles and videos:

Rutgers Virus Expert: Fauci, Collins "Untruthful" About Funding Gain-of-function Research. New Docs Disclose More Grants to Wuhan Lab

Stanford Epidemiologist Studies COVID, Finds It's Highly Treatable and RARELY a Deadly Disease Joe Rogan, "Horse Dewormer," and Fake News

New NIAID E-mails Shed Light on Wuhan, Gates, China Role in Outbreak

Covid Lies — The New American





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.