



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on January 24, 2022

## Fauci Risks Children's Lives — by Aiming to Vax Kids Under 5

A German study a while back found that not even one healthy child died of COVID, and Britain just recently dropped its “vaccine” passport requirement along with other coronavirus restrictions. But even though the establishment “pandemic” narrative is beginning to crack around him, Dr. Anthony Fauci apparently plans to die with his “Do the same thing but expect a different result” boots on.

In fact, despite studies showing that “vaccinating” children against the China virus would kill more kids than it would save, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) head is still dead set on jabbing the little ones — this time children under five.



AP Images  
Anthony Fauci

As CNBC [reports](#):

White House chief medical advisor Dr. Anthony Fauci on Wednesday said he hopes the Food and Drug Administration approves Pfizer and BioNTech's vaccine for children under 5 years old in the next month.

“My hope is that it's going to be within the next month or so and not much later than that, but I can't guarantee that,” Fauci said during an interview with Blue Star Families, a nonprofit group that supports military families. “I can't out guess the FDA. I'm going to have to leave that to them.”

Fauci said younger children will likely need three doses, because two shots did not induce an adequate immune response in 2- to 4-year-olds in Pfizer's clinical trials.

Of course, this could ultimately mean continual doses; in fact, signaling this, Centers for Disease Control (CDC) director Rochelle Walensky [just announced](#) that her agency is trading the concept of “vaccinated” for that of “up to date.”

Up to no good, however, is all this amounts to. For the data are in: “The real risk for healthy kids is about zero — it does appear to be lower than the flu,” [said](#) Dr. Robert Malone, a pioneer in the mRNA technology on which the China virus mRNA therapy agents (MTAs, a.k.a. “vaccines”) are based, TrialSite News reported in November.

Moreover, the MTAs “‘force your child's body to make toxic spike proteins’, and these proteins ‘often cause permanent damage in children's critical organs,’” the *Times of India* [quoted Malone as saying](#) just weeks ago.



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on January 24, 2022

“There is no benefit for your children or your family to be vaccinating your children against the small risks of the virus, given the known health risks of the vaccine that as a parent, you and your children may have to live with for the rest of their lives’, he adds,” the paper continued.

Malone is hardly alone in sounding this alarm. Also in November, Steve Kirsch, executive director of the COVID-19 Early Treatment Fund, [cited](#) an analysis finding that jabbing the young would “kill 117 kids to save one child from dying from COVID in the 5 to 11 age range.”

(Note that the focus was on this age range because, at the time, authorities weren’t yet talking about jabbing the under-five demographic.)

Even earlier, last June, medical school professors Joseph Ladapo of UCLA and Harvey Risch of Yale also weighed in. Analyzing the government’s Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) database, they [concluded](#) that “the risks of a COVID-19 vaccine may outweigh the benefits for certain low-risk populations, such as children, young adults and people who have recovered from COVID-19.” Around the same time, the World Health Organization (WHO) [issued a guidance](#) stated that jabbing children was just too risky (this didn’t stop Facebook from [censoring a woman](#) who merely related the WHO’s guidance).

Then there was the aforementioned German study. Released in December, [it found that](#) *not even one* healthy child in Germany aged five through 18 died of COVID-19 during the first 15 months of the pandemic. And there doesn’t appear any information indicating that this can’t be extrapolated to kids under five.

Despite this, CNBC also wrote in the earlier quoted article on Fauci’s Romper Room jab ambitions that children “under 5 are particularly vulnerable right now because they are the only age group that is not currently eligible for vaccination. Hospitalizations of children *with* Covid are rising as the highly contagious omicron variant has rapidly spread through communities across the U.S. over the past month.” (Emphasis added.)

“Nearly 8 out of every 100,000 children under 5 years old were hospitalized *with* Covid as of Jan. 8,” the site later “informed.” (Emphasis added.)

The casual reader may miss that the “with COVID” designation *does not* mean the children were hospitalized *because* of COVID. In fact, even saying “with COVID” is incorrect because “COVID” is the *disease* (the process involving *symptoms*) sometimes caused by the coronavirus. In other words:

If a China virus positive child is asymptomatic, he *by definition does not have COVID*.

What’s more, CNBC ought to have known that talking about children “with COVID” is deceptive because the man it was reporting on, Fauci, *stressed this very point on CNBC’s sister network, MSNBC, just earlier this month* (video below).

So what is the point of deceptively stressing “with COVID” child hospitalizations other than to instill fear and/or push the establishment narrative? CNBC’s reporting is a good example of propaganda masquerading as news. It’s damnable.

Speaking of which, why is Fauci pushing MTAs on children in defiance of data and reason? Some may, using a certain apocryphal old line, be tempted to say to him: “When my information changes, I alter my conclusions. What do you do, sir?” But it’s not that Fauci doesn’t change positions; [he changes them](#) like an on-set model does clothes. Only, his motivation isn’t the data, but perhaps something else.

This possible “something else” was noted by Fox News’ Tucker Carlson Friday, when the commentator



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on January 24, 2022

suggested the doctor was a narcissist and is “truly in love with himself” (striking 97-second video below).

I also have thus theorized — for almost two years. As I wrote in October 2020 in “[The Many Masks of Anthony Fauci](#),” making the point that the doctor’s love of the camera and yearning for attention is troubling: “I believe Fauci may be a narcissist, a man who craves the feeling of being listened to and considered intellectual; he may revel in sounding authoritative and in command and be willing to say anything to effect that image at the moment. In fact, one could get the feeling that, deep down, he has an emotional vested interest in perpetuating the pandemic and, with it, his 15 minutes of fame.”

Note, too, that Fauci said in an interview a few years back that he *would like to manage a pandemic*. He finally got his wish. But how do you keep yourself front and center?

You can only do this by staying in the news, and you only can do that if you make news continually by *frequently saying different things*. When “Wear one mask” gets old, you get more coverage by saying “Wear two masks.” When “Get vaccinated” becomes boring, you get more interview requests by insisting “You need a booster.” You’ll get away with it, too, as long as the media play along and don’t point out the contradiction.

Of course, we don’t know whether Fauci is actually a “narcissist,” clinically speaking. Here’s what we can know: First, an inordinate number of upper-echelon government figures are twisted people with “personality disorders.”

Second, a full-blown narcissist would do anything to exalt himself — even destroy his civilization.





## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,  
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



**Subscribe**

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.