




Written by [James Heiser](#) on March 3, 2010

UN plans \$45 trillion Cost for “Going Green”

If the United Nations has its way, the collapse of the Climate Change Conference in Copenhagen will have been little more than a small hiccup on the way to the largest redistribution of wealth in human history.

In December of last year, the [Copenhagen Conference failed](#) to achieve the treaty intended by conference planners; the intended goal of mandating hundreds of billions — eventually trillions — of dollars in wealth transfers from the First World to Third World nations was put on hold.

But the failure of the conference is now seen as simply pushing implementation of the UN’s agenda to the 2012 meeting, according to one press report.



[An article from Asian News International](#) (ANI) declares that the three year delay in implementation will also witness a massive increase in cost:

Despite the doomed Copenhagen climate change conference last December, the United Nations is moving forward with a multi-trillion-dollar plan economic transfer scheme at full speed in order to ignite the creation of a "global green economy."

The world body even has chosen a time and a place for the culmination of the process: a World Summit on Sustainable Development to be held in Rio de Janeiro in 2012.

The new Rio summit will end with a "focused political document" presumably laying out the framework and international commitments to a new Green World Order, Fox News reports.

The structure of the environmental order and the extent of the immense financial commitments needed to produce it will be discussed in Bali this week at the United Nations Environment Program’s 58-nation "Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environmental Forum (GC/GMEF)."

A delay to 2012 would be telling. Rather than pushing for implementation at this year’s COP 16 meeting in Mexico, the ANI story seems to indicate that conference planners will take a slower approach in the aftermath of public skepticism fueled by Climategate and other scandals and a the potential for outrage in the nations of the First World over a scheme to extract tens of trillions of dollars from their economies.

The 2012 plan would also move the agreement away from the scheduled [COP 18 summit](#), which is presently scheduled to take place in Asia (where several nations are still competing to host the meeting), and thus would endeavor to avoid associating the agreement with the failure of COP 15 in Copenhagen.

According to the [Earth Summit 2012](#) website, the goals of the conference will be in line with the Copenhagen agenda: Wrapping economic redistribution in a green mantle.

The United Nations General Assembly agreed to a new Earth Summit in December. The Summit



Written by [James Heiser](#) on March 3, 2010

will be in 2012 and will be hosted by Brazil. The themes are the Green Economy in the context of sustainable development and poverty eradication, the institutional framework for sustainable development, emerging issues and a review of present commitments.

The term “sustainable” in such a context is usually a buzzword for “governmentally controlled.” Although the plan is still wrapped in green, the dream of “poverty eradication” is coming to the fore. And what will be the cost to the industrialized world for such “poverty eradication”? The inconceivable price tag is already at \$45 *trillion*—and the conference is still years away. The ANI reports:

Documents written in advance of the meeting assume that the goal of the green economic transformation is the same as that of the ill-fated Copenhagen conference: a 50 percent reduction in global carbon dioxide emissions by 2050.

That, the paper says, will require a staggering 45 trillion dollars to accomplish.

While the Copenhagen agenda was declared dead in December, the green road that leads to enormous cuts in carbon emissions by 2050 will require wealth transfer [sic] from developed to developing country - same as the Copenhagen summit, according to documents.

Such expenditures, combined with crippling the industrialized world with reductions in carbon dioxide emissions which essentially mean the end of the industrial world as we have known it, are the agenda for the UNEP meeting in Bali. Again, according to the ANI report:

The structure of the environmental order and the extent of the immense financial commitments needed to produce it will be discussed in Bali this week at the United Nations Environment Program’s 58-nation "Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environmental Forum (GC/GMEF)."

As anticipated, the internationalist elites who would reshape the world according to their ideological agenda have not been deterred by the collapse of the Copenhagen Conference. Instead, they are simply regrouping to try again in several years. And now the "price tag" is vastly higher than what was discussed in Denmark. For all of the language about a “green economic transformation” what seems certain is that any such agreement will devastate what remains of the economies of the First World, looting them for a massive transfer of their wealth to the Third World.

The need to end American entanglements in the hydra-headed agencies of the United Nations is now clearer than ever before.

Photo of UN climate chief Yvo de Boer: AP Images



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

[Subscribe](#)