



Medical Journals Call for Emergency Action on Climate Change

An editorial published in more than 200 medical journals worldwide is calling for emergency action on the so-called climate crisis ahead of COP26, the United Nationsled conference that will be held in Glasgow, Scotland, later this year. The editorial was written by a group of editors from esteemed medical journals including *The New England Journal of Medicine*; *The Lancet* and the *British Medical Journal*.

The <u>editorial</u> claims that the world can no longer wait for the COVID-19 pandemic to pass before addressing the issue and that climate change, so called, is already affecting global health.



Fokusiert/iStock/Getty Images Plus

"Health is already being harmed by global temperature increases and the destruction of the natural world, a state of affairs health professionals have been bringing attention to for decades. The science is unequivocal: a global increase of 1.5° C above the pre-industrial average and the continued loss of biodiversity risk catastrophic harm to health that will be impossible to reverse. Despite the world's necessary preoccupation with Covid-19, we cannot wait for the pandemic to pass to rapidly reduce emissions," the editorial states.

The editorial writers blame global warming for a variety of health problems.

"In the past 20 years, heat-related mortality among people over 65 years of age has increased by more than 50%. Higher temperatures have brought increased dehydration and renal function loss, dermatological malignancies, tropical infections, adverse mental health outcomes, pregnancy complications, allergies, and cardiovascular and pulmonary morbidity and mortality," the editorial writers complain.

Without seeing the irony that concern over climate change being the very definition of a "first world" problem, the editorial writers argue that climate change is hitting those in the third world — who are more interested in keeping themselves fed than worrying about climate change — the hardest.

"Harms disproportionately affect the most vulnerable, including children, older populations, ethnic minorities, poorer communities, and those with underlying health problems."

With more reliable sources of power, such as the hundreds of new coal-fired power plants that Communist China plans to build in line with its current five-year-plan, those more vulnerable countries and populations would be able to deal with issues such as hunger, poverty, and health problems more effectively — not that the climatistas care about or even acknowledge that truth.

Much of what the editorial states sounds as if it could have been written by the same authors who produced the latest United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's <u>Sixth Assessment</u>



Written by **James Murphy** on September 8, 2021



Report released in August.

"Reflecting the severity of the moment, this editorial appears in health journals across the world. We are united in recognizing that only fundamental and equitable changes to societies will reverse our current trajectory," the editorial laments.

What exactly does "fundamental and equitable changes" mean? Does it mean that <u>China</u> will be asked to immediately cease the building of their new coal-fired energy plants and blast furnaces? Does it mean that Al Gore and John Kerry will have to stop flying in their carbon-spewing private jets?

Oddly enough, the authors don't address China and private jets. Yet they still insist that "equity" is all-important when dealing with global warming.

"Equity must be at the center of the global response. Contributing a fair share to the global effort means that reduction commitments must account for the cumulative, historical contribution each country has made to emissions, as well as its current emissions and capacity to respond," the editorial states. "Wealthier countries will have to cut emissions more quickly, making reductions by 2030 beyond those currently proposed and reaching net-zero emissions before 2050. Similar targets and emergency action are needed for biodiversity loss and the wider destruction of the natural world."

So, as always when it comes to first-world concerns such as climate change, the United States will be counted upon to spend the most money to combat the manufactured "crisis."

As the concern over COVID-19 continues to decline, the climate propaganda that seeps from the United Nations, globalist NGOs, and persons connected to them as many of the authors of this editorial are, is set to become more dire, especially in the lead up to COP26 in Glasgow later this year.





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.