



Unasked, Obvious Question: Why Aren't MUSLIM Countries Taking the Afghan Refugees?

As Joe Biden "takes a knee to the Taliban" and it has been <u>suggested</u> that we absorb *millions* of Afghan migrants, a simple question is largely unasked:

Why aren't Muslim countries "stepping forward to take care of their co-religionists"? as American Thinker puts it. "Isn't it time they did?"

Actually, it's long past time. This is why I've actually been asking the above question for years in response to 2015's wave of Mideast migration into Europe. The "rich, sparsely populated Gulf States such as Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Dubai, and the UAE are not accepting their supposedly desperate coreligionists," I wrote in 2018, for example. "Why are these Muslims shipped thousands of miles into the heart of erstwhile Christendom?"



DedMityay/iStock/Getty Images Plus Dubai Marina, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

Making its case, American Thinker (AT) <u>points out</u> that while "Afghanistan is a Muslim country, the Taliban's extreme approach to interpreting Islam makes it an outlier even by the standards of other Muslim countries." So considering "the severe oppression many Muslims themselves will experience under Taliban reign," AT continues, shouldn't Islamic countries come to the rescue?

AT then mentions that "Afghanistan isn't alone as a country where Muslims are oppressed. The Chinese Communist Party rounded up the Uyghur people and placed them in camps," for example.

Then, remember "Darfur and the way the Sudanese Arab Muslims committed near genocide against the Darfuri black Muslims?" AT asks. "Women and girls were gang-raped, and many Darfuris were brutally slaughtered — men, women, and children. The same happened to the southern black Sudanese, who are Christian. In Iraq, 1.5 million Muslims have been martyred." We could also mention, here, the Syrian civil war's victims.

AT later punctuated its point:

As thousands, if not millions, of Muslims around the world are violently oppressed, why aren't Muslim countries coming to their rescue? Why are Muslims accepting individual or gang rape of Muslim women and girls? Why don't Muslim countries care that millions of Muslims are brutally murdered and martyred? As Americans or Westerners, isn't it time to recognize that oppressed Muslims really need other Muslims to come to rescue them rather than placing the burden on infidels?

America and other Western nations have a right to — indeed, should — ask this invariably unasked question. They should challenge the Muslim world to take pity on oppressed







Muslims around the world, especially in Africa, the Middle East, and Asia. Their fellow Muslims need their compassion and mercy as much as Allah's "Compassion and Mercy."

As with all questions, this one has an answer — at least a few of them, in fact.

First, why should Muslim nations incur the costs, monetary and social, of absorbing refugees when they have Western suckers nations to do it for them?

The second reason relates to why the question is hardly asked, never mind answered: Migrants settled in Muslim nations can't becoming naturalized in our country and then vote Democrat. And leftists aren't going to pressure Islamic lands to take their future voters.

The third reason concerns something revealed by self-proclaimed devout Muslim Dr. Mudar Zahran, a Jordanian Opposition Coalition leader living as an asylee in Britain. "I have to be honest," he said, discussing Europe's wave Muslim migration in a 2015 interview, "you read Arab magazines and Arab newspapers [and] they are talking about, 'Good job! Now we're going to conquest [sic] Europe.' So it's not even a secret."

This phenomenon, "demographic jihad," has been mentioned by others as well. Cardinal Bechara Boutros al-Rahi, the Maronite patriarch of Antioch, said in 2015, "I have often heard from Muslims that their goal is to conquer Europe with two weapons: their faith and their birthrate," reported Breitbart. Even more blunt was Hungarian Bishop Laszlo Kiss-Rigo, who said in 2015 that the migrants are "not refugees." He continued, "This is an invasion. They come here with cries of 'Allahu Akbar.' They want to take over," related Christianity Today.

Insofar as the United States goes, it's one thing to admit the *small number* of Afghans who risked their lives helping our cause and whom we promised to protect; that's a moral and honor-oriented imperative. But even then they must be vetted carefully, as <u>this American veteran's story informs</u>.

Beyond that, though, we should remember that Afghans may make for the least assimilable of all (im)migrants. Afghanistan is a tribal society rife with violence, where disputes are often settled via blood feuds. *Bacha bazi* ("boy play"), ritualized pedophilia/pederasty, is common, and the victims may grow up to be psychologically scarred victimizers. Daughters may be denied education or healthcare and may be sold to settle debts — or even executed by their own relatives for minor infractions against the tribe — while sons may be encouraged to carry out suicide attacks.

(Im)migration really is a numbers game, too. Consider: The rare Muslim who contemplated going to the West many decades ago had to be a different kind of Muslim, one who understood he was entering a Christian culture that wouldn't cater to his desires. He and his co-religionists would be so few and far between there'd be no prospect for "Halal" groceries, <u>Islamic interest-free financing</u>, or Muslim schools for his children. So he'd be forced to assimilate by having to work within the host nation's established institutions. But great numbers of Muslim immigrants will form their own enclaves and their own institutions; this reality not only makes the journey west more inviting to zealous Muslims, but also enables them to reinforce each other's beliefs.

And what are those beliefs? A 2013 Pew Research Center survey <u>found</u> that *99 percent* of Afghans want Sharia as the official law of the land and that 61 percent state it should apply to *non-Muslims as well*, that 79 percent believe leaving Islam warrants the death penalty, and that 40 percent <u>say</u> suicide bombings are justified "in order to defend Islam against its enemies."







And why wouldn't they say that? Seventy-three percent of Afghans believe Sharia is the revealed word of God.

Assimilate that.





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.