



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on September 11, 2026

“Trans” Molestation in Girls’ Wrestling. Can We Thank the Feminists?

“The end goal of feminist revolution must be ... not just the elimination of male privilege,” wrote feminist Shulamith Firestone in 1970, “but of the sex distinction itself.”

“Genital differences between human beings,” she added, “would no longer matter culturally.”

Firestone doesn’t get much credit for it, but her standard became feminist dogma for decades. Beginning in the 1980s and continuing a bit beyond 2010 perhaps, the doctrine was this:

The sexes are the same except for the superficial physical differences; therefore, if you raise boys and girls identically, they will end up identical in inclination and capacity.



Ralf Geithe/iStock/Getty Images Plus

This dogma’s intensity was related well by a story contrarian feminist Camille Paglia once related. Other feminists would corner her on college campuses in the ’70s, she said, glaring at her over her dissenter status. They’d then insist that hormones *didn’t even exist* — and, even *if* they did, they couldn’t possibly influence human behavior.

From this sameness doctrine grew the idea, “A woman can do anything a man can — and maybe better!” Why, this was even applied to sports. The feminists claimed that females lagged behind males only because they hadn’t had “as much chance to develop themselves athletically.” Give them time, they insisted, and women would equal or even *surpass* men. Exaggeration?

Well, know that articles asserting this, or something close to it, were once regular journalistic fare. An example is a 2008 [piece](#) titled “Women and men in sports: Separate is not equal.” Its feminist authors asked, “Why is gender segregation in sports normal?”

Even more striking is a 1992 *New York Times* article [titled](#), “2 Experts Say Women Who Run May Overtake Men.” It predicted that women should be running marathons as fast as men do by 1998. They’d subsequently catch up to males in shorter events by the middle of this century, the “experts” asserted.

Be Careful What You Wish For

Of course, that prediction aged like warm milk. In reality, the male-favoring performance gap actually *widened* again in the ’90s owing to better performance-enhancing-drug testing. But the point is this:

What’s a corollary of “The sexes are the same except for the superficial physical differences”?



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on September 11, 2026

Is it not:

Change those superficial physical differences to match the other sex's, and you can become the opposite sex?

The latter, of course, is essentially what the MUSS (Made-up Sexual Status, aka "trans") agenda asserts.

And it logically follows from the premise the feminists *insisted upon, badgered others into accepting, and considered nonnegotiable*.

Second point: What is a corollary of "Women can equal or even surpass men in sports"?

Is it not:

It can't be all that unfair for males — especially halfway demasculinized MUSS males — to compete with females?

This brings us, finally, to a relevant, ongoing story. As commentator Mike McDaniel [related](#) yesterday:

December 6, 2025. Puyallup, WA. [Rogers High School] Sophomore Kallie Keeler, who had been wrestling since she was four ... took the mat against an unknown opponent. She knew less about that opponent than she thought, because "she" was "he," a male pretending to be female.

What happened next is precisely why men have no business participating in women's sports.

(See below.)



Independent Women

@IWF · Follow



15-year-old Kallie Keeler showed up to do what she loves: wrestle.

Instead, she says she was sexually assaulted during a match by a male opponent she didn't even know was male.

Then her school waited 55 DAYS to file an incident report. Read the story:

iwfeatures.com/profile/this-f...



6:33 AM · Sep 10, 2026



209



Reply



Copy link

Read 7 replies

"It didn't take long before he [the MUSS wrestler] pushed his fingers into Kallie's vagina," McDaniel continued. "Kallie froze. Video of the match showed her horrified, stunned expression. Not knowing what else to do, she let herself be pinned to end it."

In the Courts

Since then, the Keeler family has been seeking justice. Pierce County prosecutors declined to charge the MUSS boy with sexual assault. Still ongoing, however is a suit filed by Alliance Defending Freedom on behalf of Keeler and her mother, Stephanie Brown. The defendants are the Washington Interscholastic Activities Association, state education officials, and Puyallup School District. Keeler is



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on September 11, 2026

requesting that the courts file an injunction protecting her from being pitted against another male. The family lost at the lower levels, but appealed to the 9th Circuit late last month. The case is pending.

Additionally, Rogers High principal Jason Smith and athletics coordinator Peter Collins *were* charged in July with violating Washington's mandatory-reporter law. They pleaded not guilty. It's a misdemeanor case and is reportedly still active.

Perverse Consistency?

What's not so active is deep thinking on this issue. It's easy to say — and *should* be said — that what the MUSS boy did was wrong. (Note that male wrestlers are occasionally subjected to a similar illegal move. Colloquially called an "oil check," it involves digital penetration of the backside. The purpose is usually to gain an advantage by shocking your opponent.) It's also easy to say that boys shouldn't be wrestling with girls. It's easy because it's low-hanging fruit — an 80-20 issue.

What's harder to say and seldom said is that girls shouldn't be wrestling with boys, either.

Yet this happens *all the time* — and has been for decades.

That is, typically in lower weight classes and younger ages, girls sometimes compete in "boys'" wrestling. The lasses' parents, and others, generally encourage this and cheer it on.

It can happen in high school, too, in low weight classes (e.g., 106 lbs.). What often happens is that a 13- or 14-year-old boy, who looks 12, may be wrestling an older girl at the same weight. What about it being improper? Too bad. What if the boy is uncomfortable? Tough luck. Why?

Feminism.

[Feminists and their enablers](#) wanted girls in boys' Little League baseball. They got it. They wanted women in the Citadel and Virginia Military Institute. They got it. They wanted females on boys' athletic teams generally. They got it. They wanted girls in boys' wrestling. They got it.

They didn't want boys in girls' wrestling. But they got that, too. And why not?

Equality, right?

It's all quite congruent — "Sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander."

Conservatives, though, almost universally will just complain about how the double standard isn't being perpetuated. "Girls' spaces are for girls!" we hear. But what of that endangered species, boys' spaces?

Aren't they supposed to be for boys?

Forbidden Questions

It all could remind one of a G.K. Chesterton observation I often relate.

"The business of Progressives is to go on making mistakes," he wrote. "The business of Conservatives is to prevent mistakes from being corrected."

Sometimes, though, just occasionally, an emperor-has-no-clothes voice will interject. Just consider a comment from under McDaniel's article.

"Am I the only woman who wonders why women are wrestling — or boxing — in the first place?" the poster [wonders](#).

"When are parents of these girls going to tell them that boxing, wrestling aren't appropriate sports for



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on September 11, 2026

girls[?]" another woman adds, chiming in.

It may be a while, is the answer. Just as Firestone and other radical feminists wanted, the sexual distinction was increasingly denied for decades, until fancied almost irrelevant. Why, it's only MUSS males' entry into females' sports that gave society an object lesson in its profound reality. This is what halted the feminist chest-thumping — for now.

As for the rest of us, we should ask: Does the sexual distinction matter? Should it rationally shape policy and social codes? Or should we perpetuate the status quo?

That is, the sexual distinction matters only when the feminists say it does.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.