





Written by [Michael Tennant](#) on January 25, 2016

---

This would appear to be a calculated move on the abortionists' part. As Kansans for Life pointed out, the law, based on a model created by the National Right to Life Committee, "was designed to pass muster with the U.S. Supreme Court; abortion attorneys apparently recognized that fact, thus choosing to file suit in state court, seeking the creation of a state right to abortion."

Last June, Shawnee District Court Judge Larry Hendricks issued an injunction barring implementation of the law while the suit is in progress. (The suit has not yet gone to trial.) Hendricks ruled that vague wording in the state constitution's bill of rights about government's providing "equal protection" of citizens' "natural rights" was sufficient to conjure up a right to an abortion — even one of a particularly grisly nature. In addition, he said the law imposed an unconstitutional burden on women seeking abortions.

The state appealed, and in an unusual move, all 14 judges of the Kansas Court of Appeals, rather than the usual subset of three, were empaneled to hear the appeal. Judicial officials told the AP they believe the last time that occurred was 1989.

The judges split evenly, which means the lower court's ruling stands. The judges siding with Hendricks essentially echoed his opinion. The others "wrote that abortion places a pregnant woman's liberty interest at odds with the unborn child's right to life, and that balancing those interests is a question of public policy," recounted the AP. "They also said the Kansas Legislature was 'free to restrict abortion procedures to the extent it finds it appropriate — as long as the legislative act does not violate our federal Constitution.'"

Major pro-life organizations believe the law is valid under the U.S. Constitution, or at least the Supreme Court's tortured interpretation of it. The court, after all, found that partial-birth abortions could be banned, so why not the equally brutal dilation-and-evacuation abortions? Furthermore, blogged Kansans for Life legislative director Kathy Ostrowski, "Hendricks misstated federal jurisprudence on abortion, and ignored the key 2007 U.S. Supreme Court *Gonzales* ruling, which said: 'Casey [the 1992 Supreme Court decision] does not allow a doctor to choose the abortion method he or she might prefer ... [and physicians] are not entitled to ignore regulations that direct them to use reasonable alternative procedures.'"

Pro-abortion forces, naturally, hailed the appeals court's decision.

Center for Reproductive Rights president Nancy Northrup told the [New York Times](#) the ruling is "a landmark victory for Kansas women" that says they "have the right to safely and legally end a pregnancy under their state Constitution, free from political interference."

Laura McQuade, president and CEO of Planned Parenthood Advocates of Kansas and Mid-Missouri, told the AP that if the decision stands, it would "immensely strengthen protection" of abortion rights when challenging laws that restrict abortion in the Sunflower State. "At the top of that list is a pending legal challenge to [a] 2011 law, also temporarily blocked by the courts, that includes requiring abortion providers to have admitting privileges at a local hospital," wrote the news agency.

Pro-lifers were equally vehement in their dissent from the ruling.

"I can't understate how horrific this is, and how problematic some litigation might be, under a ruling that the state has a right to abortion," Ostrowski told the AP. Although she wrote that she is "confident this law will eventually be upheld," Ostrowski told the news agency it might be necessary to amend the Kansas constitution to clarify that it doesn't grant a right to abortion.



Written by [Michael Tennant](#) on January 25, 2016

---

Kansas Governor Sam Brownback, a Republican who signed the law in question, told the AP, “The court’s failure to protect the basic human rights and dignity of the unborn is counter to Kansans’ sense of justice. Seven judges have chosen to create law based upon their own preferences rather than apply the law justly and fairly.”

Kansas Attorney General Derek Schmidt said the state would quickly appeal to the Kansas Supreme Court; Brownback called on the court to overturn the decision.



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

**Subscribe**