



Weiss Motion Proves Biden, 51 Intel Officials Lied in Calling Hunter's Laptop "Russian Disinformation."

In his latest filing in the federal felony gun case against Hunter Biden, U.S. Justice Department Special Counsel David Weiss has shown that [President Joe Biden](#), the Democrats, and [51 intelligence officers](#) lied when they called Boy Biden's infamous laptop "Russian disinformation."

The May 22 filing says the laptop is "real," and any suggestion that it isn't is a "conspiracy theory."

This is yet [another filing](#) from Weiss that shot full of holes the yarn that evil Russian agents created the laptop to derail Joe Biden's presidential campaign.



AP Images
David Weiss

Special Counsel David Weiss:

"[Hunter Biden's] laptop is real (it will be introduced as a trial exhibit) and it contains significant evidence of the defendant's guilt."

Maybe House Democrats will finally admit it too!

— Rep. Jim Jordan (@Jim_Jordan) [May 23, 2024](#)

The Filing

Weiss's filing answers a motion from Biden's attorneys to permit him to challenge the authenticity of the data prosecutors will use from the laptop in the gun case.

That data has been "altered and compromised," the [motion of May 20 argues](#). "Vast amounts of what has been reported as Mr. Biden's data from the laptop could not be validated as his."

Biden argues that John Paul Mac Isaac, the computer store owner with whom he left the laptop — Biden's own confession that the laptop isn't "Russian disinformation" — admitted in [his book](#) that he manipulated the machine's data, along with others, before the FBI took possession of it. As well, the motion claims that a Russian "businessman" revealed that Russian intelligence agents had compromised Biden's "personal devices."

The motion argues that the *Washington Post* hired computer experts, one of whom said the "forensic quality of [the data] is garbage" and likened it to a crime scene where detectives had strewn hamburger wrappers around."

"Mr. Biden should be able to object on authenticity grounds to any data from the iCloud or the MacBook laptop reflecting its date, and object to the prejudice or introducing inflammatory evidence that may have been tampered with by someone other than Mr. Biden," the [motion argues](#).



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on May 30, 2024

Not so, Weiss replied, not least because Biden and his attorneys “do not understand the electronic evidence.”

“The defendant’s laptop and his iCloud data come from two separate and independent sources,” [he argues](#). Those sources are Biden’s Apple iPad Pro and Apple iPhone XR:

[T]he government is introducing messages from these two devices from April 2018 through January 2019. Not only are these two devices not the laptop, the evidence from these sources predates when the defendant dropped his laptop off at a computer store on April 12, 2019 in a state of disrepair. The defendant has not offered any conspiracy theory, much less any evidence, regarding how or why Apple, Inc. produced manipulated data for his iPhone and iPad.

As well, the laptop data is “self-authenticating” and a witness will corroborate it at trial.

Weiss argues that Biden can produce no evidence that anyone “retroactively planted [fake messages] into his non-functional laptop.”

“The defendant’s theory about the laptop is a conspiracy theory with no supporting evidence,” the [motion continues](#):

The defendant cites a book written by John Mac Isaac, but apparently there is nothing in the book where Mac Isaac says he “altered” or “compromised” data because no such passages are quoted in the defendant’s response. The defendant is in a civil lawsuit with Mac Isaac and deposed him, but cites no evidence from that case or deposition to support his claims of alteration.

Weiss also argues that the data examined by the *Post*’s experts differs from the data it will present at trial.

Weiss calls Biden’s motion “hearsay on hearsay” that is not evidence and “none of it demonstrates that the actual trial evidence was altered.”

As well, Biden “has had the laptop data in its raw, original form since September 2023, but has provided the government with no evidence of its manipulation or alteration” or that it contains “false information.”

In fact, “the defendant’s laptop is real,” Weiss argues. It “will be introduced as a trial exhibit” and “contains significant evidence of the defendant’s guilt.”

Aside from the witness who corroborated it, Biden’s “admissions in his book” comport with the laptop’s evidence that includes photographs and videos of the defendant himself, and “evidence obtained from third parties.”

So, no, the laptop was not “Russian disinformation,” as Joe Biden lied — relying on those 51 former intelligence officials — [during his third debate](#) with then President Donald Trump.

Here’s Biden saying the incriminating emails on Hunter’s laptop were just “a smear campaign” and “a bunch of garbage” pic.twitter.com/K3Ojf3SyIg

— RNC Research (@RNCResearch) [March 17, 2022](#)



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on May 30, 2024

“There are 50 former national intelligence folks who said that what [Trump is] accusing me of is a Russian plant,” Biden said. “Five former heads of the CIA, both parties, say what he’s saying is a bunch of garbage.”

Problem was, the then-current head of the CIA, John Ratcliffe, [said it wasn’t](#). And he was right.

The Gun Case

[Hunter Biden is charged](#) with three felonies in connection with lying on a federal form to purchase a [Colt Cobra 38SPL](#) and possessing the gun as a drug addict.

[Prosecutors allege](#) that Biden lied twice; once when he certified in writing on federal Form 4473 that he wasn’t a drug addict, and again when he certified the lie:

[He] knowingly made a false and fictitious written statement, intended and likely to deceive [the gun store] with respect to a fact material to the lawfulness of the sale of the firearm ... in that he provided a written statement on Form 4473 certifying he was not an unlawful user of, and addicted to, any stimulant, narcotic drug, and any other controlled substance, when in fact, as he knew, that statement was false and fictitious....

[He] certified on the Form 4473 that he was not an unlawful user of, and addicted to, any stimulant, narcotic drug, and any other controlled substance, when in fact, as he knew, that statement was false and fictitious.

The third count alleges that Biden “knowing that he was an unlawful user of, and addicted to, any stimulant, narcotic drug, and any other controlled substance ... did knowingly possess a firearm.”

Biden could face 25 years in prison and a \$75,000 fine.

The case goes to trial on June 3.

H/T: [CNN](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.