




Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on March 24, 2016

## Federal Court Slams IRS in Tea Party Targeting Case

On Thursday a federal appeals court finally ran out of patience with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and [slammed the attorneys](#) defending the agency. Judge Raymond Kethledge, writing for the three-judge panel of the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, said:



Among the most serious allegations a federal court can address are that an executive agency [such as the IRS] has targeted citizens for mistreatment based on their political views. No citizen ... should be targeted or even have to fear being targeted, on those grounds.

Yet those are the grounds on which the plaintiffs allege they were mistreated by the IRS.... The allegations are substantial.

Kethledge then directed his ire specifically at the IRS:

The IRS has issued an apology, blaming mistakes on low-level employees. Yet it fought for more than five years demands for full disclosure and documents sought by Tea Party groups.... At every turn the IRS has resisted the plaintiffs' requests ... eventually to the open frustration of [the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio]....

[That district] court ordered production of those lists, and did so again over an IRS motion to reconsider. Yet, almost a year later, the IRS still has not complied with [that] court's orders.

Instead, attorneys representing the IRS issued a writ of mandamus, accusing the judge of that district court, Susan J. Dlott, of exceeding her authority in the matter. This was just too much for Judge Kethledge:

The IRS now seeks from this court a writ of mandamus [against Dlott], an extraordinary remedy reserved to correct only the clearest abuses of power by a district court [judge].

We deny the petition!

The lead plaintiff in the lawsuit against the IRS, NorCal Tea Party Patriots, suffered mightily under the heavy hand of the IRS. In July 2010, the group applied for tax-exempt status under Section 501(c) 3 of the Internal Revenue Code. The IRS responded by requesting additional information about the group's activities. NorCal replied promptly with 120 pages of answers.

Eighteen months later NorCal had not heard anything about their application. Finally, in January 2012, the IRS responded claiming it needed more information. In a five-page, single-spaced letter, the IRS demanded answers to 19 more questions, many of which had sub-requests. Explained Kethledge:

Among other things, the IRS requested a list of all NorCal events and activities since July 2010, with detailed information concerning the circumstances of each event and the content of any speeches or presentations made at those events; the names of NorCal's donors and whether those



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on March 24, 2016

---

donors had run for elected office in the past or intended to run for elected office in the future, along with the amounts and dates of every donation; and copies of all newsletters, emails, or advertising materials that the group had sent to its members or to the general public.

The IRS's letter also reminded NorCal that, "If we approve your application for exemption, we will be required by law to make the ... information you submit in response to this letter available for public inspection."

The IRS directed NorCal to respond by February 17, 2012 — three weeks after the date of the letter — and told NorCal that, "If we don't hear from you by the response due date ... we will assume you no longer want us to consider your application for exemption and will close your case. As a result, the Internal Revenue Service will treat you as a taxable entity."

NorCal eventually provided approximately 3,000 pages of responsive material.

But when NorCal sued to get the names of other Tea Party groups abused by the IRS, the IRS claimed that such a request was "unduly burdensome" and refused to provide them.

With Kethledge's ruling some are hopeful that the IRS will relent in its half-decade-long attempt to hide the facts that even Congress itself was unable to dislodge from the recalcitrant agency. As Edward Greim, a lawyer representing NorCal, explained:

What we'll be able to see is how, starting in the spring of 2010, with the first one or two groups the IRS targeted, we'll be able to see that number grow, and we'll even be able to see at the tail end their possible covering up that conduct.

With that new information, the lawsuit against the IRS will enter the "discovery" phase, with depositions of IRS employees to follow.

In the meantime, in an apparently unrelated incident, Judge Susan Dlott suffered a home invasion on December 4 by "three black men with guns," according to the judge. They threw her husband down the stairs, causing him to suffer several broken vertebrae, a broken pelvis, and cracked ribs.

This writer is almost certain that the unfortunate incident had nothing whatsoever to do with the lawsuit.

*A graduate of an Ivy League school and a former investment advisor, Bob is a regular contributor to The New American magazine and blogs frequently at [LightFromTheRight.com](#), primarily on economics and politics. He can be reached at [badelman@thenewamerican.com](mailto:badelman@thenewamerican.com).*



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.